HWM–05–01
EMPG–05–01
hep–th/0502054

February 2005

arXiv:hep-th/0502054v2 28 Feb 2005

Isometric Embeddings and Noncommutative Branes in Homogeneous Gravitational Waves

Sam Halliday and **Richard J. Szabo**

Department of Mathematics
School of Mathematical and Computer Sciences
Heriot-Watt University
Scott Russell Building, Riccarton, Edinburgh EH14 4AS, U.K.
`samuel@ma.hw.ac.uk` , `R.J.Szabo@ma.hw.ac.uk`

Abstract

We characterize the worldvolume theories on symmetric D-branes in a six-dimensional Cahen-Wallach pp-wave supported by a constant Neveu-Schwarz three-form flux. We find a class of flat noncommutative euclidean D3-branes analogous to branes in a constant magnetic field, as well as curved noncommutative lorentzian D3-branes analogous to branes in an electric background. In the former case the noncommutative field theory on the branes is constructed from first principles, related to dynamics of fuzzy spheres in the worldvolumes, and used to analyse the flat space limits of the string theory. The worldvolume theories on all other symmetric branes in the background are local field theories. The physical origins of all these theories are described through the interplay between isometric embeddings of branes in the spacetime and the Penrose-Güven limit of $\mathrm{AdS}_3 \times \mathrm{S}^3$ with Neveu-Schwarz three-form flux. The noncommutative field theory of a non-symmetric spacetime-filling D-brane is also constructed, giving a spatially varying but time-independent noncommutativity analogous to that of the Dolan-Nappi model.

Contents

1 Introduction and Summary **3**

2 The Nappi-Witten Plane Wave **5**

2.1 Definitions 6

2.2 Isometries 7

2.3 Field Theory 10

3 Isometric Embeddings of Branes **11**

3.1 Penrose-Güven Limits and Isometric Embeddings:General Considerations 12

3.2 Hpp-Wave Limits 15

3.3 NW Limits 17

3.4 Embedding Diagrams for NW Spacetimes 20

4 Noncommutative Branes in $\mathbf{NW_6}$: Untwisted Case **21**

4.1 General Construction 22

4.2 Null Branes 23

4.3 D3-Branes 26

4.4 Open String Description 31

4.5 Foliation by Fuzzy Spheres 33

4.6 Flat Space Limits 35

5 Noncommutative Branes in $\mathbf{NW_6}$: Twisted Case **36**

5.1 General Construction 37

5.2 Euclidean D3-Branes 39

5.3 Spacetime Filling D5-Branes 39

5.4 Lorentzian D3-Branes 40

5.5 D-Strings 41

5.6 D-Membranes 42

6 Noncommutative Geometry of $\mathbf{NW_6}$ **42**

6.1 Foliation by Noncommutative D3-Branes 42

6.2 Star-Product 45

6.3 The Dolan-Nappi Model 47

1 Introduction and Summary

The dynamics of strings in the backgrounds of plane-polarized gravitational waves (pp-waves) has been of interest recently for a variety of reasons. They provide explicit realizations of string theory in time-dependent backgrounds which is necessary for applications of string cosmology. They also provide scenarios in which the AdS/CFT correspondence may be tested beyond the supergravity approximation by taking the Penrose limit of an $\mathrm{AdS}_m \times \mathrm{S}^n$ background [12] and the BMN limit of the dual superconformal field theory [8]. The property of these backgrounds that make them appealing in these contexts is that string dynamics on them is solvable in some instances, even in the presence of R–R fields or non-trivial NS B-fields [8, 14, 54, 56, 62]. The spectrum of the theory can be studied in light-cone gauge wherein the two-dimensional σ-models become free, while scattering amplitudes can be analysed using light-cone string field theory.

When D-branes are added to such closed string backgrounds, in some cases decoupling limits exist in which one can freeze out massive open string modes and closed string excitations. The low-energy effective theory governing the dynamics of open strings living on the branes is non-gravitational and can be reformulated as a field theory. The typical result is a noncommutative gauge theory with a spacetime dependent noncommutativity parameter [17, 25, 27, 39, 40, 53]. The role of spacetime dependence in these worldvolume field theories leads to interesting violations of energy-momentum conservation [9, 59], and their potential time-dependence is especially important for cosmological applications. In some instances the decoupled open strings also have a dual description in terms of a gravitational theory via the AdS/CFT correspondence [39]. This suggests that the holographic description of cosmological spacetimes may be described by non-local field theories.

In this paper we will study the noncommutative gauge theories that reside on some D-branes in the four-dimensional Nappi-Witten gravitational wave [55] and its six-dimensional generalization [46]. We will refer to both of these pp-waves in the following as Nappi-Witten spacetimes and denote them respectively by NW_4 and NW_6. In the full superstring setting the backgrounds we study are $\mathrm{NW}_4 \times \mathrm{T}^6$ and $\mathrm{NW}_6 \times \mathrm{T}^4$, although we shall not write the toroidal factors explicitly in what follows. These backgrounds can be supported by either R–R flux or NS–NS flux, or by a combination of both. We will only consider the noncommutative deformations of the bosonic parts of these string theories, and hence only a non-trivial NS background, as R–R fields simply add mass terms to the light-cone σ-model action and do not contribute to the noncommutativity of spacetime coordinates in the bosonic sector [20, 66].

The interest in this particular class of pp-waves is that string theory in these backgrounds can be solved completely and in a fully covariant way [10, 19, 23, 31, 47, 49, 61]. They describe homogeneous gravitational waves (Hpp-waves) and represent the "minimal" deformation of flat spacetime by H-flux. They may be formulated as WZW models based on a twisted Heisenberg group, for which the wave is an exact solution of the worldsheet σ-model [46, 55]. The NW_6 spacetime already captures the generic features of higher-dimensional Hpp-waves. It can be regarded as the Penrose-Güven limit of the background $\mathrm{AdS}_3 \times \mathrm{S}^3 \times \mathrm{T}^4$ [12, 13] supported by an NS–NS three-form flux, which describes the near horizon geometry of an NS5/F1 bound state [32]. The dual superconformal field theory is believed to be the nonlinear σ-model with target space the symmetric product orbifold $\mathrm{Sym}^N(\mathrm{T}^4)$. Similarly, the plane wave metric of NW_4 arises from the Penrose limit of $\mathrm{AdS}_2 \times \mathrm{S}^2$ [12, 13]. Both NW_4 and the Penrose limit of $\mathrm{AdS}_3 \times \mathrm{S}^3$ are examples of non-dilatonic, parallelizable pp-wave solutions of six-dimensional supergravity [63]. However, as we discuss in detail in this paper, the Penrose-Güven limit of $\mathrm{AdS}_2 \times \mathrm{S}^2$ does *not* induce the full NS-supported geometry of the NW_4 spacetime. Therefore, contrary to some claims [26, 74], the four-dimensional Nappi-Witten spacetime cannot be studied as the Penrose-Güven limit of $\mathrm{AdS}_2 \times \mathrm{S}^2$. Instead, it arises as a Penrose-Güven limit of the near horizon geometry of NS5-branes [33], on which string theory is dual to little string theory. This feature can be understood by regarding $\mathrm{AdS}_2 \times \mathrm{S}^2$ as the worldvolume of a symmetric D-brane in the $\mathrm{AdS}_3 \times \mathrm{S}^3$ spacetime, while NW_4 may only be realized as the worldvolume of a non-symmetric D-brane in NW_6. In fact, we shall find that the most natural plane wave limits of embedded $\mathrm{AdS}_2 \times \mathrm{S}^2$ submanifolds of $\mathrm{AdS}_3 \times \mathrm{S}^3$ correspond to two classes of symmetric D-branes in NW_6. The first one is a *flat* euclidean D3-brane in a constant magnetic field, which carries a noncommutative worldvolume field theory with constant noncommutativity parameter determined by the constant time slices of the plane wave background. The second one is a lorentzian D3-brane isometric to NW_4 with vanishing NS fields but with a null worldvolume electric field, which is described in the decoupling limit by a non-gravitational theory of noncommutative open strings, rather than by a noncommutative field theory. It is tempting to speculate that the full NW_4 deformation of this noncommutative open string theory describes the dynamics of the dual little string theory.

This problem is not peculiar to the class of plane wave geometries that we study, and it leads us into a detailed investigation of how D-branes behave under the Penrose-Güven limit of a spacetime. Similar analyses in some specific contexts are considered in [24, 64, 74]. We formulate and solve this problem in some generality, and then apply it to our specific backgrounds of interest. With this motivation at hand, we then proceed to reanalyse the classification of the symmetric D-branes of NW_6, elaborating on the analysis initiated in [30, 74] and extending it to a detailed study of the worldvolume supergravity fields supported by each of these branes. We also clarify some points which were missed in the analysis of [74]. In each instance we identify the $\mathrm{AdS}_3 \times \mathrm{S}^3$ origin of the brane in question, and quantize its worldvolume geometry using standard techniques and the representation theory of the twisted Heisenberg group [10, 19, 47, 75]. We will find that most of these branes support *local* worldvolume effective field theories, because on most of them the pertinent supergravity form fields are trivial. In fact, we find that all symmetric D-branes in NW_6 (both twisted and untwisted) have vanishing NS–NS three-form flux, and only the two classes of branes mentioned above support a non-vanishing gauge-invariant two-form field. The overall consistency of these results, along with their agreement with the exact boundary conformal field theory description of Cardy branes in NW_4 [24], provides an important check that the standard techniques for quantization of worldvolume geometries in compact group manifolds (see [65] for a review) extend to these classes of non-compact (and non-semisimple) Lie groups.

Somewhat surprisingly, even the spacetime filling symmetric D5-brane in NW_6 has trivial supergravity form fields. Motivated by this fact, we systematically construct the noncommutative geometry underlying the non-local field theory living on a non-symmetric D5-brane wrapping NW_6. The resulting noncommutativity is non-constant, but independent of the plane wave time coordinate. This agrees with the recent analysis in [40] of the Dolan-Nappi model [27] which describes a time-dependent noncommutative geometry on the worldvolume of a D3-brane wrapping NW_4. However, the background used in [27] is not conformally invariant and hence not a closed string background. Correctly reinstating conformal invariance [40] gives a spatially dependent but time-independent noncommutativity parameter. We elaborate on this noncommutativity somewhat and show that it may be regarded as arising from a formal quantization of the twisted Heisenberg algebra.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 we review the definition and geometrical properties of the four-dimensional Nappi-Witten spacetime. We show that its natural pp-wave isometry group is isomorphic to the six-dimensional twisted Heisenberg group, paving the way to an analysis of the isometric embeddings $\mathrm{NW}_4 \hookrightarrow \mathrm{NW}_6$. We also emphasize the time-independent harmonic oscillator character of point-particle dynamics in these backgrounds, as it helps to clarify the nature of the noncommutative worldvolume field theories constructed later on. In Section 3 we study the interplay between isometric embeddings and Penrose-Güven limits of branes, first in generality and then to the particular instances of Nappi-Witten spacetimes. From this analysis it becomes clear that both the NW_4 and NW_6 gravitational waves are necessarily wrapped by non-symmetric D-branes. In Section 4 we begin our analysis of the symmetric branes in NW_6, beginning with those described by conjugacy classes of the twisted Heisenberg group. We identify classes of null branes (with degenerate worldvolume metrics), and show that their quantized geometries are commutative but generically differ from those of the classical conjugacy classes due to a unitary rotational symmetry of the background. We also find a class of euclidean D3-branes and show, directly from the representation theory of the twisted Heisenberg group, that their worldvolumes carry a Moyal-type noncommutativity akin to that induced on branes in constant magnetic fields [28, 67, 76, 77]. This sort of noncommutativity is natural from the point of view of the time-independent harmonic oscillator dynamics. These D3-branes are also naturally related to a foliation by fuzzy two-spheres through a noncommutative version of the Hopf fibration $\mathrm{S}^3 \to \mathrm{S}^2$. This provides a geometrical worldvolume picture of the accidental SU(2) transverse space symmetry of our NW_6 background [10], acting by outer automorphisms of the twisted Heisenberg group. It also implies that there exists a flat space limit of the string theory in which the low-energy dynamics will still be described by a noncommutative gauge theory with constant noncommutativity. In Section 5 we analyse symmetric branes in NW_6 which are described by twisted conjugacy classes. We show, again through explicit quantization via representation theory and analysis of the worldvolume supergravity fields, that the low-energy effective field theories on *all* twisted D-branes are local. Finally, in Section 6 we quantize a non-symmetric D5-brane wrapping NW_6 by foliating its geometry in terms of the noncommutative euclidean D3-branes, and compare the resulting noncommutativity with that of the Dolan-Nappi model. Again the result is natural from the perspective of time-independent harmonic oscillator dynamics and the quantization of the coadjoint orbits.

2 The Nappi-Witten Plane Wave

In this section we will define and analyse the geometry of the Nappi-Witten spacetime NW_4 [55]. It is a four-dimensional homogeneous spacetime of Minkowski signature which defines a monochromatic plane wave. It is further equipped with a supergravity NS B-field of constant flux, which in the presence of D-branes is responsible for the spacetime noncommutativity of the pp-wave. We will emphasize the simple, time-independent harmonic oscillator form of the dynamics in this background, as it will play a crucial role in subsequent sections.

2.1 Definitions

The spacetime NW_4 is defined as the group manifold of the Nappi-Witten group, the universal central extension of the two-dimensional euclidean group $\mathrm{ISO}(2)=\mathrm{SO}(2)\ltimes\mathbb{R}^2$. The corresponding simply connected group $\mathcal{N}_4$ is homeomorphic to four-dimensional Minkowski space $\mathbb{E}^{1,3}$. Its non-semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_4$ is generated by elements $\mathsf{P}^\pm$, J, T obeying the commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\mathsf{P}^+\,,\,\mathsf{P}^-\right] &= 2\,\mathrm{i}\,\mathsf{T}\ , \\ \left[\mathsf{J}\,,\,\mathsf{P}^\pm\right] &= \pm\,\mathrm{i}\,\mathsf{P}^\pm\ , \\ \left[\mathsf{T}\,,\,\mathsf{J}\right] &= \left[\mathsf{T}\,,\,\mathsf{P}^\pm\right] \ = \ 0\ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

This is just the three-dimensional Heisenberg algebra extended by an outer automorphism which rotates the noncommuting coordinates. The twisted Heisenberg algebra may be regarded as defining the harmonic oscillator algebra of a particle moving in one-dimension, with the additional generator J playing the role of the number operator (or equivalently the oscillator hamiltonian). It is a solvable algebra whose properties are much more tractable than, for instance, those of the su(2) or $\mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ Lie algebras which are at the opposite extreme.

The center of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{n}_4)$ contains the central element T of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_4$ and also the quadratic Casimir element

$$\mathsf{C}_4 = 2\,\mathsf{J}\,\mathsf{T} + \tfrac{1}{2}\,\left(\mathsf{P}^+\,\mathsf{P}^- + \mathsf{P}^-\,\mathsf{P}^+\right)\ . \tag{2.2}$$

The most general invariant, non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\rangle\,:\,\mathfrak{n}_4\times\mathfrak{n}_4\to\mathbb{R}$ is defined by [55]

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle\mathsf{P}^+\,,\,\mathsf{P}^-\right\rangle &= 2\,\langle\mathsf{J}\,,\,\mathsf{T}\rangle \ = \ 2\ , \\ \langle\mathsf{J}\,,\,\mathsf{J}\rangle &= b\ , \\ \left\langle\mathsf{P}^\pm\,,\,\mathsf{P}^\pm\right\rangle &= \langle\mathsf{T}\,,\,\mathsf{T}\rangle \ = \ 0\ , \\ \left\langle\mathsf{J}\,,\,\mathsf{P}^\pm\right\rangle &= \left\langle\mathsf{T}\,,\,\mathsf{P}^\pm\right\rangle \ = \ 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

for any $b\in\mathbb{R}$. This inner product has Minkowski signature, so that the group manifold of $\mathcal{N}_4$ possesses a homogeneous, bi-invariant lorentzian metric defined by the pairing of the Cartan-Maurer left-invariant, $\mathfrak{n}_4$-valued one-forms $g^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}g$ for $g\in\mathcal{N}_4$ as

$$\mathrm{d}s_4^2 = \left\langle g^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}g\,,\,g^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}g\right\rangle\ . \tag{2.4}$$

A generic group element $g\in\mathcal{N}_4$ may be parametrized as

$$g(u,v,a,\overline{a}\,) = \mathrm{e}^{\,a\,\mathsf{P}^+ + \overline{a}\,\mathsf{P}^-}\ \ \mathrm{e}^{\,\mu\,u\,\mathsf{J}}\ \ \mathrm{e}^{\,\mu^{-1}\,v\,\mathsf{T}} \tag{2.5}$$

where $u,v\in\mathbb{R}$, $a\in\mathbb{C}$, and the parameter $\mu\in\mathbb{R}$ controls the strength of the NS B-field background. For definiteness we will take $\mu>0$ in the following. In these global coordinates, the Cartan-Maurer one-form is given by

$$g^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}g = \mathrm{e}^{\,-\mathrm{i}\,\mu\,u}\ \mathrm{d}a\ \mathsf{P}^+ + \mathrm{e}^{\,\mathrm{i}\,\mu\,u}\ \mathrm{d}\overline{a}\ \mathsf{P}^- + \mu\ \mathrm{d}u\ \mathsf{J} + \left(\mu^{-1}\ \mathrm{d}v + \mathrm{i}\,a\ \mathrm{d}\overline{a} - \mathrm{i}\,\overline{a}\ \mathrm{d}a\right)\ \mathsf{T} \tag{2.6}$$

so that the metric (2.4) reads

$$\mathrm{d}s_4^2 = 2\ \mathrm{d}u\ \mathrm{d}v + |\mathrm{d}a|^2 + 2\,\mathrm{i}\,\mu\ (a\ \mathrm{d}\overline{a} - \overline{a}\ \mathrm{d}a)\ \ \mathrm{d}u + b\,\mu^2\ \mathrm{d}u^2\ . \tag{2.7}$$

The metric (2.7) assumes the standard form of the plane wave metric for a conformally flat, indecomposable Cahen-Wallach lorentzian symmetric spacetime CW_4 in four dimensions [22] upon introduction of Brinkman harmonic coordinates (x^+,x^-,z) [16] defined by rotating the transverse plane at a Larmor frequency as $u = x^+$, $v = x^-$ and $a = \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\mathrm{i}\,\mu}{2}\,x^+}\,z$. In these coordinates the metric assumes the stationary form

$$\mathrm{d}s_4^2 = 2\,\mathrm{d}x^+\,\mathrm{d}x^- + |\mathrm{d}z|^2 + \mu^2\,\left(b - \tfrac14\,|z|^2\right)\,\left(\mathrm{d}x^+\right)^2 \ , \tag{2.8}$$

revealing the pp-wave nature of the geometry for $b = 0$. The physical meaning of the arbitrary parameter b will be elucidated below. It may be set to zero by exploiting the translational symmetry of the geometry in x^- to shift $x^- \to x^- - \frac{\mu^2\,b}{2}\,x^+$, which corresponds to a Lie algebra automorphism of $\mathfrak{n}_4$. Note that on the null planes of constant $u = x^+$, the geometry becomes that of flat two-dimensional euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^2$. This is the geometry appropriate to the Heisenberg subgroup of $\mathcal{N}_4$, where the effects of the twisting generator J are turned off.

Thus far, the Nappi-Witten spacetime has been described geometrically as a four-dimensional Cahen-Wallach space CW_4. The spacetime NW_4 is further supported by a Neveu-Schwarz two-form field B_4 of constant field strength

$$H_4 \ = \ -\tfrac13\,\left\langle g^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}g\,,\,\left[g^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}g\,,\,g^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}g\right]\,\right\rangle \ = \ 2\,\mathrm{i}\,\mu\,\mathrm{d}x^+\wedge\mathrm{d}z\wedge\mathrm{d}\overline{z} \ = \ \mathrm{d}B_4 \ , \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$B_4 \ = \ -\tfrac12\,\left\langle g^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}g\,,\,\frac{\mathbb{1}+\mathrm{Ad}_g}{\mathbb{1}-\mathrm{Ad}_g}\,g^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}g\right\rangle \ = \ 2\,\mathrm{i}\,\mu\,x^+\,\mathrm{d}z\wedge\mathrm{d}\overline{z} \tag{2.10}$$

is defined to be non-zero only on those vector fields lying in the range of the operator $\mathbb{1}-\mathrm{Ad}_g$ on $T_g\mathcal{N}_4$, i.e. on vectors tangent to the conjugacy class containing $g\in\mathcal{N}_4$. The corresponding contracted two-form H_4^2 compensates exactly the constant Riemann curvature of the metric (2.8), so that NW_4 provides a viable supergravity background. In fact, in this case the cancellation is exact at the level of the full string equations of motion, so that the plane wave is an exact background of string theory [55]. It is the presence of this B-field that induces noncommutativity of the string background in the presence of D-branes.

2.2 Isometries

The realization of the geometry of NW_4 as a standard plane wave of Cahen-Wallach type enables us to study its isometry group using the standard classification [11]. Writing $\partial_\pm := \partial/\partial x^\pm$, the metric (2.8) has the obvious null Killing vector

$$T = \mu\,\partial_- \tag{2.11}$$

generating translations in x^- and characterizing a pp-wave, and also the null Killing vector

$$J = \mu^{-1}\,\partial_+ \tag{2.12}$$

generating translations in x^+. An analysis of the Killing equations [11] shows that there are also four extra Killing vectors $P^{(k)}$, $P'^{\,(k)}$, $k = 1,2$ which generate twisted translations in the transverse plane $z \in \mathbb{C}$ to the motion of the plane wave. Denoting $\partial := \partial/\partial z$, they are given in the form

$$\begin{aligned} P^{(k)} &= c^{(k)}(x^+)\,\partial + \overline{c}^{\,(k)}(x^+)\,\overline{\partial} - \mu^{-1}\,\Big(\dot{c}^{(k)}(x^+)\,\overline{z} + \dot{\overline{c}}^{\,(k)}(x^+)\,z\Big)\,\partial_- \ , \\ P'^{\,(k)} &= c'^{\,(k)}(x^+)\,\partial + \overline{c}'^{\,(k)}(x^+)\,\overline{\partial} - \mu^{-1}\,\Big(\dot{c}'^{\,(k)}(x^+)\,\overline{z} + \dot{\overline{c}}'^{\,(k)}(x^+)\,z\Big)\,\partial_- \ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

where the dots denote differentiation with respect to the light-cone time coordinate $u = x^+$, and the complex-valued coefficient functions in (2.13) solve the harmonic oscillator equation of motion

$$\ddot{c}(x^+) = -\tfrac{\mu^2}{4}\,c(x^+) \ . \tag{2.14}$$

The four linearly independent solutions of (2.14) are characterized by their initial conditions on the null surface $x^+ = 0$ as

$$\begin{aligned} c^{(k)}(0) &= \delta_{k1} + \mathrm{i}\,\delta_{k2} \quad , \quad & \dot{c}^{(k)}(0) &= 0 \ , \\ c'^{\,(k)}(0) &= 0 \quad , \quad & \dot{c}'^{\,(k)}(0) &= \mu\,\left(\delta_{k1} + \mathrm{i}\,\delta_{k2}\right) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

The solutions of (2.14) and (2.15) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} c^{(1)}(x^+) &= \cos\tfrac{\mu\,x^+}{2} \quad , \quad & c^{(2)}(x^+) &= \mathrm{i}\,\cos\tfrac{\mu\,x^+}{2} \ , \\ c'^{\,(1)}(x^+) &= 2\sin\tfrac{\mu\,x^+}{2} \quad , \quad & c'^{\,(2)}(x^+) &= 2\,\mathrm{i}\,\sin\tfrac{\mu\,x^+}{2} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

An interesting feature of these functions is that they generate the Rosen form [60] of the plane wave metric (2.8). It is defined by the transformation to local coordinates (u, v, y^1, y^2) given by

$$\begin{aligned} u &= x^+ \ , \\ v &= x^- - \tfrac{\mu}{4}\,(z+\overline{z}\,)^2\,\tan\tfrac{\mu\,x^+}{2} - \tfrac{\mu}{4}\,(z-\overline{z}\,)^2\,\cot\tfrac{\mu\,x^+}{2} \ , \\ y^1 &= \tfrac{1}{2}\,\Big((z+\overline{z}\,)\sec\tfrac{\mu\,x^+}{2} + \mathrm{i}\,(z-\overline{z}\,)\,\csc\tfrac{\mu\,x^+}{2}\Big) \ , \\ y^2 &= \tfrac{1}{2}\,\Big((z+\overline{z}\,)\sec\tfrac{\mu\,x^+}{2} - \mathrm{i}\,(z-\overline{z}\,)\,\csc\tfrac{\mu\,x^+}{2}\Big) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

under which the metric becomes

$$\mathrm{d}s_4^2 = 2\ \mathrm{d}u\ \mathrm{d}v + C_{ij}(u)\ \mathrm{d}y^i\ \mathrm{d}y^j + b\,\mu^2\ \mathrm{d}u^2 \tag{2.18}$$

where

$$C(u) = \big(C_{ij}(u)\big) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cos\mu\,u \\ \cos\mu\,u & 1 \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{2.19}$$

This form of the metric is degenerate at the conjugate points where $\cos\mu\,u = \pm 1$. The harmonic oscillator solutions (2.16) then generate an orthonormal frame for the transverse plane metric (2.19),

$$C(u) = E(u)\,E^\top(u) \ , \tag{2.20}$$

with

$$E = \frac{1}{2}\,\begin{pmatrix} c^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}\,c'^{\,(2)} + \overline{c}^{\,(1)} + \frac{1}{2}\,\overline{c}'^{\,(2)} & -\big(c^{(2)} - \frac{1}{2}\,c'^{\,(1)} + \overline{c}^{\,(2)} - \frac{1}{2}\,\overline{c}'^{\,(1)}\big) \\ c^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}\,c'^{\,(2)} + \overline{c}^{\,(1)} + \frac{1}{2}\,\overline{c}'^{\,(2)} & c^{(2)} - \frac{1}{2}\,c'^{\,(1)} + \overline{c}^{\,(2)} - \frac{1}{2}\,\overline{c}'^{\,(1)} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.21}$$

satisfying the symmetry condition

$$\dot{E}(u)\,E^\top(u) = E(u)\,\dot{E}^\top(u)\ . \tag{2.22}$$

Note that in contrast to the Brinkman coordinate system, in the Rosen form (2.18) two extra commuting translational symmetries in the transverse plane (y^1, y^2) are manifest, while time translation symmetry is lost.

By defining $P^\pm := P'^{\,(1)} \pm \mathrm{i}\,P^{(1)}$ and $Q^\pm := P'^{\,(2)} \pm \mathrm{i}\,P^{(2)}$, the six Killing vectors generated by the basic Cahen-Wallach structure of the plane wave may be summarized as

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \mu\,\partial_-\ , \\ J &= \mu^{-1}\,\partial_+\ , \\ P^\pm &= \Big(\sin\tfrac{\mu\,x^+}{2} \pm \mathrm{i}\ \mathrm{e}^{\mp\frac{\mathrm{i}\,\mu}{2}\,x^+}\Big)\,\big(\partial + \overline{\partial}\,\big) - \mu\ \mathrm{e}^{\mp\frac{\mathrm{i}\,\mu}{2}\,x^+}\ \big(z + \overline{z}\,\big)\ \partial_-\ , \\ Q^\pm &= \Big(\mathrm{i}\ \sin\tfrac{\mu\,x^+}{2} \mp \mathrm{e}^{\mp\frac{\mathrm{i}\,\mu}{2}\,x^+}\Big)\,\big(\partial - \overline{\partial}\,\big) + \mathrm{i}\,\mu\ \mathrm{e}^{\mp\frac{\mathrm{i}\,\mu}{2}\,x^+}\ \big(z - \overline{z}\,\big)\ \partial_-\ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

Together, they generate the harmonic oscillator algebra $\mathfrak{n}_6$ of a particle moving in *two* dimensions,

$$\begin{aligned} \Big[P^\alpha\,,\,Q^\beta\Big] &= 0\ ,\quad \alpha,\beta = \pm\ , \\ \big[T\,,P^\pm\big] &= \big[T\,,\,Q^\pm\big] \ = \ \big[T\,,\,J\big] \ = \ 0\ , \\ \big[P^+\,,\,P^-\big] &= \big[Q^+\,,\,Q^-\big] \ = \ 2\,\mathrm{i}\,T\ , \\ \big[J\,,\,P^\pm\big] &= \pm\,\mathrm{i}\,P^\pm\ , \\ \big[J\,,\,Q^\pm\big] &= \pm\,\mathrm{i}\,Q^\pm\ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

This isometry algebra acts transitively on the null planes of constant x^+ and it generates a central extension $\mathcal{N}_6$ of the subgroup

$$\mathcal{S}_5 = \mathrm{SO}(2) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^4 \tag{2.25}$$

of the four-dimensional euclidean group $\mathrm{ISO}(4) = \mathrm{SO}(4) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^4$, where $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ is the diagonal subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(2)\times\mathrm{SO}(2) \subset \mathrm{SO}(4)$. It is defined by extending the commutation relations (2.1) by generators $\mathsf{Q}^\pm$ obeying relations as in (2.24). The quadratic Casimir element $\mathsf{C}_6 \in U(\mathfrak{n}_6)$ and inner product on $\mathfrak{n}_6$ are defined in the obvious way by extending (2.2) and (2.3) symmetrically under $\mathsf{P}^\pm \leftrightarrow \mathsf{Q}^\pm$.

Following the analysis of the previous section, one can show that the group manifold of $\mathcal{N}_6$ is a six-dimensional Cahen-Wallach space CW_6, with Brinkman metric

$$\mathrm{d}s_6^2 = 2\ \mathrm{d}x^+\ \mathrm{d}x^- + |\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{z}|^2 + \mu^2\ \big(b - \tfrac14\,|\boldsymbol{z}|^2\big)\ \big(\mathrm{d}x^+\big)^2 \tag{2.26}$$

where $\boldsymbol{z}^\top = (z,w) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, which carries a constant Neveu-Schwarz three-form flux

$$H_6 = -2\,\mathrm{i}\,\mu\ \mathrm{d}x^+ \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}^\top \wedge \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{z} = \mathrm{d}B_6\ ,\quad B_6 = -2\,\mathrm{i}\,\mu\,x^+\ \mathrm{d}\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}^\top \wedge \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{z}\ . \tag{2.27}$$

It thereby defines a six-dimensional version NW_6 of the Nappi-Witten pp-wave [46]. This observation will be exploited in the ensuing sections to view the Nappi-Witten wave as an isometrically embedded D-submanifold $\mathrm{NW}_4 \hookrightarrow \mathrm{NW}_6$. In this setting, it corresponds to a symmetry-breaking D3-brane in a non-zero H-flux.

However, for the Nappi-Witten wave this is not the end of the story. Because of the bi-invariance of the metric (2.4), the actual isometry group is the direct product $\mathcal{N}_4 \times \overline{\mathcal{N}}_4$ acting by left and right multiplication on the group $\mathcal{N}_4$ itself. Since the left and right actions of the central generator T coincide, the isometry group is seven-dimensional. In the present basis, the missing generator from the list (2.23) is the left-moving copy $\overline{J}$ of the oscillator hamiltonian with

$$\left[\,\overline{J}\,,\,P^{\pm}\right]=\mp\,\mathrm{i}\,P^{\pm}+Q^{\pm}\ ,\quad\left[\,\overline{J}\,,\,Q^{\pm}\right]=\mp\,\mathrm{i}\,Q^{\pm}-P^{\pm}\ , \tag{2.28}$$

and it is straightforward to compute that it is given by

$$\overline{J}=-\mu^{-1}\,\partial_{+}-\,\mathrm{i}\,\left(z\,\partial-\overline{z}\,\overline{\partial}\right)\ . \tag{2.29}$$

The vector field $J+\overline{J}$ generates rigid rotations in the transverse plane.

2.3 Field Theory

Standard covariant quantization of a massless relativistic scalar particle in NW_4 leads to the Klein-Gordon equation in the curved background,

$$\Box_4\phi\ =\ 0\ , \tag{2.30}$$

where

$$\Box_4=2\,\partial_{+}\,\partial_{-}-\mu^2\,\left(b-\tfrac{1}{4}\,|z|^2\right)\,\partial_{-}^2+|\partial|^2 \tag{2.31}$$

is the laplacian corresponding to the Brinkman metric (2.8). It coincides with the Casimir (2.2) expressed in terms of left or right isometry generators (2.23,2.29). The dependence on the light-cone coordinates $x^{\pm}$ drops out of the Klein-Gordon equation because of the isometries generated by the Killing vectors (2.11) and (2.12).

By using a Fourier transformation of the covariant Klein-Gordon field ϕ along the x^{-} direction,

$$\phi\left(x^{+},x^{-},z,\overline{z}\,\right)=\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathrm{d}p^{+}\ \psi\left(x^{+},z,\overline{z};p^{+}\right)\ \ \mathrm{e}^{\,\mathrm{i}\,p^{+}x^{-}}\ , \tag{2.32}$$

we may write (2.30) equivalently as

$$\Big[|\partial|^2+2\,\mathrm{i}\,p^{+}\,\partial_{+}+\left(b-\tfrac{1}{4}\,|z|^2\right)\,\left(\mu\,p^{+}\right)^2\Big]\,\psi\left(x^{+},z,\overline{z};p^{+}\right)=0\ . \tag{2.33}$$

Introducing the time parameter τ through

$$u=x^{+}=p^{+}\,\tau\ , \tag{2.34}$$

the differential equation (2.33) becomes the Schrödinger wave equation

$$\mathrm{i}\ \frac{\partial\psi\left(\tau,z,\overline{z};p^{+}\right)}{\partial\tau}=\left[-\tfrac{1}{2}\,|\partial|^2+\tfrac{1}{2}\,\left(\tfrac{\mu\,p^{+}}{2}\right)^2\,|z|^2-\tfrac{b}{2}\,\left(\mu\,p^{+}\right)^2\right]\psi\left(\tau,z,\overline{z};p^{+}\right) \tag{2.35}$$

for the non-relativistic two-dimensional harmonic oscillator with a time independent frequency given by the light-cone momentum and H-flux as $\omega=|\mu\,p^{+}|/2$. The only role of the arbitrary parameter b is to shift the zero-point energy of the harmonic oscillator, and it thereby carries no physical significance.

Let us remark that the same hamiltonian that appears in the Schrödinger equation (2.35) could also have been derived in light-cone gauge in the plane wave metric (2.8) starting from the massless relativistic particle lagrangian

$$L = \dot{x}^+ \, \dot{x}^- + \tfrac{\mu^2}{2} \, \left(b - \tfrac{1}{4} \, |z|^2\right) \, \left(\dot{x}^+\right)^2 + \tfrac{1}{2} \, |\dot{z}|^2 \tag{2.36}$$

describing free geodesic motion in the Nappi-Witten spacetime. In the light-cone gauge, the light-cone momentum is $p^+ = p_- = \partial L / \partial \dot{x}^- = \dot{x}^+ = 1$, while the hamiltonian is $J = p_+ = \partial L / \partial \dot{x}^+$. Imposing the mass-shell constraint $L = 0$ at $\dot{x}^+ = 1$ gives the equation of motion for x^-, which when substituted into J yields exactly the hamiltonian appearing on the right-hand side of (2.35) with transverse momentum $p_\perp = \dot{z} = -\,\mathrm{i}\,\partial$.

The time-independence of the effective dynamics here follows from homogeneity of the plane wave geometry, which prevents dispersion along the light-cone time direction. These calculations give the quantization of a particle in NW_4 only in the commutative geometry limit, i.e. in the spacetime CW_4, because they do not incorporate the supergravity B-field supported by the Nappi-Witten spacetime. In the following we will describe how to incorporate the deformation of CW_4 caused by the non-trivial NS-sector. Henceforth we will drop the zero-point energy and set $b = 0$.

3 Isometric Embeddings of Branes

A remarkable feature of the Nappi-Witten spacetime is the extent to which it shares common features with many of the more "standard" curved spaces. It is formally similar to the spacetimes built on the $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ group manifolds, but in many ways is much simpler. As a twisted Heisenberg group, it lies somewhere in between these curved spaces and the flat space based on the usual Heisenberg algebra. One way to see this feature at a quantitative level is by examining Penrose-Güven limits involving the (universal covers of the) $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ group manifolds which produce the spacetime NW_4. This will provide an aid in understanding various physical properties which arise in later constructions.

In looking for D-submanifolds, we are primarily interested in those D-embeddings which are NS-supported and thereby carry a noncommutative geometry. As we will discuss, this involves certain important subtleties that must be carefully taken into account. As the Nappi-Witten spacetime can be viewed as a Cahen-Wallach space, i.e. as a plane wave, its geometry will arise as Penrose limits of other metrics. This opens up the possibility of extracting features of NW_4 by mapping them directly from properties of simpler, better studied noncommutative spaces. In this section we will begin with a thorough general analysis of the interplay between Penrose-Güven limits and isometric embeddings of lorentzian manifolds, and derive simple criteria for the limit and embedding to commute. Then we apply these results to derive the possible limits that can be used to describe the NS-supported D-embeddings of NW_4.

3.1 Penrose-Güven Limits and Isometric Embeddings: General Considerations

Any lorentzian spacetime has a limiting spacetime which is a plane wave, whereby the limit can be thought of as a "first order approximation" along a null geodesic in that spacetime [57]. The limiting spacetime depends on the choice of null geodesic, and hence any spacetime can have more than one Penrose limit. Let (M, G) be a d-dimensional lorentzian spacetime. We can always introduce local Penrose coordinates $(U, V, \boldsymbol{Y})$, $\boldsymbol{Y}^\top = (Y^i) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-2}$ in the neighbourhood of a segment of a null geodesic $\gamma \subset M$ which contains no conjugate points, whereby the metric assumes the form [52]

$$G = 2 \, \mathrm{d}U \, \mathrm{d}V + \alpha(U, V, \boldsymbol{Y}) \, \mathrm{d}V^2 + 2\beta_i(U, V, \boldsymbol{Y}) \, \mathrm{d}V \, \mathrm{d}Y^i + C_{ij}(U, V, \boldsymbol{Y}) \, \mathrm{d}Y^i \, \mathrm{d}Y^j \tag{3.1}$$

with $C = (C_{ij})$ a positive definite symmetric matrix. This coordinate system breaks down at the conjugate points where C becomes degenerate, $\det C = 0$. It singles out a twist-free null geodesic congruence given by constant V and Y^i, with U being the affine parameter along these geodesics. The geodesic $\gamma(U)$ is the one at $V = Y^i = 0$.

In string backgrounds one also has to consider generic supergravity p-form gauge potentials A with $(p+1)$-form field strengths $F = \mathrm{d}A$ in order to compensate non-trivial spacetime curvature effects. The Güven extension of the Penrose limit to general supergravity fields shows that any supergravity background has plane wave limits which are also supergravity backgrounds [36]. It requires the local temporal gauge choice

$$A_{U i_1 \cdots i_{p-1}} = 0 \tag{3.2}$$

in order to ensure well-defined potentials in the limit. With this gauge choice, which can always be achieved via a gauge transformation $A \mapsto A + \mathrm{d}\Lambda$ leaving the flux F invariant, we can write general potentials and field strengths in the neighbourhood of a null geodesic γ on M as

$$\begin{aligned} A &= a_{i_1 \cdots i_{p-1}}(U, V, \boldsymbol{Y}) \, \mathrm{d}V \wedge \mathrm{d}Y^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}Y^{i_{p-1}} + b_{i_1 \cdots i_p}(U, V, \boldsymbol{Y}) \, \mathrm{d}Y^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}Y^{i_p} \\ &\quad + c_{i_1 \cdots i_{p-2}}(U, V, \boldsymbol{Y}) \, \mathrm{d}U \wedge \mathrm{d}V \wedge \mathrm{d}Y^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}Y^{i_{p-2}} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \frac{\partial b_{i_1 \cdots i_p}(U, V, \boldsymbol{Y})}{\partial U} \, \mathrm{d}U \wedge \mathrm{d}Y^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}Y^{i_p} + \frac{\partial b_{i_2 \cdots i_{p+1}}(U, V, \boldsymbol{Y})}{\partial Y^{i_1}} \, \mathrm{d}Y^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}Y^{i_{p+1}} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\partial a_{i_1 \cdots i_{p-1}}(U, V, \boldsymbol{Y})}{\partial U} + \frac{\partial c_{i_2 \cdots i_{p-1}}(U, V, \boldsymbol{Y})}{\partial Y^{i_1}} \right) \mathrm{d}U \wedge \mathrm{d}V \wedge \mathrm{d}Y^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}Y^{i_{p-1}} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\partial b_{i_1 \cdots i_p}(U, V, \boldsymbol{Y})}{\partial V} - \frac{\partial a_{i_2 \cdots i_p}(U, V, \boldsymbol{Y})}{\partial Y^{i_1}} \right) \mathrm{d}V \wedge \mathrm{d}Y^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}Y^{i_p} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

The Penrose-Güven limit starts with the one-parameter family of local diffeomorphisms $\psi_\lambda : M \to M$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ defined by a rescaling of the Penrose coordinates as

$$\psi_\lambda\big(U, V, \boldsymbol{Y}\big) = \big(u, \lambda^2 \, v, \lambda \, \boldsymbol{y}\big) \ . \tag{3.5}$$

One then defines new fields which are related to the original ones by a diffeomorphism, a rescaling, and (in the case of potentials) possibly a gauge transformation, by the well-defined limits

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{G} &= \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \lambda^{-2} \, \psi_\lambda^* G \ , \\ \widetilde{A} &= \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \lambda^{-p} \, \psi_\lambda^* A \ , \\ \widetilde{F} &= \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \lambda^{-p} \, \psi_\lambda^* F \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Due to (3.5), the only functions in (3.1), (3.3) and (3.4) which survive this limit are $C_{ij}(u) = C_{ij}(U,0,\mathbf{0})$, $b_{i_1\cdots i_p}(u) = b_{i_1\cdots i_p}(U,0,\mathbf{0})$ and $c_{i_1\cdots i_{p-2}}(u) = c_{i_1\cdots i_{p-2}}(U,0,\mathbf{0})$, which are just the pull-backs of the tensor fields C, b and c to the null geodesic γ. Explicitly, we obtain a pp-wave metric and supergravity fields in Rosen coordinates $(u,v,\boldsymbol{y})$ [60] as

$$\widetilde{G} = 2\,\mathrm{d}u\,\mathrm{d}v + C_{ij}(u)\,\mathrm{d}y^i\,\mathrm{d}y^j\ , \tag{3.7}$$

$$\widetilde{A} = b_{i_1\cdots i_p}(u)\,\mathrm{d}y^{i_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}y^{i_p} + c_{i_1\cdots i_{p-2}}(u)\,\mathrm{d}u\wedge\mathrm{d}v\wedge\mathrm{d}y^{i_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}y^{i_{p-2}}\ , \tag{3.8}$$

$$\widetilde{F} = \frac{\partial b_{i_1\cdots i_p}(u)}{\partial u}\,\mathrm{d}u\wedge\mathrm{d}y^{i_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}y^{i_p}\ . \tag{3.9}$$

The physical effect of this limit is to blow up a neighbourhood of the null geodesic γ, giving the local background as seen by an observer moving at the speed of light in M. It can be thought of as an infinite volume limit. We may set $c_{i_1\cdots i_{p-2}}(u) = 0$ in (3.8) via the local gauge transformation

$$\widetilde{A}\longmapsto\widetilde{A}+\mathrm{d}\widetilde{\Lambda}\ ,\ \ \widetilde{\Lambda} = -\left(\int^u \mathrm{d}u'\ c_{i_1\cdots i_{p-2}}(u')\right)\ \mathrm{d}v\wedge\mathrm{d}y^{i_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{d}y^{i_{p-2}}\ . \tag{3.10}$$

We are now interested in a smooth, local isometric embedding $\imath : W\subset N\hookrightarrow M$ of a lorentzian manifold (N,g), also possibly supported by non-trivial p-form fields, from an open subset W of N onto a submanifold of M in codimension $m\geq 0$. Thus we require that $\imath : W\to\imath(W)$ be a diffeomorphism in the induced C^∞ structure, and that the derivative map $\mathrm{d}\imath_x : T_xN\to T_{\imath(x)}M$ be injective for all $x\in W$. The lorentzian metric g of N is related to that of M through the pull-back

$$g_x(\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,) = G_{\imath(x)}\big(\mathrm{d}\imath(\,\cdot\,)\,,\,\mathrm{d}\imath(\,\cdot\,)\big) \tag{3.11}$$

on $T_xW\otimes T_xW\to\mathbb{R}$, and p-form fields a on N are similarly related to those on M by

$$a_x(\,\cdot\,,\dots,\,\cdot\,) = A_{\imath(x)}\big(\mathrm{d}\imath(\,\cdot\,)\,,\,\dots,\,\mathrm{d}\imath(\,\cdot\,)\big) \tag{3.12}$$

on $\otimes^p\,T_xW\to\mathbb{R}$. In most cases of interest in this paper, N will correspond to an embedded D-submanifold of the spacetime M, but this need not be the case. In what follows we will usually write $\imath(N)$ for the injection, with the implicit understanding that it need only be defined with respect to a local coordinatization of the manifolds.

We shall examine situations in which the Penrose-Güven limit will simultaneously induce the Penrose-Güven limits of the ambient spacetime and of the embedded submanifold. This automatically restricts the types of embeddings $\imath$ possible. We need to ensure the existence of a null geodesic on M which starts from a point in $\imath(N)$, whose initial velocity is tangent to $\imath(N)$, and which stays on $\imath(N)$ for all time. In certain instances we would also have to impose further constraints on the geodesic restriction depending on which target spacetime is desired from the Penrose limit. A natural restriction is to assume that, in the Penrose coordinates of (3.1) adapted to a fixed null geodesic $\gamma\subset M$ with $\imath(W)$ contained in the set of conjugate-free points, $\imath$ is the inclusion map defined by fixing m of the transverse coordinates $Y^{j_1},\dots,Y^{j_m}$ so that $\imath(N)$ is the intersection of M with the hypersurface $Y^{j_1},\dots,Y^{j_m} =$ constant. This defines a null geodesic on $\imath(N)$ which is embedded into the congruence of null geodesics defined by (3.1), and the family of such submanifolds foliates the spacetime M in its local adapted coordinate system.

In what follows we will simplify matters somewhat by taking the Penrose-Güven limit of (N,g) along the same null geodesic γ as that used on (M,G). The embedded submanifold $\imath(N)$ is then the intersection of M with the hypersurface $Y^i = 0 \ \ \forall i \in \mathcal{I} := \{j_1,\dots,j_m\}$. With the additional requirement that the metric G restricts non-degenerately on $\imath(N)$, there is an orthogonal tangent space decomposition

$$T_xM = T_xN \oplus T_xN^\perp \ . \tag{3.13}$$

With ∂_i the elements of a local basis of tangent vectors dual to the one-forms $\mathrm{d}Y^i$ in an open neighbourhood of $x \in M$, the fibers of the normal bundle $TN^\perp \to \imath(N)$ over the embedding are given by $T_xN^\perp = \{\xi^\perp \in T_xM \mid G(\partial_i,\xi^\perp) = 0 \ \ \forall i \notin \mathcal{I}\}$. While these requirements are not the most general ones that one can envisage, they will suffice for the examples that we consider in this paper.

Suppose now that we are given another local isometric embedding $\tilde\imath : \widetilde{W} \subset \widetilde{N} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{M}$ of lorentzian manifolds $(\,\widetilde{N},\tilde g\,)$ and $(\,\widetilde{M},\widetilde{G}\,)$, again possibly in the presence of other supergravity fields. We are interested in the conditions under which the isometric embedding diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} M \arrow[r, "\mathrm{PGL}"] & \widetilde{M} \\ N \arrow[u, "\imath"] \arrow[r, "\mathrm{PGL}"] & \widetilde{N} \arrow[u, "\tilde\imath"'] \end{tikzcd} \tag{3.14}$$

commutes. The horizontal arrows denote Penrose-Güven limits (PGL) of the respective lorentzian spacetimes, defined in the manner explained above. In fact such a commutative diagram can only be written down under very stringent symmetry constraints on the geodesic restrictions of the transverse plane metric C_{ij} of (3.1) and supergravity tensor field $b_{i_1\cdots i_p}$ of (3.3) in the directions normal to the embeddings $\imath(N) \subset M$ and $\tilde\imath(\,\widetilde{N}\,) \subset \widetilde{M}$.

To formulate these symmetry requirements, let $\tilde\imath(\,\widetilde{N}\,)$ be realized as the intersection of $\widetilde{M}$ with the hypersurface $y^i = 0 \ \forall i \in \widetilde{\mathcal{I}} := \{\,\tilde\jmath_1,\dots,\tilde\jmath_m\}$. This realization of the isometric embedding on the right-hand side of (3.14) is dictated by that on the left-hand side and the Penrose limit. Consider the submanifold, also denoted $\widetilde{N}$, defined by the intersection of M with the hypersurface $Y^i = 0 \ \ \forall i \in \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}$. Denoting the normal bundle fibers as $T_{\tilde x}\widetilde{N}^{\,\perp} := \{\tilde\xi^\perp \in T_{\tilde x}M \mid G(\partial_i,\tilde\xi^\perp) = 0 \ \ \forall i \notin \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}\,\}$ for $\tilde x \in \widetilde{W}$, there is an orthogonal tangent space decomposition

$$T_{\tilde x}M = T_{\tilde x}\widetilde{N} \oplus T_{\tilde x}\widetilde{N}^{\,\perp} \tag{3.15}$$

analogous to (3.13). Along the light-like null geodesic γ, where $x = \tilde x = (U,0,\mathbf{0})$, we fix p tangent vectors $X, X_1, \dots, X_{p-1} \in T_{(U,0,\mathbf{0})}M$, and use the lorentzian metric and p-form gauge potentials to define the linear transformations

$$G_{(U,0,\mathbf{0})}(X,\,\cdot\,) \,:\, T_{(U,0,\mathbf{0})}M \ \longrightarrow \ T_{(U,0,\mathbf{0})}M \ , \tag{3.16}$$

$$A_{(U,0,\mathbf{0})}(X_1,\dots,X_{p-1},\,\cdot\,) \,:\, T_{(U,0,\mathbf{0})}M \ \longrightarrow \ T_{(U,0,\mathbf{0})}M \ . \tag{3.17}$$

The isometric embedding diagram (3.14) then commutes if, for every collection of tangent vectors $X, X_1, \dots, X_{p-1} \in T_{(U,0,\mathbf{0})}M$, the restrictions of the linear maps (3.16) and (3.17) to the corresponding orthogonal projections in (3.13) and (3.15) agree,

$$C_{(U,0,\mathbf{0})}(X,\,\cdot\,)\big|_{T_{(U,0,\mathbf{0})}N^{\perp}} ~=~ C_{(U,0,\mathbf{0})}(X,\,\cdot\,)\big|_{T_{(U,0,\mathbf{0})}\widetilde{N}^{\perp}} \ , \tag{3.18}$$

$$b_{(U,0,\mathbf{0})}(X_1,\dots,X_{p-1},\,\cdot\,)\big|_{T_{(U,0,\mathbf{0})}N^{\perp}} ~=~ b_{(U,0,\mathbf{0})}(X_1,\dots,X_{p-1},\,\cdot\,)\big|_{T_{(U,0,\mathbf{0})}\widetilde{N}^{\perp}} \ , \tag{3.19}$$

in the following sense. The normal subspaces $T_{(U,0,\mathbf{0})}N^{\perp}$ and $T_{(U,0,\mathbf{0})}\widetilde{N}^{\perp}$ of $T_{(U,0,\mathbf{0})}M$ are non-canonically isomorphic as vector spaces. Fixing one such isomorphism, there is then a one-to-one correspondence between normal vectors $\xi^{\perp}\in T_{(U,0,\mathbf{0})}N^{\perp}$ and $\tilde{\xi}^{\perp}\in T_{(U,0,\mathbf{0})}\widetilde{N}^{\perp}$, under which we require the transverse plane metric and p-form fields to coincide, $C_{(U,0,\mathbf{0})}(X,\xi^{\perp}) = C_{(U,0,\mathbf{0})}(X,\tilde{\xi}^{\perp})$ and $b_{(U,0,\mathbf{0})}(X_1,\dots,X_{p-1},\xi^{\perp}) = b_{(U,0,\mathbf{0})}(X_1,\dots,X_{p-1},\tilde{\xi}^{\perp})$. These symmetry conditions together ensure that the same supergravity fields are induced on $\widetilde{\imath}\,(\,\widetilde{N}\,)$ along the two different paths of the diagram (3.14), i.e. that the Penrose-Güven limit of M, along the null geodesic described above, induces simultaneously the Penrose-Güven limit of N. We stress that (3.18) and (3.19) are required to simultaneously hold under only a single such isomorphism of m-dimensional vector spaces, and in all there are $\frac{1}{2}\,m\,(m+1)$ such commuting isometric embedding diagrams that can potentially be constructed for appropriate plane wave profiles.

These symmetry conditions are essentially just the simple statement that the restrictions of the embeddings $\imath$ and $\widetilde{\imath}$ to the null geodesic $\gamma(U)$ are equivalent. Nevertheless, there are many examples whereby the Penrose limit of the metric carries through in (3.14), but not the Güven extension to generic p-form supergravity fields, i.e. (3.18) can hold with (3.19) being violated. Conversely, there can be exotic isometric embeddings whereby the transverse metric violates the requirement (3.18), leading to target spacetimes with distinct pp-wave profiles induced by the Penrose limit of essentially the same lorentzian structure. An interesting example of this would be a situation wherein the metric is not preserved, but the other supergravity p-form fields are.

3.2 Hpp-Wave Limits

Let us now specialize the analysis of the previous subsection to a broad class of examples that are important to the analysis of this paper. Consider a real, connected Lie group $\mathcal{G}$ possessing a bi-invariant metric. On the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of $\mathcal{G}$, this induces an invariant, non-degenerate inner product $\langle\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\rangle:\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g}\to\mathbb{R}$. We will also write $\mathcal{G}$ for the group manifold.

Symmetric D-branes wrapping submanifolds $D\subset\mathcal{G}$ preserve the maximal (diagonal) symmetry group $\mathcal{G}\subset\mathcal{G}\times\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ allowed by conformal boundary conditions. They are described algebraically by twisted conjugacy classes of the group [1, 29, 30, 72]. Let $\Omega:\mathfrak{g}\to\mathfrak{g}$ be an outer automorphism of the Lie algebra of $\mathcal{G}$ preserving its inner product $\langle\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\rangle$, and let $\omega:\mathcal{G}\to\mathcal{G}$ be the corresponding Lie group automorphism. The map ω is an isometry of the bi-invariant metric on $\mathcal{G}$, and so it generates an orbit of any point $g\in\mathcal{G}$ under the twisted adjoint action of the group as $g\mapsto\mathrm{Ad}_h^{\omega}(g)=h\,g\,\omega(h^{-1})$, $h\in\mathcal{G}$. We may thereby identify D with such an orbit as

$$D=\mathcal{C}_g^{\omega}=\big\{h\,g\,\omega\left(h^{-1}\right)~\big|~h\in\mathcal{G}\big\} \ . \tag{3.20}$$

This is essentially the generic situation, since additional inner automorphisms would give rise to twisted conjugacy classes which are simply translates of one another. In this way, to each such ω we can associate an equivalence class of D-branes foliating $\mathcal{G}$.

Since the metric on $\mathcal{G}$ is bi-invariant, the twisted conjugacy class (3.20) may be exhibited as a homogeneous space

$$\mathcal{C}_g^\omega = \mathcal{G} \,/\, \mathcal{Z}_g^\omega \tag{3.21}$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_g^\omega \subset \mathcal{G}$ is the stabilizer subgroup of the point g under the twisted adjoint action of $\mathcal{G}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{Z}_g^\omega = \left\{ h \in \mathcal{G} ~\middle|~ h\, g\, \omega\left(h^{-1}\right) = g \right\} ~. \tag{3.22}$$

By this homogeneity, it will always suffice to determine the geometry (and any $\mathcal{G}$-invariant fluxes) at a single point, as all other points are related by the twisted adjoint action of the group, which is an isometry. A natural physical assumption is that the bi-invariant metric of $\mathcal{G}$ restricts non-degenerately to the twisted conjugacy classes (3.21). The normal bundle over the D-submanifold then has fibers given by

$$\left(T_g \mathcal{C}_g^\omega\right)^\perp = T_g \mathcal{Z}_g^\omega \tag{3.23}$$

with $T_g\mathcal{C}_g^\omega \cap T_g\mathcal{Z}_g^\omega = \{0\}$, so that there is an orthogonal direct sum decomposition of the tangent bundle of $\mathcal{G}$ as

$$T_g\mathcal{G} = T_g\mathcal{C}_g^\omega \oplus T_g\mathcal{Z}_g^\omega ~. \tag{3.24}$$

The space of normal vectors (3.23) may be identified with the Lie algebra of the stabilizer subgroup (3.22) given by

$$\mathfrak{z}_g^\omega = \left\{ X \in \mathfrak{g} ~\middle|~ g^{-1}\, X\, g = \Omega(X) \right\} ~. \tag{3.25}$$

These D-submanifolds are also NS-supported with B-field [73]

$$B_{g_0} = -\left\langle \mathrm{d}h\, h^{-1} \,,\, \mathrm{Ad}_g \circ \Omega\left(\mathrm{d}h\, h^{-1}\right)\right\rangle \tag{3.26}$$

at $g_0 = h\, g\, \omega(h^{-1}) \in \mathcal{C}_g^\omega$, and they are stabilized against decay by the presence of a family of two-form abelian gauge field strengths $F_{g_0}^{(\zeta)} = \mathrm{d}A_{g_0}^{(\zeta)}$, $\zeta \in \mathfrak{z}_g^\omega$ given by [15]

$$F_{g_0}^{(\zeta)} = \left\langle \zeta \,,\, \left[\mathrm{d}h\, h^{-1} \,,\, \mathrm{d}h\, h^{-1}\right]\right\rangle ~. \tag{3.27}$$

If ζ is a fractional symmetric weight of $\mathcal{G}$, then the integral of (3.27) over any two-sphere in the worldvolume $\mathcal{C}_g^\omega$ of the D-brane is an integer multiple of 2π. The two-forms

$$\mathcal{F}_{g_0}^{(\zeta)} := B_{g_0} + F_{g_0}^{(\zeta)} \tag{3.28}$$

are invariant under gauge transformations $B_{g_0} \mapsto B_{g_0} + \mathrm{d}\Lambda$, $F_{g_0}^{(\zeta)} \mapsto F_{g_0}^{(\zeta)} - \mathrm{d}\Lambda$ of the B-field. They are the gauge invariant combinations (in string units $\alpha' = 1$) that appear in the Dirac-Born-Infeld action governing the target space dynamics of the D-branes.

There are two ways in which the Penrose limit may be achieved within this setting [12]. In both instances it can be understood as an Inönü-Wigner group contraction of $\mathcal{G}$ whose limit $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is a non-compact, non-semisimple Lie group admitting a bi-invariant metric. In the first case we assume $\mathcal{G}$ is simple and consider a one-parameter subgroup $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G}$, which is necessarily geodesic relative to the bi-invariant metric. If it is also null, then it gives rise to a null geodesic and hence the Penrose limit can be taken as prescribed in the previous subsection. In the second case we consider a product group $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}' \times \mathcal{H}$, where $\mathcal{G}'$ is a simple Lie group with a bi-invariant metric and $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G}'$ is a compact subgroup, with Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}'$, which inherits a bi-invariant metric from $\mathcal{G}$ by pull-back. The product group $\mathcal{G}' \times \mathcal{H}$ then carries the bi-invariant product metric corresponding to the bilinear form $\langle \cdot\,, \cdot \rangle \oplus (-\langle \cdot\,, \cdot \rangle|_{\mathfrak{h}})$ on $\mathfrak{g}' \oplus \mathfrak{h}$. The submanifold $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G}' \times \mathcal{H}$ given by the diagonal embedding is a Lie subgroup, and hence it is totally geodesic and maximally isotropic. The (generalized) Penrose limit of $\mathcal{G}' \times \mathcal{H}$ along $\mathcal{H}$ thereby yields a non-semisimple Lie group with a bi-invariant metric. The related group contraction can also be understood as an infinite volume limit along the compact directions of $\mathcal{G}' \times \mathcal{H}$, giving a semi-classical picture of the string dynamics in this background.

We may now attempt to specialize the isometric embedding diagram (3.14) to the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{G} \arrow[r, "\text{PGL}"] & \widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \\
\mathcal{C}_g^{\omega} \arrow[u, "\imath"] \arrow[r, "\text{PGL}"] & \mathcal{C}_{\tilde{g}}^{\tilde{\omega}} \arrow[u, "\tilde{\imath}"']
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.29}$$

specifying the Penrose-Güven limit between twisted D-branes. We have used the fact that the Penrose limit of a maximally symmetric space is again a maximally symmetric space [12], so that the Penrose-Güven isometric embedding diagrams preserve the symmetry of the embedded spaces and symmetric D-branes map onto symmetric D-branes in the limit. To formulate the symmetry conditions (3.18) and (3.19) within this algebraic setting, we restrict to the stabilizer algebra (3.25). For any $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and any Lie algebra element $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, the isometric embedding diagram (3.29) then commutes if and only if

$$\langle X, \cdot \rangle\big|_{\mathfrak{z}_h^{\omega}} = \langle X, \cdot \rangle\big|_{\mathfrak{z}_h^{\tilde{\omega}}} \ , \tag{3.30}$$

$$B_{h_0}(X, \cdot)\big|_{\mathfrak{z}_h^{\omega}} = B_{h_0}(X, \cdot)\big|_{\mathfrak{z}_h^{\tilde{\omega}}} \ , \tag{3.31}$$

$$F_{h_0}^{(\zeta)}(X, \cdot)\big|_{\mathfrak{z}_h^{\omega}} = F_{h_0}^{(\zeta)}(X, \cdot)\big|_{\mathfrak{z}_h^{\tilde{\omega}}} \ , \tag{3.32}$$

with the analogous restrictions on higher-degree p-form fields.

3.3 NW Limits

We will now apply these considerations to examine the possible D-embeddings of Nappi-Witten spacetimes. We start with the six-dimensional lorentzian manifold $M = \mathrm{AdS}_3 \times \mathrm{S}^3$ describing the near horizon geometry of a bound state of fundamental strings and NS5-branes [32]. This identification requires that both factors share a common radius of curvature R. We can embed $\mathrm{AdS}_3 \times \mathrm{S}^3$ in the pseudo-euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^{2,6}$ as the intersection of the two quadrics

$$\left(x^0\right)^2 + \left(x^1\right)^2 - \left(x^2\right)^2 - \left(x^3\right)^2 = R^2 \ , \tag{3.33}$$

$$\left(x^4\right)^2 + \left(x^5\right)^2 + \left(x^6\right)^2 + \left(x^7\right)^2 = R^2 \tag{3.34}$$

with the induced metric. An explicit parametrization is given by

$$\begin{array}{rclcrcl} x^0 &=& R\sqrt{1+r^2}\,\cos\tau\,\cosh\beta & , & x^4 &=& R\,\cos\phi\ , \\ x^1 &=& R\,\sin\tau & , & x^5 &=& R\,\chi\,\sin\phi\,\sin\psi\ , \\ x^2 &=& R\sqrt{1+r^2}\,\cos\tau\,\sinh\beta & , & x^6 &=& R\sqrt{1-\chi^2}\,\sin\phi\,\sin\psi\ , \\ x^3 &=& R\,r\,\cos\tau & , & x^7 &=& R\,\sin\phi\,\cos\psi\ . \end{array} \tag{3.35}$$

In these coordinates the metric, NS–NS B-field and three-form flux on $\mathrm{AdS}_3\times\mathrm{S}^3$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tfrac{1}{R^2}\,G &= -\mathrm{d}\tau^2+\cos^2\tau\,\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}r^2}{1+r^2}+\left(1+r^2\right)\,\mathrm{d}\beta^2\right) \\ &\quad +\mathrm{d}\phi^2+\sin^2\phi\,\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\chi^2}{1-\chi^2}+\left(1-\chi^2\right)\,\mathrm{d}\psi^2\right)\ , \end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

$$-\tfrac{1}{2R^2}\,H = \cos^2\tau\ \mathrm{d}\tau\wedge\mathrm{d}r\wedge\mathrm{d}\beta+\sin^2\phi\ \mathrm{d}\phi\wedge\mathrm{d}\chi\wedge\mathrm{d}\psi\ , \tag{3.37}$$

$$-\tfrac{2}{R^2}\,B = \left(\sin 2\tau+2\tau\right)\,\mathrm{d}r\wedge\mathrm{d}\beta+\left(\sin 2\phi-2\phi\right)\,\mathrm{d}\chi\wedge\mathrm{d}\psi\ . \tag{3.38}$$

Viewing M as the group manifold of the Lie group $\mathrm{SU}(1,1)\times\mathrm{SU}(2)$ with its usual bi-invariant metric induced by the Cartan-Killing form, its embedded submanifolds wrapped by maximally symmetric D-branes are given by twisted conjugacy classes of the group. Here we will focus on the family of D3-branes which are isometric to $N=\mathrm{AdS}_2\times\mathrm{S}^2$ and are given by the intersections of the hyperboloids (3.33,3.34) with the affine hyperplanes $x^3,x^5=$ constant [6]. In this way we may exhibit a foliation of $\mathrm{AdS}_3\times\mathrm{S}^3$ consisting of twisted D-branes, each of which is isometric to $\mathrm{AdS}_2\times\mathrm{S}^2$. Within this family, the intersection of $\mathrm{AdS}_3\times\mathrm{S}^3$ with the hyperplane defined by $x^3=x^5=0$ is special for a variety of reasons. It corresponds to the fixed point set of the reflection isometry of $\mathbb{E}^{2,6}$ defined by $x^3\mapsto -x^3$, $x^5\mapsto -x^5$ while leaving fixed all other coordinates. This isometry preserves the embedding (3.33,3.34) and hence induces an isometry of $\mathrm{AdS}_3\times\mathrm{S}^3$. The metric (3.36) restricts nondegenerately to the corresponding $\mathrm{AdS}_2\times\mathrm{S}^2$ submanifold, which is thereby totally geodesic and has equal radii of curvature R.

When we consider such embedded D-submanifolds, we should also add to the list of supergravity fields (3.36)–(3.38) the constant U(1) gauge field flux [6, 7]

$$\tfrac{2}{R^2}\,F=\tfrac{2}{R^2}\,\mathrm{d}A=4\pi\,\kappa_q\,\mathrm{d}r\wedge\mathrm{d}\beta-2\pi\,p\,\mathrm{d}\chi\wedge\mathrm{d}\psi\ , \tag{3.39}$$

where $p\in\mathbb{Z}$ is the magnetic monopole number through S^2, while $\kappa_q\in\mathbb{R}$ is related to the quantum number $q\in\mathbb{Z}$ giving the Dirac-Born-Infeld electric flux through AdS_2. The presence of this two-form prevents the wrapped D3-branes from collapsing. The quantity $\mathcal{F}=B+F$ is invariant under two-form gauge transformations of the B-field, but it is only the monopole flux and the dual Dirac-Born-Infeld electric displacement which are quantized. The addition of such worldvolume electric fields proportional to the volume form of AdS_2 along with worldvolume magnetic fields proportional to the volume form of S^2 still preserves supersymmetry. The sources of such fluxes are (p,q) strings connecting the D3-branes to the NS5/F1 black string background in the near horizon region [6]. The Dirac-Born-Infeld energy of the branes wrapping $\mathrm{AdS}_2\times\mathrm{S}^2$ is locally minimized at $(\tau,\phi)=(2\pi\,\kappa_q,\pi\,p)$ [6, 7], and at those values the gauge-invariant two-form is given by

$$-\tfrac{2}{R^2}\,\mathcal{F}=\sin 2\tau\ \mathrm{d}r\wedge\mathrm{d}\beta+\sin 2\phi\ \mathrm{d}\chi\wedge\mathrm{d}\psi\ . \tag{3.40}$$

Let us now consider the Penrose-Güven limit of M which produces the six-dimensional Nappi-Witten spacetime $\widetilde{M} = \mathrm{NW}_6$ [12]. As Lie groups, the Penrose limit can be interpreted as an Inönü-Wigner group contraction of $\mathrm{SU}(1,1) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$ onto $\mathcal{N}_6$ [74]. Geometrically, it can be achieved along any null geodesic which has a non-vanishing velocity component tangent to the sphere S^3. For this, we change coordinates in the (τ, ϕ) plane to

$$U = \phi + \tau \ , \quad V = \tfrac{1}{2}\,(\phi - \tau) \ , \tag{3.41}$$

and relabel the remaining coordinates as

$$Y^1 = r \ , \quad Y^2 = \beta \ , \quad Y^3 = \chi \ , \quad Y^4 = \psi \ . \tag{3.42}$$

This enables us to represent the fields in (3.36)–(3.38) in the adapted coordinate forms (3.1), (3.3) and (3.4), which thereby exhibits $\frac{\partial}{\partial U} = \frac{1}{2}\,(\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi} + \frac{\partial}{\partial\tau})$ as the null geodesic vector field with $G(\frac{\partial}{\partial U}, \frac{\partial}{\partial U}) = 0$. After the Penrose-Güven limit, the metric and Neveu-Schwarz fields along the geodesic $\gamma(U)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tfrac{1}{R^2}\,\widetilde{G} &= 2\ \mathrm{d}u\ \mathrm{d}v + \sin^2\tfrac{u}{2}\ \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}^2 \ , && (3.43) \\ \tfrac{1}{R^2}\,\widetilde{H} &= \cos^2\tfrac{u}{2}\ \mathrm{d}u \wedge \mathrm{d}y^1 \wedge \mathrm{d}y^2 - \sin^2\tfrac{u}{2}\ \mathrm{d}u \wedge \mathrm{d}y^3 \wedge \mathrm{d}y^4 \ , && (3.44) \\ \tfrac{4}{R^2}\,\widetilde{B} &= -(u + \sin u)\ \mathrm{d}y^1 \wedge \mathrm{d}y^2 + (u - \sin u)\ \mathrm{d}y^3 \wedge \mathrm{d}y^4 && (3.45) \end{aligned}$$

with $\boldsymbol{y}^\top = (y^i) \in \mathbb{R}^4$. At this stage it is convenient to transform from Rosen coordinates to Brinkman coordinates as

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \mu\,x^+ \ , \\ v &= \mu^{-1}\,x^- + \tfrac{1}{2}\,\big(|z|^2 + |w|^2\big)\ \cot\tfrac{\mu\,x^+}{2} \ , \\ y^1 + \mathrm{i}\,y^2 &= w\ \csc\tfrac{\mu\,x^+}{2} \ , \\ y^3 + \mathrm{i}\,y^4 &= z\ \csc\tfrac{\mu\,x^+}{2} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.46}$$

It is then straightforward to compute that one recovers the standard NS-supported geometry of NW_6, with supergravity fields $\frac{1}{R^2}\,\widetilde{G} = \mathrm{d}s_6^2$, $\frac{1}{2R^2}\,\widetilde{H} = H_6$ and $\frac{2}{R^2}\,\widetilde{B} = B_6$ given by (2.26,2.27).

The foliating hyperplanes $w = w_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ isometrically embed NW_4 in NW_6 with its standard geometry (2.8,2.10) and zero-point energy $b = -\frac{\mu^2}{4}\,|w_0|^2$. The Penrose-Güven limit of the worldvolume flux (3.39) vanishes, $\widetilde{F} = 0$, because it is the field strength of a $\mathrm{U}(1)$ gauge field. On the other hand, the gauge invariant two-form (3.40) transforms as a potential under the Penrose-Güven limit and one finds

$$\mathcal{F}_6 := -\tfrac{2}{R^2}\,\widetilde{\mathcal{F}} = -\,\mathrm{i}\ \cot\tfrac{\mu\,x^+}{2}\ \Big[\mathrm{d}\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}^\top \wedge \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{z} + \tfrac{\mu}{2}\ \cot\tfrac{\mu\,x^+}{2}\ \mathrm{d}x^+ \wedge \Big(\boldsymbol{z}^\top\ \mathrm{d}\overline{\boldsymbol{z}} - \overline{\boldsymbol{z}}^\top\ \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{z}\Big)\Big] \ . \tag{3.47}$$

Strictly speaking, this field is only defined on the constant time slices $x^+ = \frac{\pi}{\mu}\,(2\kappa_q + p)$ of NW_6 induced by the energy minimizing configurations described above. However, with the scaling transformations employed in the Penrose-Güven limit we can take the form (3.47) to be valid at all times. It induces a worldvolume two-form $\mathcal{F}_4 := \mathcal{F}_6|_{w=w_0}$ on NW_4.

Let us now examine the isometric embedding of the totally geodesic $\mathrm{AdS}_2 \times \mathrm{S}^2$ D-brane in $\mathrm{AdS}_3 \times \mathrm{S}^3$, which may be defined as the hyperplane $Y^1 = Y^3 = 0$. The geodetic property ensures that the Penrose limit of $\mathrm{AdS}_3\times\mathrm{S}^3$ induces that of $\mathrm{AdS}_2\times\mathrm{S}^2$, which yields the Cahen-Wallach symmetric space CW_4 [12]. However, using the general analysis of Section 3.1, one can see immediately that the Güven extension breaks down. In this case the normal bundle fiber $T_{(U,0,\mathbf{0})}N^\perp$ is locally spanned by the vector fields ∂_2,∂_4, while $T_{(U,0,\mathbf{0})}\widetilde{N}^\perp$ is spanned by ∂_3,∂_4. From the local form of the B-field (3.45), we see for instance that $b_{12}(u)=-\frac12\,(u+\sin u)$ and $b_{13}(u)=b_{14}(u)=0$ are clearly distinct. There is thus no gauge transformation such that (3.19) is satisfied. On the other hand, since the transverse plane metric $C_{ij}(u)=\sin^2\frac u2\,\delta_{ij}$ in (3.43) is proportional to the identity, (3.18) is trivially satisfied and so the Penrose limit of the $\mathrm{AdS}_2\times\mathrm{S}^2$ metric coincides with that of CW_4. This can also be checked by explicit calculation. Indeed, the restrictions of the Neveu-Schwarz fields (3.37,3.38) to constant Y^1 and Y^3 both vanish, as does that of the two-form (3.40), and hence so do their Penrose-Güven limits.

One may think that this problem could be rectified by choosing an alternative embedding of $\mathrm{AdS}_2\times\mathrm{S}^2$ for which the B-field is non-vanishing, such as that with $\tau,\phi=$ constant, as is appropriate for the minimal energy symmetric D3-branes. However, the induced NS-flux (3.37) still vanishes, and indeed this leads to the standard (fuzzy) geometry of euclidean $\mathrm{AdS}_2\times\mathrm{S}^2$. The Penrose limit of $\mathrm{AdS}_2\times\mathrm{S}^2$ could be taken now in the adapted coordinates $U=\chi+r$, $V=\frac12\,(\chi-r)$, $Y^1=\beta$, $Y^2=\psi$, and after another suitable change to Brinkman coordinates it leads to the anticipated CW_4 geometry. However, now the only non-vanishing components of the B-field are B_{Ui} and B_{Vi}, which both necessarily vanish after the Penrose-Güven limit is taken. The same is true of the gauge invariant two-form (3.40), and we are forced to conclude that there is no way to obtain the fully NS-supported geometry of NW_4 as a plane wave limit from that of $\mathrm{AdS}_2\times\mathrm{S}^2$. However, now the CW_4 branes carry a non-vanishing null worldvolume flux which may be written in Brinkman coordinates as

$$\tfrac{2}{R^2}\,\widetilde{F}=\tfrac{\pi\mu}{2}\,\csc\tfrac{\mu x^+}{2}\,\left[(2\kappa_q-\mathrm{i}\,p)\,\mathrm{d}x^+\wedge\mathrm{d}w+(2\kappa_q+\mathrm{i}\,p)\,\mathrm{d}x^+\wedge\mathrm{d}\overline{w}\right]\,. \tag{3.48}$$

3.4 Embedding Diagrams for NW Spacetimes

Let us now describe two simple and obvious remedies to the problem which we have raised in the previous subsection. The first one modifies the embedding $\widetilde{\imath}$ on the right-hand side of the diagram (3.14) to be the intersection of $\widetilde{M}=\mathrm{NW}_6$ with the hyperplane $y^1=y^3=0$, so that $T_{(U,0,\mathbf{0})}N^\perp=T_{(U,0,\mathbf{0})}\widetilde{N}^\perp$ and the conditions (3.18) and (3.19) are always trivially satisfied. Now the pull-backs of the Neveu-Schwarz fields (3.44,3.45) vanish, as does that of the two-form (3.47), while the pull-back of the metric (3.43) is still the standard metric on CW_4. This embedding thereby preserves the basic Cahen-Wallach structure $\mathrm{CW}_4\subset\mathrm{NW}_6$, and the vanishing of the other supergravity form fields on CW_4 is indeed induced now by the Penrose-Güven limit from $\mathrm{AdS}_2\times\mathrm{S}^2$. We may thereby write the commuting embedding diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{AdS}_3\times\mathrm{S}^3 \arrow[r, "\mathrm{PGL}"] & \mathrm{NW}_6 \\
\mathrm{AdS}_2\times\mathrm{S}^2 \arrow[r, "\mathrm{PGL}"] \arrow[u, "\imath"] & \mathrm{CW}_4 \arrow[u, "\widetilde{\imath}"']
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.49}$$

which describes the Penrose-Güven limit between *commutative*, maximally symmetric lorentzian D3-branes in $\mathrm{AdS}_3\times\mathrm{S}^3$ and the six-dimensional Nappi-Witten spacetime NW_6. Due to the vanishing of the worldvolume flux and the fact that $\pi_2(\mathrm{S}^3)=0$, these D-branes can be unstable. They may shrink to zero size completely corresponding to point-like D-instantons, to euclidean D-strings induced at a point in S^3 with worldvolume geometries $AdS_2 \subset AdS_3$, or to euclidean D-strings sitting at a point in AdS_3 and wrapping $S^2 \subset S^3$ on the left-hand side of the diagram (3.49). These symmetric decay products will be generically lost in the Penrose-Güven limit, as will become evident in the ensuing sections.

Alternatively, we may choose to modify the embedding $\imath$ on the left-hand side of the diagram (3.14) to be the intersection of $M = AdS_3 \times S^3$ with the hyperplane $Y^1 = Y^2 = 0$. Again $T_{(U,0,\mathbf{0})}N^\perp = T_{(U,0,\mathbf{0})}\widetilde{N}^\perp$ and so the conditions (3.18) and (3.19) are trivially satisfied. This hyperplane corresponds to the intersection of the hyperboloid (3.33) with $x^2 = x^3 = 0$, while (3.34) is left unchanged. It thereby defines a totally geodesic embedding of $S^{1,0} \times S^3$ in $AdS_3 \times S^3$. This does not define a twisted conjugacy class of the Lie group $SU(1,1) \times SU(2)$ and so does not correspond to a symmetric D-brane [6]. Instead, it arises in the near horizon geometry of a stack of NS5-branes [33]. The pull-backs of the NS–NS fields (3.37,3.38) are non-vanishing, and the null geodesic defined by (3.41) spins along an equator of the sphere S^3. The Penrose-Güven limit thus induces the complete NS-supported geometry of NW_4 [23], and it can be thought of as a group contraction of $U(1) \times SU(2)$ along $U(1)$ onto $\mathcal{N}_4$. We may thereby write the commuting embedding diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} AdS_3 \times S^3 \arrow[r, "PGL"] & NW_6 \\ S^{1,0} \times S^3 \arrow[u, "\imath"] \arrow[r, "PGL"] & NW_4 \arrow[u, "\widetilde{\imath}"'] \end{tikzcd} \tag{3.50}$$

describing *noncommutative* branes. The lorentzian NW_4 D3-brane, supported by non-trivial worldvolume fields, is stabilized against decay by its worldvolume two-form $\mathcal{F}_4 = \mathcal{F}_6|_{z=0}$.

These results are all consistent with the remark made just after (3.29). The brane $AdS_2 \times S^2$ is a symmetric D-submanifold of $AdS_3 \times S^3$. As we discuss in detail in Section 5, NW_4 is *not* a symmetric D-brane in NW_6, although CW_4 is. Consistently, $S^{1,0} \times S^3 \subset AdS_3 \times S^3$ is not a maximally symmetric D-embedding, but rather a member of the hierarchy of factorizing symmetry-breaking D-branes in $SU(1,1) \times SU(2)$ which preserves the action of an $\mathbb{R} \times SU(2)$ subgroup and is localized along a product of images of twisted conjugacy classes [58]. Similarly, both the $AdS_3 \times S^3$ and NW_6 branes are non-symmetric, while CW_6 is the worldvolume of a spacetime-filling twisted D5-brane in NW_6.

4 Noncommutative Branes in NW_6: Untwisted Case

In this section and the next we will identify which *symmetric* D-branes of the six-dimensional Nappi-Witten spacetime NW_6 support a noncommutative worldvolume geometry. After identifying all supergravity fields living on the respective worldvolumes, we will proceed to quantize the classical geometries using standard techniques [65]. A potential obstruction to this procedure is that, as in any curved string background, a non-vanishing H-flux can lead to non-associative deformations of worldvolume algebras, typically giving rise to variants of quantum group algebras that are deformations of standard noncommutative geometries for which there is no general notion of quantization. In certain semi-classical limits the NS-flux vanishes, $H = 0$, such as in the conformal field theory description based on a compact group $\mathcal{G}$ in the limit of infinite Kac-Moody level $k \to \infty$ [2]. Such limits correspond to field theory limits of the string theory whereby the Neveu-Schwarz B-field (or more precisely the gauge invariant two-form (3.28)) induces a symplectic structure on the brane worldvolume, which can be quantized in principle. In our situation we can identify $k = \mu^{-2}$, and the semi-classical limit corresponds to the limit in which the plane wave approaches flat spacetime. Such limits will always arise for the branes that we encounter in this paper. We will substantiate our characterizations by comparison with known results from the boundary conformal field theory of the Nappi-Witten model [24, 42]. In this section we deal with branes described by conjugacy classes of the Nappi-Witten group $\mathcal{N}_6$.

Generally, for the ordinary (untwisted) conjugacy classes ($\omega = 1\!\!1$ in the notation of (3.20)), the worldvolumes inherit a natural symplectic form via the exponential map from the usual Kirillov-Kostant form on coadjoint orbits, which coincides with the symplectic structure induced by the B-field when $H = 0$. The conjugacy classes, given as orbits of the adjoint action of the Lie group $\mathcal{G}$ on itself, are then identified with representations of $\mathfrak{g}$, obtained from quantization of coadjoint orbits, via the non-degenerate invariant bilinear form $\langle\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\rangle$ on $\mathfrak{g}$. If V_g is an irreducible module over the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponding to the D-brane $D = \mathcal{C}_g := \mathcal{C}_g^{1\!\!1}$, then the noncommutative algebra of functions on the worldvolume is given by [2]

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}_g) = \mathrm{End}(V_g)\ . \tag{4.1}$$

The worldvolume algebra (4.1) carries a natural (adjoint) action of the group $\mathcal{G}$. In the present case we will find that these quantized conjugacy classes carry a noncommutative geometry which is completely analogous to that carried by D3-branes in flat space $\mathbb{E}^4$ with a uniform magnetic field on their worldvolume [28, 67, 76, 77], as expected from the harmonic oscillator character of dynamics in Nappi-Witten spacetime described at length in Section 2. We shall also find an interesting class of commutative null branes whose quantum geometry generically differs significantly from that of the classical conjugacy classes, due a transverse U(2) rotational symmetry of the NW_6 background.

4.1 General Construction

It will prove convenient to introduce the doublet $(\underline{\mathsf{P}}^{\pm})^\top := (\mathsf{P}^{\pm}, \mathsf{Q}^{\pm})$ of generators and write Brinkman coordinates on $\mathcal{N}_6$ as

$$g(x^+, x^-, \boldsymbol{z}, \overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,) = \mathrm{e}^{\,\frac{\mu}{2}\,x^+\,\mathsf{J}}\ \mathrm{e}^{\,\boldsymbol{z}^\top\,\underline{\mathsf{P}}^+ + \overline{\boldsymbol{z}}^\top\,\underline{\mathsf{P}}^-}\ \mathrm{e}^{\,\frac{\mu}{2}\,x^+\,\mathsf{J}}\ \mathrm{e}^{\,\mu^{-1}\,x^-\,\mathsf{T}}\ . \tag{4.2}$$

In these coordinates one can work out the adjoint action $\mathrm{Ad}_{g(x^+,x^-,\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,)}\,g(x_0^+, x_0^-, \boldsymbol{z}_0, \overline{\boldsymbol{z}}_0)$ corresponding to a fixed point $(x_0^+, x_0^-, \boldsymbol{z}_0) \in \mathrm{NW}_6$ [30, 74], and the conjugacy classes can be written explicitly as the submanifolds

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{C}_{(x_0^+, x_0^-, \boldsymbol{z}_0)}\\ &\quad = \ \Big\{\Big(x_0^+\,,\, x_0^- - \tfrac{\mu}{2}\,|\boldsymbol{z}|^2\,\sin\mu\,x_0^+ + \mu\ \mathrm{Im}\Big[\boldsymbol{z}_0^\top\,\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\ \mathrm{e}^{\,\frac{\mathrm{i}\,\mu}{2}\,x^+}\ \cos\tfrac{\mu\,x_0^+}{2}\Big]\,,\, \mathrm{e}^{\,\mathrm{i}\,\mu\,x^+}\,\boldsymbol{z}_0 - 2\,\mathrm{i}\,\sin\tfrac{\mu\,x_0^+}{2}\ \mathrm{e}^{\,\frac{\mathrm{i}\,\mu}{2}\,x^+}\,\boldsymbol{z}\Big)\ \Big|\ {\scriptstyle x^+\in\mathrm{S}^1 \atop \boldsymbol{z}\in\mathbb{C}^2}\Big\}\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where we have used periodicity to restrict the light-cone time coordinate to $x^+, x_0^+ \in \mathrm{S}^1 = \mathbb{R}\,/\,2\pi\,\mu^{-1}\,\mathbb{Z}$. The null planes $x^+ = x_0^+$ are thus invariants of the conjugacy classes and can be used to distinguish the different D-submanifolds of NW_6. There are two types of euclidean branes generically associated to these conjugacy classes that we shall now proceed to describe in detail. In each case we first describe the classical geometry, and then proceed to quantize the orbits.

4.2 Null Branes

We begin with the "degenerate" cases where $x_0^+ = 0$.

Classical Geometry

When $\boldsymbol{z}_0 = \boldsymbol{0}$, the conjugacy class (4.3) corresponds to a D-instanton sitting at the point $(0, x_0^-, \boldsymbol{0}) \in \mathrm{NW}_6$. When $\boldsymbol{z}_0^\top := (z_0, w_0) \neq \boldsymbol{0}$ we denote the conjugacy classes by $\mathcal{C}_{|z_0|,|w_0|} := \mathcal{C}_{(0,x_0^-,\boldsymbol{z}_0)}$. Since $\mathcal{C}_{|z_0|,|w_0|} = \{(0, x^-, \mathrm{e}^{\,\mathrm{i}\,\mu\,x^+}\,\boldsymbol{z}_0 \mid x^- \in \mathbb{R}, x^+ \in \mathrm{S}^1\} \cong \mathbb{R} \times \mathrm{S}^1$, the resulting object may be thought of as a cylindrical brane extended along the null light-cone direction x^- with fixed radii $|z_0|, |w_0|$ in the two transverse planes $(z_0, w_0) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, and will therefore be refered to as a "null" brane. However, the quantization of the classical worldvolume will generally turn out to depend crucially on the radii. We can understand this dependence heuristically as follows. Generally, the Cahen-Wallach metric (2.26) possesses an SO(4) rotational symmetry of its transverse space $\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{C}^2 \cong \mathbb{R}^4$, which is broken to U(2) by the Neveu-Schwarz background (2.27). When $|z_0| = 0$ or $|w_0| = 0$, one has $\mathcal{C}_{|z_0|,0} \cong \mathcal{C}_{0,|w_0|} \cong \mathbb{R} \times \mathrm{S}^1$. However, when both $|z_0|$ and $|w_0|$ are non-zero, we may use this U(2) symmetry to rotate $w_0 \mapsto \mathrm{e}^{\,\mathrm{i}\,\phi}\,w_0$ at fixed z_0 by an arbitrary phase. In this case the cylindrical brane is parametrized effectively by *two* independent periodic coordinates, and one has

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_{|z_0|,|w_0|} &= \left\{(0\,,\,x^-\,,\,\mathrm{e}^{\,\mathrm{i}\,\mu\,x^+}\,z_0\,,\,\mathrm{e}^{\,\mathrm{i}\,\mu\,y^+}\,w_0)\;\middle|\;x^- \in \mathbb{R}\,,\,x^+, y^+ \in \mathrm{S}^1\right\} \\ &\cong \begin{cases}\text{point} \ , & z_0 = w_0 = 0 \\ \mathbb{R} \times \mathrm{S}^1 \times \mathrm{S}^1 \ , & z_0, w_0 \neq 0 \\ \mathbb{R} \times \mathrm{S}^1 \ , & \text{otherwise} \ .\end{cases}\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

In each case it carries a degenerate metric

$$\mathrm{d}s_6^2\,\big|_{\mathcal{C}_{|z_0|,|w_0|}} = \mu^2\,|z_0|^2\,\left(\mathrm{d}x^+\right)^2 + \mu^2\,|w_0|^2\,\left(\mathrm{d}y^+\right)^2 \ . \tag{4.5}$$

Such a brane generically has no straightforward interpretation as a D-brane, as the corresponding Dirac-Born-Infeld action is ill-defined. Nevertheless, it will play a role in our ensuing analysis and so we shall analyse it in some detail.

When $\boldsymbol{z}_0 \neq \boldsymbol{0}$, the centralizer of $g_0 := g(0, x_0^-, \boldsymbol{z}_0, \overline{\boldsymbol{z}}_0)$ is the subgroup of $\mathcal{N}_6$ parametrized as the submanifold

$$\mathcal{Z}_{|z_0|,|w_0|} = \left\{(0\,,\,x^-\,,\,\boldsymbol{z})\;\middle|\;\mathrm{Im}\,\boldsymbol{z}_0^\top\,\overline{\boldsymbol{z}} = 0\right\} \cong \mathbb{R}^4 \tag{4.6}$$

with a degenerate metric, while $\mathcal{Z}_{0,0} \cong \mathcal{N}_6$. The Neveu-Schwarz fields vanish on the brane,

$$H_6\,\big|_{\mathcal{C}_{|z_0|,|w_0|}} = B_6\,\big|_{\mathcal{C}_{|z_0|,|w_0|}} = 0 \ , \tag{4.7}$$

while an elementary calculation using (2.1), (2.3), (2.6) and (4.6) shows that the abelian gauge field fluxes (3.27) also vanish on the null brane worldvolume,

$$F_6^{(\zeta)}\,\big|_{\mathcal{C}_{|z_0|,|w_0|}} = 0 \tag{4.8}$$

for any $\zeta = \mu^{-1}\,x^-\,\mathsf{T} + \boldsymbol{z}^\top\,\underline{\mathsf{P}}^+ + \overline{\boldsymbol{z}}^\top\,\underline{\mathsf{P}}^-$ in the tangent space to the centralizer (4.6). This suggests that these conjugacy classes should describe *commutative* branes, and we shall now demonstrate explicitly that this is indeed the case. Since the H-field vanishes on the null branes, we can use the standard coadjoint orbit method as discussed earlier.

Let us now describe the algebra of functions (4.1) on the null brane worldvolume. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_6$ has three types of unitary irreducible representations $\mathcal{D}^{p^+,p^-} : U(\mathfrak{n}_6) \to \mathrm{End}(V^{p^+,p^-})$ labelled by light-cone momenta $p^\pm \in \mathbb{R}$ [10, 19, 47]. On each module V^{p^+,p^-}, elements of the center of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{n}_6)$ are proportional to the identity operator $\mathbb{1}$. In particular, the central element T acts as

$$\mathcal{D}^{p^+,p^-}(\mathsf{T}) = \mathrm{i}\,\mu\,p^+\,\mathbb{1} \ . \tag{4.9}$$

According to (2.34), the modules corresponding to the null branes live in the class $V^{0,p^-}_{\alpha,\beta}$, $\alpha,\beta \in [0,\infty)$ of continuous representations with $p^+ = 0$. In this case, in addition to T there are *two* other Casimir operators corresponding to the quadratic elements $\mathsf{P}^+\,\mathsf{P}^-$ and $\mathsf{Q}^+\,\mathsf{Q}^-$ of $U(\mathfrak{n}_6)$ with the eigenvalues

$$\mathcal{D}^{0,p^-}_{\alpha,\beta}\left(\mathsf{P}^+\,\mathsf{P}^-\right) = -\alpha^2\,\mathbb{1} \ , \ \ \mathcal{D}^{0,p^-}_{\alpha,\beta}\left(\mathsf{Q}^+\,\mathsf{Q}^-\right) = -\beta^2\,\mathbb{1} \ . \tag{4.10}$$

As a separable Hilbert space, the module $V^{0,p^-}_{\alpha,\beta}$ may be expressed as the linear span

$$V^{0,p^-}_{\alpha,\beta} = \bigoplus_{n,m\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}\cdot\left|\begin{smallmatrix} n & m \\ \alpha & \beta\end{smallmatrix}\,;\,p^-\right\rangle \tag{4.11}$$

with the non-trivial actions of the generators of $\mathfrak{n}_6$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^{0,p^-}_{\alpha,\beta}\left(\mathsf{P}^\pm\right)\left|\begin{smallmatrix} n & m \\ \alpha & \beta\end{smallmatrix}\,;\,p^-\right\rangle &= \mathrm{i}\,\alpha\left|\begin{smallmatrix} n\mp1 & m \\ \alpha & \beta\end{smallmatrix}\,;\,p^-\right\rangle \ , \\ \mathcal{D}^{0,p^-}_{\alpha,\beta}\left(\mathsf{Q}^\pm\right)\left|\begin{smallmatrix} n & m \\ \alpha & \beta\end{smallmatrix}\,;\,p^-\right\rangle &= \mathrm{i}\,\beta\left|\begin{smallmatrix} n & m\mp1 \\ \alpha & \beta\end{smallmatrix}\,;\,p^-\right\rangle \ , \\ \mathcal{D}^{0,p^-}_{\alpha,\beta}\left(\mathsf{J}\right)\left|\begin{smallmatrix} n & m \\ \alpha & \beta\end{smallmatrix}\,;\,p^-\right\rangle &= \mathrm{i}\,\left(\mu^{-1}\,p^- - n - m\right)\left|\begin{smallmatrix} n & m \\ \alpha & \beta\end{smallmatrix}\,;\,p^-\right\rangle \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

The inner product is defined such that the basis (4.11) is orthonormal,

$$\left\langle\begin{smallmatrix} n & m \\ \alpha & \beta\end{smallmatrix}\,;\,p^-\ \middle|\ \begin{smallmatrix} n' & m' \\ \alpha & \beta\end{smallmatrix}\,;\,p^-\right\rangle = \delta_{nn'}\,\delta_{mm'} \ . \tag{4.13}$$

These representations have no highest or lowest weight states. Note that shifting the labels n or m by 1 gives an equivalent representation

$$V^{0,p^-}_{\alpha,\beta} \ \cong \ V^{0,p^-+\mu}_{\alpha,\beta} \ . \tag{4.14}$$

In other words, only the representations $V^{0,p^-}_{\alpha,\beta}$ with $p^- \in [0,\mu)$ are inequivalent. This is analogous to the periodicity constraint imposed on the light-cone coordinate x^+ in (4.3).

Among these representations is the trivial one-dimensional representation $V^{0,0}_{0,0}$ which corresponds to the D-instantons found above. To generically associate them with the null brane worldvolumes obtained before, we use the correspondence between Casimir elements and class functions on the group, which are respectively constant in irreducible representations and on conjugacy classes. Note that the geodesics in NW$_6$ obey $p^+ = \dot{x}^+$ and $p^- = \dot{x}^- - \frac{\mu^2}{4}\,|\boldsymbol{z}|^2\,p^+$ (c.f. (2.36)). At $p^+ = 0$, we may thereby identify the parameters α and β with the radii $|z_0|$ and $|w_0|$ in the two transverse planes (z_0,w_0), while p^- is identified with a fixed value of the light-cone position x^-. The quantized algebra of functions on the conjugacy class (4.4) is thus given by

$$\mathcal{A}\left(\mathcal{C}_{|z_0|,|w_0|}\right) = \mathrm{End}\big(V^{0,p^-}_{|z_0|,|w_0|}\big) \ , \tag{4.15}$$

where a generic element $\hat{f} \in \mathrm{End}(V^{0,p^-}_{\alpha,\beta})$ is a complex linear combination

$$\hat{f} = \sum_{n,m,n',m' \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{n,m;n',m'} \, \left|{n\ m \atop \alpha\ \beta}\,;\,p^-\right\rangle\left\langle{n'\ m' \atop \alpha\ \beta}\,;\,p^-\right| \, . \tag{4.16}$$

In this context, we may appropriately regard the conjugacy class (4.4) as the worldvolume of a symmetric Dp-brane with $p = -1, 1, 0$ and volume element (4.5). Because of (4.14), in the quantum geometry the light-cone position is restricted to a finite interval $x^- \in [0, \mu)$.

This identification can be better understood by introducing the coherent states

$$\left|{x^+\ y^+ \atop \alpha\ \ \beta}\,;\,p^-\right\rangle := \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{e}^{\,\mathrm{i}\,n\,\mu\,x^+ + \mathrm{i}\,m\,\mu\,y^+} \, \left|{n\ m \atop \alpha\ \beta}\,;\,p^-\right\rangle \ , \quad x^+, y^+ \in \mathrm{S}^1 \ , \tag{4.17}$$

on which the non-trivial symmetry generators are represented as differential operators

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}^{0,p^-}_{\alpha,\beta}\left(\mathsf{P}^\pm\right)\left|{x^+\ y^+ \atop \alpha\ \ \beta}\,;\,p^-\right\rangle &= \mathrm{i}\,\alpha\ \mathrm{e}^{\,\pm\mathrm{i}\,\mu\,x^+}\left|{x^+\ y^+ \atop \alpha\ \ \beta}\,;\,p^-\right\rangle \ , \\
\mathcal{D}^{0,p^-}_{\alpha,\beta}\left(\mathsf{Q}^\pm\right)\left|{x^+\ y^+ \atop \alpha\ \ \beta}\,;\,p^-\right\rangle &= \mathrm{i}\,\beta\ \mathrm{e}^{\,\pm\mathrm{i}\,\mu\,y^+}\left|{x^+\ y^+ \atop \alpha\ \ \beta}\,;\,p^-\right\rangle \ , \\
\mathcal{D}^{0,p^-}_{\alpha,\beta}\left(\mathsf{J}\right)\left|{x^+\ y^+ \atop \alpha\ \ \beta}\,;\,p^-\right\rangle &= \mathrm{i}\,\mu^{-1}\left(p^- - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^+} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y^+}\right)\left|{x^+\ y^+ \atop \alpha\ \ \beta}\,;\,p^-\right\rangle \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

The conjugate state to (4.17) is given by

$$\left\langle{x^+\ y^+ \atop \alpha\ \ \beta}\right| = \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{e}^{\,-\mathrm{i}\,n\,\mu\,x^+ - \mathrm{i}\,m\,\mu\,y^+} \, \left\langle{n\ m \atop \alpha\ \beta}\,;\,p^-\right| \ , \tag{4.19}$$

so that the inner product is

$$\left\langle{x_1^+\ y_1^+ \atop \alpha\ \ \beta}\,;\,p^-\ \right|\left.{x_2^+\ y_2^+ \atop \alpha\ \ \beta}\,;\,p^-\right\rangle = \delta\left(x_1^+ - x_2^+\right)\,\delta\left(y_1^+ - y_2^+\right) \tag{4.20}$$

while the resolution of unity is

$$1\!\!1 \ = \ \mu^2 \int\limits_0^{2\pi\,\mu^{-1}} \frac{\mathrm{d}x^+}{2\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi\,\mu^{-1}} \frac{\mathrm{d}y^+}{2\pi} \, \left|{x^+\ y^+ \atop \alpha\ \ \beta}\,;\,p^-\right\rangle\left\langle{x^+\ y^+ \atop \alpha\ \ \beta}\,;\,p^-\right| \ . \tag{4.21}$$

Thus the states (4.17) form an overcomplete basis for the Fock space $V^{0,p^-}_{\alpha,\beta}$. The metric and Kirillov-Kostant symplectic two-form on the orbit can be computed as the matrix elements in the states (4.17) of the operators $|\mathcal{D}^{0,p^-}_{|z_0|,|w_0|}(g^{-1}\ \mathrm{d}g)|^2$ and $\mathcal{D}^{0,p^-}_{|z_0|,|w_0|}([g^{-1}\ \mathrm{d}g\,,\,g^{-1}\ \mathrm{d}g])$, respectively. They coincide with those computed above as the pull-backs of the Nappi-Witten geometry to the conjugacy classes (4.4).

We may now attempt to view the worldvolume algebra (4.15) as a deformation of the classical algebra of functions on the conjugacy class (4.4). On the null hyperplanes $x^- =$ constant, we define an isomorphism of underlying vector spaces

$$\Delta \, : \, \mathrm{C}^\infty\left(\mathcal{C}_{|z_0|,|w_0|}\right) \ \longrightarrow \ \mathrm{End}\big(V^{0,p^-}_{|z_0|,|w_0|}\big) \tag{4.22}$$

in the following manner. Decomposing any smooth function $f \in \mathrm{C}^\infty(\mathcal{C}_{|z_0|,|w_0|})$ as a Fourier series over the torus $\mathrm{S}^1 \times \mathrm{S}^1$ given by

$$f\left(x^+, y^+\right) = \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{n,m} \ \mathrm{e}^{\,\mathrm{i}\,n\,\mu\,x^+ + \mathrm{i}\,m\,\mu\,y^+} \ , \tag{4.23}$$

we write

$$\hat{f} = \Delta(f) := \sum_{n,m\in\mathbb{Z}} f_{n,m}\,\Big(\tfrac{1}{\mathrm{i}\,|z_0|}\,\mathcal{D}^{0,p^-}_{|z_0|,|w_0|}\big(\mathsf{P}^{\varepsilon(n)}\big)\Big)^{|n|}\,\Big(\tfrac{1}{\mathrm{i}\,|w_0|}\,\mathcal{D}^{0,p^-}_{|z_0|,|w_0|}\big(\mathsf{Q}^{\varepsilon(m)}\big)\Big)^{|m|} \tag{4.24}$$

where the label $\varepsilon(n) = \pm$ corresponds to the sign of $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The inverse map is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(x^+,y^+\right) &:= \Delta^{-1}\big(\hat{f}\,\big)\left(x^+,y^+\right) \\ &= \mu^2 \int\limits_0^{2\pi\,\mu^{-1}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{x}^+}{2\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi\,\mu^{-1}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{y}^+}{2\pi}\,\Big\langle \genfrac{}{}{0pt}{}{\tilde{x}^+}{|z_0|}\,\genfrac{}{}{0pt}{}{\tilde{y}^+}{|w_0|}\,;\,p^-\Big|\,\hat{f}\,\Big|\genfrac{}{}{0pt}{}{x^+}{|z_0|}\,\genfrac{}{}{0pt}{}{y^+}{|w_0|}\,;\,p^-\Big\rangle\ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

As expected, from (4.18) one finds that the functions corresponding to the generators of $\mathfrak{n}_6$ on $V^{0,p^-}_{|z_0|,|w_0|}$ coincide with the coordinates of the conjugacy classes (4.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{-1}\Big(\mathcal{D}^{0,p^-}_{|z_0|,|w_0|}\left(\mathsf{P}^{\pm}\right)\Big)\left(x^+,y^+\right) &= \mathrm{i}\,|z_0|\ \mathrm{e}^{\pm\,\mathrm{i}\,\mu\,x^+}\ , \\ \Delta^{-1}\Big(\mathcal{D}^{0,p^-}_{|z_0|,|w_0|}\left(\mathsf{Q}^{\pm}\right)\Big)\left(x^+,y^+\right) &= \mathrm{i}\,|w_0|\ \mathrm{e}^{\pm\,\mathrm{i}\,\mu\,y^+}\ , \\ \Delta^{-1}\Big(\mathcal{D}^{0,p^-}_{|z_0|,|w_0|}(\mathsf{J})\Big)\left(x^+,y^+\right) &= \mathrm{i}\,\mu^{-1}\,p^-\ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

Generically, the classical conjugacy class (4.3) in this case corresponds to the diagonal subspace $x^+ = y^+$ of the space spanned by the coherent states (4.17).

Since the operator (4.24) is diagonal in the basis (4.17) with eigenvalue $f(x^+,y^+)$, we easily establish that in this case the map (4.22) is in fact an *algebra* isomorphism. Namely, the product of two operators $\hat{f}$ and $\hat{g}$ on $V^{0,p^-}_{|z_0|,|w_0|}$ corresponds to the pointwise multiplication of the associated functions on $\mathcal{C}_{|z_0|,|w_0|}$,

$$\Delta^{-1}\big(\hat{f}\,\hat{g}\,\big)\left(x^+,y^+\right) = f\left(x^+,y^+\right)\,g\left(x^+,y^+\right)\ . \tag{4.27}$$

Thus the worldvolume algebra (4.15) in the present case describes a *commutative* geometry on the null branes, in agreement with the classical analysis. This is also in accord with the fact that the $p^+ = 0$ sector of the dynamics in Nappi-Witten spacetime does not feel the harmonic oscillator potential of Section 2.3, and thereby describes free motion in the transverse space.

4.3 D3-Branes

Let us now turn to the somewhat more interesting cases with $x_0^+ \neq 0$, whereby the classical worldvolume geometries are nondegenerate.

Classical Geometry

In this case the conjugacy class (4.3) can be written after a trivial coordinate redefinition as

$$\mathcal{C}_{(x_0^+,x_0^-,\boldsymbol{z}_0)} = \Big\{\big(x_0^+\,,\,x_0^- + \tfrac{\mu}{4}\left(|\boldsymbol{z}_0|^2 - |\boldsymbol{z}'\,|^2\right)\cot\tfrac{\mu\,x_0^+}{2}\,,\,\boldsymbol{z}'\,\big)\ \Big|\ \boldsymbol{z}'\in\mathbb{C}^2\Big\} \cong \mathbb{E}^4\ . \tag{4.28}$$

It may be labelled as $\mathcal{C}_{x_0^+,\chi_0}$, with $\chi_0 := x_0^- + \frac{\mu}{4}\,|\boldsymbol{z}_0|^2\,\cot\frac{\mu\,x_0^+}{2}$, so that the corresponding branes have four-dimensional worldvolumes located at

$$x^+ = x_0^+\ , \quad x^- = \chi_0 - \tfrac{\mu}{4}\,|\boldsymbol{z}|^2\,\cot\tfrac{\mu\,x_0^+}{2}\ . \tag{4.29}$$

The worldvolume metric is non-degenerate and given by

$$\mathrm{d}s_6^2\big|_{\mathcal{C}_{x_0^+,\chi_0}} = |\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{z}|^2 \ , \tag{4.30}$$

so that in this case the conjugacy classes are wrapped by flat euclidean D3-branes. The NS fields on the worldvolume are given by flat space forms

$$H_6\big|_{\mathcal{C}_{x_0^+,\chi_0}} = 0 \ , \quad B_6\big|_{\mathcal{C}_{x_0^+,\chi_0}} = -2\,\mathrm{i}\,\mu\,x_0^+ \ \mathrm{d}\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}^{\top}\wedge\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{z} \ . \tag{4.31}$$

The vanishing of the H-flux again means that we can apply standard semi-classical quantization techniques to these D-branes.

If $x_0^+=\frac{\pi}{\mu}$ then these branes, like the null branes, are associated with the conjugate points of the Rosen plane wave geometry defined by (2.17)–(2.19). In this case the conjugacy class $\mathcal{C}_{x_0^-}:=\mathcal{C}_{(\frac{\pi}{\mu},x_0^-,\boldsymbol{z}_0)}$ is a four-plane labelled by the fixed light-cone position $x^-=x_0^-$. When $x_0^+\neq 0,\frac{\pi}{\mu}$, the brane worldvolume is a paraboloid corresponding to a point-like object travelling at the speed of light while simultaneously expanding or contracting in a three-sphere in the transverse space $\boldsymbol{z}\in\mathbb{C}^2$ according to (4.29). Since these branes lie in the set of conjugate-free points, we may analyse them using the Penrose-Güven limit of Section 3.3, which yields a non-vanishing gauge invariant two-form field via pull-back of (3.47) to the conjugacy classes as

$$\mathcal{F}_{x_0^+}:=\mathcal{F}_6\big|_{\mathcal{C}_{x_0^+,\chi_0}} = -\,\mathrm{i}\,\cot\tfrac{\mu\,x_0^+}{2}\ \mathrm{d}\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}^{\top}\wedge\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{z} \ . \tag{4.32}$$

Thus these D-branes are expected to carry a noncommutative geometry for $x_0^+\neq 0,\frac{\pi}{\mu}$. Extrapolating (4.32) to $x_0^+=\frac{\pi}{\mu}$ shows that the conjugacy classes $\mathcal{C}_{x_0^-}$ are expected to support a commutative worldvolume geometry like the null branes, despite their non-vanishing B-field.

The Penrose-Güven limit can also be used to understand the physical origin of the euclidean D3-branes. They may be described through the commuting isometric embedding diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathrm{AdS}_3\times\mathrm{S}^3 \arrow[r, "\mathrm{PGL}"] & \mathrm{NW}_6 \\ \mathrm{AdS}_2\times\mathrm{S}^2 \arrow[u, "\imath'"] \arrow[r, "\mathrm{PGL}'"] & \mathbb{E}^4 \arrow[u, "\widetilde{\imath}\,'"'] \end{tikzcd} \tag{4.33}$$

where the primes indicate that the limit is taken along a null geodesic which does *not* pass through the $\mathrm{AdS}_2\times\mathrm{S}^2$ brane worldvolume, i.e. the embeddings $\imath'$ and $\widetilde{\imath}\,'$ are defined by the constant time slices $\tau,\phi=$ constant and $x^+=$ constant, respectively. Thus the resulting geometry is not of plane wave type. The brane on the left-hand side of (4.33) originates as a flat D3-brane connected orthogonally to a distant NS5/F1 black string by a stretched (p,q) string [6], the origin of the worldvolume flux (4.32). As the (p,q) string pulls the flat D3-brane, it deforms its worldvolume geometry, leading to an $\mathrm{AdS}_2\times\mathrm{S}^2$ brane in the near-horizon region of the black string. The Penrose-Güven limit in (4.33) pulls the deformed branes away into the asymptotically flat region of the black string, decompactifying it as $R\to\infty$ onto the flat euclidean D3-brane on the right-hand side of the isometric embedding diagram. However, since the diagram commutes, the standard fuzzy geometry of the $\mathrm{AdS}_2\times\mathrm{S}^2$ brane induces, through the usual scaling limit [21], a Moyal type noncommutative geometry on the instantonic $\mathbb{E}^4$ brane. This argument is consistent with the fact that the (modified) Penrose-Güven limit is also a map between symmetric D-branes. We shall now substantiate this physical picture through explicit computation of the quantized worldvolume geometry.

To describe the algebra of functions (4.1) on the D3-brane worldvolume, we start with the irreducible representations $\mathcal{D}^{p^+,p^-}:U(\mathfrak{n}_6)\to\mathrm{End}(V^{p^+,p^-})$ having $p^+>0$. In addition to (4.9), the quadratic Casimir element $\mathsf{C}_6=2\,\mathsf{J}\,\mathsf{T}+\frac12\,[(\,\underline{\mathsf{P}}^+)^\top\,\underline{\mathsf{P}}^-+(\,\underline{\mathsf{P}}^-)^\top\,\underline{\mathsf{P}}^+]$ acts as a scalar operator

$$\mathcal{D}^{p^+,p^-}(\mathsf{C}_6)=-2p^+\,\left(p^-+\mu\right)\,\mathbb{1}\ . \tag{4.34}$$

This operator is positive for all $p^-\in(-\infty,-\mu)$. As a separable Hilbert space, the module V^{p^+,p^-} may be exhibited as the linear span

$$V^{p^+,p^-}=\bigoplus_{n,m\in\mathbb{N}_0}\mathbb{C}\cdot\big|n,m;p^+,p^-\big\rangle \tag{4.35}$$

with the non-trivial actions of the Nappi-Witten generators given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}^{p^+,p^-}\left(\mathsf{P}^+\right)\big|n,m;p^+,p^-\big\rangle &= 2\,\mathrm{i}\,\mu\,p^+\,n\,\big|n-1,m;p^+,p^-\big\rangle\ ,\\
\mathcal{D}^{p^+,p^-}\left(\mathsf{P}^-\right)\big|n,m;p^+,p^-\big\rangle &= \mathrm{i}\,\big|n+1,m;p^+,p^-\big\rangle\ ,\\
\mathcal{D}^{p^+,p^-}\left(\mathsf{Q}^+\right)\big|n,m;p^+,p^-\big\rangle &= 2\,\mathrm{i}\,\mu\,p^+\,m\,\big|n,m-1;p^+,p^-\big\rangle\ ,\\
\mathcal{D}^{p^+,p^-}\left(\mathsf{Q}^-\right)\big|n,m;p^+,p^-\big\rangle &= \mathrm{i}\,\big|n,m+1;p^+,p^-\big\rangle\ ,\\
\mathcal{D}^{p^+,p^-}\left(\mathsf{J}\right)\big|n,m;p^+,p^-\big\rangle &= \mathrm{i}\,\left(\mu^{-1}\,p^--n-m\right)\big|n,m;p^+,p^-\big\rangle\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

The inner product on the basis (4.35) is

$$\big\langle n,m;p^+,p^-\ \big|\ n',m';p^+,p^-\big\rangle=\left(2\mu\,p^+\right)^{n+m}\ n!\,m!\ \delta_{nn'}\,\delta_{mm'}\ . \tag{4.37}$$

This representation admits a highest weight state $|0,0;p^+,p^-\rangle$ on which $-\,\mathrm{i}\,\mathcal{D}^{p^+,p^-}(\mathsf{J})$ has weight $\mu^{-1}\,p^-$.

To associate these representations with euclidean D3-brane worldvolumes, we note that the constraint on the light-cone position in (4.29) can be written in the semi-classical limit $\mu\to0$ as

$$2x_0^+\,x^-+|\boldsymbol{z}|^2=2x_0^+\,\chi_0\ . \tag{4.38}$$

The relation (4.38) agrees with the Casimir eigenvalue constraint (4.34) under the identifications of the light-cone time x_0^+ with momentum p^+ as before and the class variable χ_0 with $p^-+\mu$. We will soon identify the worldvolume coordinates $\boldsymbol{z}\in\mathbb{C}^2$ with the operators $\mathcal{D}^{p^+,p^-}(\,\underline{\mathsf{P}}^+)$. Thus the quantized algebra of functions on the conjugacy class is given by

$$\mathcal{A}\big(\mathcal{C}_{x_0^+,\chi_0}\big)=\mathrm{End}\big(V^{p^+,p^-}\big)\ , \tag{4.39}$$

where a generic element $\hat f\in\mathrm{End}(V^{p^+,p^-})$ is a complex linear combination

$$\hat f=\sum_{n,m,n',m'\in\mathbb{N}_0}f_{n,m;n',m'}\ \big|n,m;p^+,p^-\big\rangle\big\langle n',m';p^+,p^-\big|\ . \tag{4.40}$$

As before, it is convenient to work in the conventional coherent state basis of the Fock module V^{p^+,p^-} defined for $\boldsymbol{z}^\top=(z,w)\in\mathbb{C}^2$ by

$$\big|\boldsymbol{z};p^+,p^-\big\rangle:=\mathrm{e}^{-\boldsymbol{z}^\top\,\underline{\mathsf{P}}^-}\big|0,0;p^+,p^-\big\rangle=\sum_{n,m\in\mathbb{N}_0}\frac{z^n\,w^m}{\mathrm{i}^{\,n+m}\,n!\,m!}\ \big|n,m;p^+,p^-\big\rangle\ , \tag{4.41}$$

on which the non-trivial symmetry generators are represented by differential operators

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^{p^+,p^-}\left(\underline{\mathsf{P}}^+\right)\big|\boldsymbol{z};p^+,p^-\big\rangle &= 2\mu\,p^+\,\boldsymbol{z}\,\big|\boldsymbol{z};p^+,p^-\big\rangle \ , \\ \mathcal{D}^{p^+,p^-}\left(\underline{\mathsf{P}}^-\right)\big|\boldsymbol{z};p^+,p^-\big\rangle &= -\boldsymbol{\partial}\big|\boldsymbol{z};p^+,p^-\big\rangle \ , \\ \mathcal{D}^{p^+,p^-}\left(\mathsf{J}\right)\big|\boldsymbol{z};p^+,p^-\big\rangle &= \mathrm{i}\,\Big(\mu^{-1}\,p^- - \boldsymbol{z}^\top\,\boldsymbol{\partial}\Big)\,\big|\boldsymbol{z};p^+,p^-\big\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{4.42}$$

with $\boldsymbol{\partial}^\top := (\frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial}{\partial w})$. The conjugate state to (4.41) is given by

$$\big\langle\boldsymbol{z};p^+,p^-\big| = \big\langle 0,0;p^+,p^-\big|\,\mathrm{e}^{\,\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}^{\,\top}\,\underline{\mathsf{P}}^+} \ , \tag{4.43}$$

so that the inner product of coherent states is

$$\big\langle\boldsymbol{z};p^+,p^-\ \big|\ \boldsymbol{z}';p^+,p^-\big\rangle = \mathrm{e}^{\,2\mu\,p^+\,\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}^{\,\top}\,\boldsymbol{z}'} \tag{4.44}$$

while their completeness relation is

$$\mathbb{1} \ = \ \left(2\mu\,p^+\right)^2\,\int\limits_{\mathbb{C}^2}\mathrm{d}\varrho\left(\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,\right)\ \mathrm{e}^{\,-2\mu\,p^+\,|\boldsymbol{z}|^2}\ \big|\boldsymbol{z};p^+,p^-\big\rangle\big\langle\boldsymbol{z};p^+,p^-\big| \tag{4.45}$$

where $\mathrm{d}\varrho(\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,) = \frac{1}{\pi^2}\ |\mathrm{d}z\wedge\mathrm{d}\overline{z}\wedge\mathrm{d}w\wedge\mathrm{d}\overline{w}\,|$ is the standard flat measure on $\mathbb{E}^4\cong\mathbb{C}^2$. We will now use these states to describe the worldvolume algebra (4.39) as a deformation of the classical algebra of functions on the conjugacy class $\mathcal{C}_{x_0^+,\chi_0}$.

For this, we construct an isomorphism of underlying vector spaces

$$\Delta_*\ :\ \mathrm{C}^\infty\big(\mathcal{C}_{x_0^+,\chi_0}\big)\ \longrightarrow\ \mathrm{End}\big(V^{p^+,p^-}\big) \tag{4.46}$$

by expanding any smooth function $f\in\mathrm{C}^\infty(\mathcal{C}_{x_0^+,\chi_0})$ as a Taylor series over $\mathbb{C}^2$ given by

$$f\left(\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,\right) = \sum_{n,m,n',m'\in\mathbb{N}_0} f_{n,m;n',m'}\ z^n\,w^m\,\overline{z}^{\,n'}\,\overline{w}^{\,m'} \tag{4.47}$$

and defining

$$\begin{aligned} \hat f \ = \ \Delta_*(f) \ \ := \ \ & \sum_{n,m,n',m'\in\mathbb{N}_0}\frac{f_{n,m;n',m'}}{\left(2\mu\,p^+\right)^{n+m+n'+m'}}\ \mathcal{D}^{p^+,p^-}\left(\mathsf{P}^-\right)^{n'}\,\mathcal{D}^{p^+,p^-}\left(\mathsf{Q}^-\right)^{m'} \\ & \times\mathcal{D}^{p^+,p^-}\left(\mathsf{P}^+\right)^{n}\,\mathcal{D}^{p^+,p^-}\left(\mathsf{Q}^+\right)^{m} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.48}$$

The inverse map is provided by the normalized matrix elements of operators as

$$f\left(\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,\right) := \Delta_*^{-1}\big(\hat f\,\big)\left(\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,\right) = \mathrm{e}^{\,-2\mu\,p^+\,|\boldsymbol{z}|^2}\,\big\langle\boldsymbol{z};p^+,p^-\big|\ \hat f\ \big|\boldsymbol{z};p^+,p^-\big\rangle \ . \tag{4.49}$$

As before, the functions corresponding to the generators of the Nappi-Witten algebra $\mathfrak{n}_6$ coincide with the coordinates of the conjugacy classes $\mathcal{C}_{x_0^+,\chi_0}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_*^{-1}\Big(\mathcal{D}^{p^+,p^-}\left(\underline{\mathsf{P}}^+\right)\Big)\left(\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,\right) &= 2\mu\,p^+\,\boldsymbol{z} \ , \\ \Delta_*^{-1}\Big(\mathcal{D}^{p^+,p^-}\left(\underline{\mathsf{P}}^-\right)\Big)\left(\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,\right) &= 2\mu\,p^+\,\overline{\boldsymbol{z}} \ , \\ \Delta_*^{-1}\Big(\mathcal{D}^{p^+,p^-}\left(\mathsf{J}\right)\Big)\left(\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,\right) &= \mathrm{i}\,\left(\mu^{-1}\,p^- - 2\mu\,p^+\,|\boldsymbol{z}|^2\right) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.50}$$

The map (4.46) in this case is *not* an algebra homomorphism and it can be used to deform the pointwise multiplication of functions in the algebra $\mathrm{C}^\infty(\mathcal{C}_{x_0^+,\chi_0})$, giving an associative star-product defined by

$$\begin{aligned}(f*g)\,(\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,) \;&:=\; \Delta_*^{-1}\big(\hat f\,\hat g\big)\,(\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,) \;=\; \mathrm{e}^{\,-2\mu\,p^+\,|\boldsymbol{z}|^2}\,\big\langle\boldsymbol{z};p^+,p^-\big|\;\hat f\,\hat g\;\big|\boldsymbol{z};p^+,p^-\big\rangle\\ &=\; \big(2\mu\,p^+\big)^2\int\limits_{\mathbb{C}^2}\mathrm{d}\varrho\,\big(\boldsymbol{z}',\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}'\,\big)\;\;\mathrm{e}^{\,-2\mu\,p^+\,(|\boldsymbol{z}'|^2+|\boldsymbol{z}|^2)}\\ &\qquad\times\big\langle\boldsymbol{z};p^+,p^-\big|\;\hat f\;\big|\boldsymbol{z}';p^+,p^-\big\rangle\,\big\langle\boldsymbol{z}';p^+,p^-\big|\;\hat g\;\big|\boldsymbol{z};p^+,p^-\big\rangle\ . \end{aligned}\tag{4.51}$$

We can express this star-product more explicitly in terms of a bi-differential operator acting on $\mathrm{C}^\infty(\mathcal{C}_{x_0^+,\chi_0})\otimes\mathrm{C}^\infty(\mathcal{C}_{x_0^+,\chi_0})\to\mathrm{C}^\infty(\mathcal{C}_{x_0^+,\chi_0})$ by writing the normalized matrix elements in (4.51) using translation operators as [4]

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{e}^{\,-\boldsymbol{z}^\top\boldsymbol{\partial}'}\;\;\mathrm{e}^{\,\boldsymbol{z}'^{\,\top}\boldsymbol{\partial}}f\,(\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,) \;&=\; \mathrm{e}^{\,-\boldsymbol{z}^\top\boldsymbol{\partial}'}\,\frac{\big\langle\boldsymbol{z};p^+,p^-\big|\;\hat f\;\big|\boldsymbol{z}+\boldsymbol{z}';p^+,p^-\big\rangle}{\big\langle\boldsymbol{z};p^+,p^-\;\big|\;\boldsymbol{z}+\boldsymbol{z}';p^+,p^-\big\rangle}\\ &=\;\frac{\big\langle\boldsymbol{z};p^+,p^-\big|\;\hat f\;\big|\boldsymbol{z}';p^+,p^-\big\rangle}{\big\langle\boldsymbol{z};p^+,p^-\;\big|\;\boldsymbol{z}';p^+,p^-\big\rangle}\ .\end{aligned}\tag{4.52}$$

The translation operator $\mathrm{e}^{\,-\boldsymbol{z}^\top\boldsymbol{\partial}'}\;\mathrm{e}^{\,\boldsymbol{z}'^{\,\top}\boldsymbol{\partial}}$, acting on $\boldsymbol{z}'$-independent functions, can be expressed as a normal ordered exponential $\overset{\circ}{\circ}\exp(\boldsymbol{z}'-\boldsymbol{z})^\top\,\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\partial}}\,\overset{\circ}{\circ}$ with derivatives ordered to the right in each monomial of the Taylor series expansion of the exponential function. In this way we may write the star-product (4.51) as

$$\begin{aligned}(f*g)\,(\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,) \;&=\; \big(2\mu\,p^+\big)^2\int\limits_{\mathbb{C}^2}\mathrm{d}\varrho\,\big(\boldsymbol{z}',\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}'\,\big)\;\,f\,(\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,)\;\overset{\circ}{\circ}\exp\overleftarrow{\boldsymbol{\partial}}^{\,\top}\,\big(\boldsymbol{z}'-\boldsymbol{z}\big)\,\overset{\circ}{\circ}\\ &\qquad\times\;\mathrm{e}^{\,-2\mu\,p^+\,|\boldsymbol{z}'-\boldsymbol{z}|^2}\;\overset{\circ}{\circ}\exp\big(\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}'-\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,\big)^\top\;\overrightarrow{\overline{\boldsymbol{\partial}}}\,\overset{\circ}{\circ}\,g\,(\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,)\ \ ,\end{aligned}\tag{4.53}$$

and performing the Gaussian integral in (4.53) leads to our final form

$$(f*g)\,(\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,)=f\,(\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,)\;\;\exp\Big(\tfrac{1}{2\mu\,p^+}\;\overleftarrow{\boldsymbol{\partial}}^{\,\top}\;\overrightarrow{\overline{\boldsymbol{\partial}}}\,\Big)\;g\,(\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,)\ \ .\tag{4.54}$$

The star-product (4.54) is the Voros product [78] on four-dimensional noncommutative euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^4_\theta$ corresponding to the Poisson bi-vector

$$\theta=-\tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2\mu\,p^+}\;\overline{\boldsymbol{\partial}}^{\,\top}\wedge\boldsymbol{\partial}\tag{4.55}$$

whose components are proportional to the inverse of the magnetic field (or equivalently harmonic oscillator frequency) $\omega=\frac12\,\mu\,p^+$ in the effective particle dynamics in Nappi-Witten spacetime described in Section 2.3. This product is *not* the same as the standard Moyal product

$$(f\star g)\,(\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,):=f\,(\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,)\;\;\exp\Big[\tfrac{1}{4\mu\,p^+}\,\Big(\overleftarrow{\boldsymbol{\partial}}^{\,\top}\;\overrightarrow{\overline{\boldsymbol{\partial}}}-\overleftarrow{\overline{\boldsymbol{\partial}}}^{\,\top}\;\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\partial}}\,\Big)\Big]\;\;g\,(\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,)\tag{4.56}$$

which arises from Weyl operator ordering, rather than the normal ordering prescription employed in (4.48). Although different, these two star-products are cohomologically *equivalent* [78], because the invertible differential operator $\mathcal{T}\;:=\;\exp\frac{1}{4\mu\,p^+}\,|\boldsymbol{\partial}|^2$ gives an algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{T}:(\mathrm{C}^\infty(\mathcal{C}_{x_0^+,\chi_0})\,,\,\star)\to(\mathrm{C}^\infty(\mathcal{C}_{x_0^+,\chi_0})\,,\,*)$, i.e. $\mathcal{T}(f\star g)=\mathcal{T}(f)*\mathcal{T}(g)\ \ \forall f,g\in\mathrm{C}^\infty(\mathcal{C}_{x_0^+,\chi_0})$.

Finally, to deal with the geometry in the case $p^+ < 0$, we construct a lowest-weight module $\widetilde{V}^{p^+,p^-}$ which defines the representation conjugate to $V^{p^+,-p^-}$ above by interchanging the roles of the generators $\underline{\mathsf{P}}^+ \leftrightarrow \underline{\mathsf{P}}^-$ and replacing the generators J, T with their reflections $-\mathsf{J}, -\mathsf{T}$. The two representations have the same quadratic Casimir eigenvalue (4.34) and are dual to each other as

$$\widetilde{V}^{p^+,p^-} \cong \left(V^{p^+,p^-}\right)^* . \tag{4.57}$$

The star-product is generically given as (4.54) or (4.56) with p^+ replaced by $|p^+|$, and the worldvolume algebra (4.39) is canonically isomorphic as a vector space to

$$\mathcal{A}\big(\mathcal{C}_{x_0^+,\chi_0}\big) = V^{p^+,p^-} \otimes \widetilde{V}^{p^+,p^-} \ , \ \ p^+ > 0 \ . \tag{4.58}$$

The $\mathcal{N}_6$-module structure is then determined by the Clebsch-Gordan decomposition of (4.58) into the irreducible continuous representations of the Nappi-Witten algebra as

$$\mathcal{A}\big(\mathcal{C}_{x_0^+,\chi_0}\big) = \oint_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\alpha \ \alpha \ \oint_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\beta \ \beta \ \ V_{\alpha,\beta}^{0,0} \ . \tag{4.59}$$

The organization of the quantized worldvolume algebra into irreducible representations associated with null branes owes to the fact that the isometry generators of the noncommutative D3-branes in NW$_6$ are given by the Killing vectors $P^\pm$, $Q^\pm$ and $J + \overline{J}$ in (2.23,2.29) with $T = 0$, corresponding to translations in each transverse plane $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$ along with simultaneous rotations of the two planes. These isometries generate the subgroup (2.25) which coincides with the group Inn$(\mathfrak{n}_6)$ of inner automorphisms of the Nappi-Witten Lie algebra. The symmetric untwisted D3-brane breaks the generic rotational symmetry U(2) = SU(2) × U(1) to U(1) ≅ SO(2), leaving the overall isometry subgroup (2.25) which is precisely the symmetry group of noncommutative euclidean space in four dimensions with equal magnetic fields through each parallel plane [5, 18]. Thus the embedding of flat branes into NW$_6$ realizes explicitly the usual breaking of ISO(4) invariance in passing to the noncommutative space $\mathbb{E}^4_\theta$. The geometrical role of the broken SU(2) symmetry of the background will be discussed in Section 4.5.

4.4 Open String Description

Let us now compare the semi-classical results obtained above with the predictions from the open string dynamics on the NS supported D-branes in the Seiberg-Witten decoupling limit. Generally, let G be the closed string metric on a D-brane and $\mathcal{F} = B + F$ the gauge-invariant two-form which we assume is non-degenerate. Whenever $\mathrm{d}(\mathcal{F} - G\,\mathcal{F}^{-1}\,G) = 0$, the momentum of an open string attached to the D-brane is small in the low-energy limit [43]. This is just the requirement $H = 0$ of a vanishing NS flux in the limit of large B-field. The strings are then very short and see only a small portion of the worldvolume, which is approximately flat. The same expressions that apply to flat backgrounds can thereby be applied in these instances [2, 43]. In particular, the noncommutativity parameters and the open string metric may be computed from the usual Seiberg-Witten formulas [67]

$$\Theta = \frac{1}{\mathcal{F} - G\,\mathcal{F}^{-1}\,G} = -\frac{1}{G + \mathcal{F}}\,\mathcal{F}\,\frac{1}{G - \mathcal{F}} \ , \tag{4.60}$$

$$G_{\rm o} = G - \mathcal{F}\,G^{-1}\,\mathcal{F} \ . \tag{4.61}$$

For the flat euclidean D3-branes of the previous subsection, we substitute (4.30) and (4.32) in (4.60) to get the bi-vector

$$\Theta = -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{(\mathcal{F}_{x_0^+})_{z\,\overline{z}}+(\mathcal{F}_{x_0^+})^{-1}_{z\,\overline{z}}}\ \overline{\boldsymbol{\partial}}^\top\wedge\boldsymbol{\partial} = -\tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\,\sin\mu\,x_0^+\ \overline{\boldsymbol{\partial}}^\top\wedge\boldsymbol{\partial}\ . \tag{4.62}$$

This does not agree with (4.55) in the limit $\mu\to 0$, as one would have naively expected, but the reason for the discrepancy is simple. The semi-classical analysis of the previous subsection is strictly speaking valid only in the limit of large B-field, for which the formula (4.60) reduces to $\Theta = B^{-1}$ and coincides with (4.55) on the D3-branes. This is equivalent to the zero-slope field theory limit ($\alpha'\to 0$) of the open string dynamics, and it yields the Kirillov-Kostant Poisson bi-vector on the coadjoint orbits corresponding to the symplectic two-form B. This situation is characteristic of branes in curved backgrounds [2, 43], and we will regard the $\mu\to 0$ limit of (4.62), for which $\Theta = -\frac{\mathrm{i}\,\mu\,p^+}{2}\ \overline{\boldsymbol{\partial}}^\top\wedge\boldsymbol{\partial}$, as "dual" to the field theoretic quantity (4.55) with respect to the inner product $\langle\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\rangle$ on $\mathfrak{n}_6$.

We can gain more insight into this description by appealing to the exact boundary conformal field theory description of the conjugacy classes [24, 42], which can be done on the limiting planes $w=0$ whereby the D3-branes restrict to the symmetric euclidean D-strings of the four-dimensional Nappi-Witten spacetime NW_4 [30]. With this restriction understood everywhere, the zero-mode Hilbert space for open strings which start and end on a D1-brane labelled by light-cone momenta $p^\pm$ coincides as a vector space with the worldvolume algebra (4.58,4.59) (at $\beta=0$). To each open string boundary condition corresponding to an irreducible module $V^{0,0}_{\alpha,0}$ in (4.59), there is associated a collection of boundary vertex operators $\Psi^{p^+,p^-}_\alpha(x)$, $x\in\mathbb{R}$ of conformal dimension $h_\alpha=\alpha^2$ (the quadratic Casimir eigenvalue) in one-to-one correspondence with the vectors of $V^{0,0}_{\alpha,0}$. The operator product expansion of two such U(1) boundary primary fields reads [24]

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi^{p^+,p^-}_{\alpha_1}(x_1)\,\Psi^{p^+,p^-}_{\alpha_2}(x_2) \ &=\ \int\limits_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\alpha\ \alpha\ (x_1-x_2)^{h_\alpha-h_{\alpha_1}-h_{\alpha_2}}\ \delta\left(\alpha^2-\alpha_1^2+\alpha_2^2+2\alpha_1\,\alpha_2\,\cos\phi\right)\\ &\qquad \times\ \mathrm{F}^{p^+,p^-;\alpha}_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}\ \Psi^{p^+,p^-}_\alpha(x_2)+\dots\end{aligned} \tag{4.63}$$

for $x_1<x_2$ and up to contributions involving descendant fields. The structure constants $\mathrm{F}^{p^+,p^-;\alpha}_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}$ coincide with the (quantum) Racah coefficients of $\mathcal{N}_4$ and are given explicitly by

$$\mathrm{F}^{p^+,p^-;\alpha}_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2} = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\,\frac{\mathrm{i}\,\pi}{2}\,\alpha_1\,\alpha_2\,\sin\phi\,\cot\frac{\mu\,p^+}{2}}}{\pi\,\alpha_1\,\alpha_2\,\sin\phi} \tag{4.64}$$

with $\alpha^2:=\alpha_1^2+\alpha_2^2-2\alpha_1\,\alpha_2\,\cos\phi$ expressing momentum conservation in the two-dimensional transverse plane to the NW_4 wave.

An element $\psi^{p^+,p^-}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$, $\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in T\mathcal{C}_{x_0^+,\chi_0}$ of the vector space $V^{0,0}_{\alpha,0}$ is an eigenfunction of the momentum operators (2.23) pulled back to the brane worldvolume. It can be represented as a plane wave whose radial momentum in the transverse plane is $\alpha=|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|$, and the association $\Psi^{p^+,p^-}_\alpha := \mathrm{V}[\psi^{p^+,p^-}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}]$ yields the standard, flat space open string tachyon vertex operators [65]. The operator product expansion (4.63) written in the form

$$\mathrm{V}\big[\psi^{p^+,p^-}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1}\big](x_1)\ \mathrm{V}\big[\psi^{p^+,p^-}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_2}\big](x_2) := \mathrm{V}\big[\psi^{p^+,p^-}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1}*\psi^{p^+,p^-}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_2}\big](x_2)+\dots \tag{4.65}$$

can then be used to define a star-product in the zero-slope limit by

$$\psi^{p^+,p^-}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1} * \psi^{p^+,p^-}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_2} = \mathrm{e}^{\,\frac{\mathrm{i}\,\pi}{2}\,\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1\wedge\boldsymbol{\alpha}_2\,\cot\frac{\mu\,p^+}{2}}\;\psi^{p^+,p^-}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1+\boldsymbol{\alpha}_2} \ . \tag{4.66}$$

The star-product of any two functions on the classical worldvolume algebra is then given by expressing them, according to (4.59), as expansions $f=\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\alpha\;\alpha\;\tilde f_\alpha\;\psi^{p^+,p^-}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$. According to the standard formulas for D-branes in flat space with a magnetic field on their worldvolume [67], the conformal weight power in (4.63) should be identified as $\alpha_1\,\alpha_2\,\cos\phi=\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1^\top\,\boldsymbol{\alpha}_2:=G_{\rm o}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{k}_1,\boldsymbol{k}_2)$. In the present case the open string metric (4.61) is given by $G_{\rm o}=\csc^2\frac{\mu\,x_0^+}{2}\;|\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{z}|^2$, yielding $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_i=\sin\frac{\mu\,x_0^+}{2}\;\boldsymbol{k}_i$ for $i=1,2$. Identifying now the phase factor in (4.66) as $\mathrm{e}^{\,\frac{\mathrm{i}\,\pi}{2}\,\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1\wedge\boldsymbol{\alpha}_2\,\cot\frac{\mu\,p^+}{2}}:=\mathrm{e}^{\,-\frac{\mathrm{i}\,\pi}{2}\,\Theta(\boldsymbol{k}_1,\boldsymbol{k}_2)}$ leads immediately to the anticipated result (4.62) [24].

4.5 Foliation by Fuzzy Spheres

We will now clarify the role of the broken $\mathrm{U}(2)=\mathrm{SU}(2)\times\mathrm{U}(1)$ symmetry on the noncommutative D3-branes. The U(1) factor is generated by the vector field $J+\overline{J}$ producing simultaneous rotations in the two transverse planes, while the SU(2) generators can be represented on each irreducible module V^{p^+,p^-} over $U(\mathfrak{n}_6)$ as

$$\hat I_a := \tfrac{1}{(2\mu\,p^+)^2}\;\mathcal{D}^{p^+,p^-}\,\Big(\big(\underline{\mathsf{P}}^-\big)^\top\,\sigma_a^\top\,\underline{\mathsf{P}}^+\Big) \tag{4.67}$$

where σ_a, $a=1,2,3$ are the standard Pauli spin matrices. The operators (4.67) generate, up to a rescaling, the su(2) Lie algebra

$$\big[\hat I_a\,,\,\hat I_b\big]=\tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2\mu\,p^+}\,\epsilon_{ab}{}^{c}\,\hat I_c\ , \tag{4.68}$$

and under their adjoint action the transverse space operators transform in the fundamental and anti-fundamental representations of SU(2) as

$$\begin{aligned}\Big[\hat I_a\,,\,\mathcal{D}^{p^+,p^-}\big(\underline{\mathsf{P}}^+\big)\Big] &= \tfrac{1}{2\mu\,p^+}\;\sigma_a\;\mathcal{D}^{p^+,p^-}\big(\underline{\mathsf{P}}^+\big)\ ,\\ \Big[\hat I_a\,,\,\mathcal{D}^{p^+,p^-}\big(\underline{\mathsf{P}}^-\big)\Big] &= -\tfrac{1}{2\mu\,p^+}\;\sigma_a^\top\;\mathcal{D}^{p^+,p^-}\big(\underline{\mathsf{P}}^-\big)\ .\end{aligned} \tag{4.69}$$

The quadratic Casimir operator $\hat R^2:=\sum_a\hat I_a^2\in U(\mathrm{su}(2))\subset\mathrm{End}(V^{p^+,p^-})$ may be written using (4.34) as

$$\hat R=\tfrac{1}{2\mu\,p^+}\,\Big(\mathrm{i}\,\mathcal{D}^{p^+,p^-}\big(\mathsf{J}\big)+\mu^{-1}\,p^-\,\mathbb{1}\Big)\ . \tag{4.70}$$

This “hidden” algebraic structure has the following geometric interpretation. Corresponding to these operators, the functions on the conjugacy classes $\mathcal{C}_{x_0^+,\chi_0}$ are given by

$$x_a:=\Delta_*^{-1}\big(\hat I_a\big)\,(\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,)=-\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}^{\,\top}\,\sigma_a^\top\,\boldsymbol{z}\ ,\quad r^2:=\Delta_*^{-1}\big(\hat R\big)\,(\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,)=|\boldsymbol{z}|^2\ . \tag{4.71}$$

The D3-brane worldvolume $\mathbb{E}^4$ admits a foliation into three-spheres S^3 defined by $|\boldsymbol{z}|=r=$ constant. The orbits are all parallel and lie on two-spheres $\mathrm{S}^2\cong\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1\subset\mathbb{E}^4$ of radii $(\sum_a x_a^2)^{1/2}=r^2$. The corresponding maps $\mathrm{S}^3\to\mathrm{S}^2$ defined by (4.71) are Hopf fibrations over the coadjoint orbits $\mathrm{SU}(2)\,/\,\mathrm{U}(1)$ of the SU(2) symmetry group.

The SU(2) symmetry of the Nappi-Witten plane wave NW_6 persists as well on the quantized conjugacy classes, giving a noncommutative version of the Hopf fibration via the Jordan-Schwinger map [35, 38]. This is the residual symmetry $\mathrm{USp}(2)\times\mathrm{USp}(2)\,/\,\mathrm{U}(1)$ of the reduction of $\mathbb{E}^4_\theta$. The corresponding two-spheres $\mathrm{S}^2_{p^+,j}\subset\mathbb{E}^4_\theta$ are fuzzy spheres and can be constructed for each $j\in\frac12\,\mathbb{N}_0$ by defining a $(2j+1)$-dimensional module $W_j^{p^+}\subset V^{p^+,p^-}$ over $U(\mathrm{su}(2))$ as the linear span of the Schwinger basis vectors

$$\big|j,\ell\,\big\rangle\!\big\rangle_{p^+}:=\big|j+\ell,j-\ell;p^+,p^-\big\rangle \tag{4.72}$$

for $\ell\in\{-j,-j+1,\dots,j-1,j\}$. The actions of the su(2) generators are given by

$$\hat I_\pm\big|j,\ell\,\big\rangle\!\big\rangle_{p^+}=-\tfrac{j\pm\ell}{\mu\,p^+}\,\big|j,\ell\mp1\,\big\rangle\!\big\rangle_{p^+}\ , \quad \hat I_3\big|j,\ell\,\big\rangle\!\big\rangle_{p^+}=-\tfrac{\ell}{\mu\,p^+}\,\big|j,\ell\,\big\rangle\!\big\rangle_{p^+} \tag{4.73}$$

where $\hat I_\pm:=\hat I_1\pm\mathrm{i}\,\hat I_2$, while the operator $\mathcal{D}^{p^+,p^-}(\mathsf{J}\,)$ and hence the Casimir (4.70) are scalar operators on $W_j^{p^+}$ with

$$\hat R\,\big|_{W_j^{p^+}}=R\,\mathbb{1}:=\tfrac{j}{\mu\,p^+}\,\mathbb{1}\ . \tag{4.74}$$

This module is the irreducible spin-j representation of SU(2). The algebra of functions on the fuzzy sphere is given by

$$\mathcal{A}\big(\mathrm{S}^2_{p^+,j}\big)=\mathrm{End}\big(W_j^{p^+}\big) \tag{4.75}$$

and it is isomorphic to the finite-dimensional algebra of $(2j+1)\times(2j+1)$ matrices. It admits a natural action of the group SU(2) by conjugation with group elements evaluated in the $(2j+1)$-dimensional representation of SU(2). Under this action, the SU(2)-module structure is determined by its decomposition into irreducible representations as

$$\mathcal{A}\big(\mathrm{S}^2_{p^+,j}\big)=\bigoplus_{k=0}^{2j}W_k^{p^+}\ . \tag{4.76}$$

Since the basis (4.35) can be presented in terms of SU(2) module vectors as $|n,m;p^+,p^-\rangle=|\frac{n+m}{2},\frac{n-m}{2}\,\rangle\!\rangle_{p^+}$, the noncommutative worldvolume algebra may be expressed in terms of a foliation by fuzzy spheres as

$$\mathcal{A}\big(\mathcal{C}_{x_0^+,\chi_0}\big)=\bigoplus_{j\in\frac12\,\mathbb{N}_0}\left(\mathcal{A}\big(\mathrm{S}^2_{p^+,j}\big)\ \oplus\bigoplus_{\substack{j'\in\frac12\,\mathbb{N}_0\\ j'\neq j}}W_j^{p^+}\otimes\big(W_{j'}^{p^+}\big)^*\right)\ . \tag{4.77}$$

The first summand in (4.77) represents the foliation of noncommutative $\mathrm{S}^3\cong\mathbb{R}^3\cup\infty$, defined as the representation of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathrm{su}(2))$ in $\mathrm{End}(V^{p^+,p^-})$, by fuzzy spheres of increasing quantized radii (4.74). With $\boldsymbol{x}^\top=(x_a)\in\mathbb{R}^3$, the three-dimensional noncommutative space $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^3_\theta):=\bigoplus_{j\in\frac12\,\mathbb{N}_0}\mathcal{A}(\mathrm{S}^2_{p^+,j})$ may be viewed as a deformation of the algebra $\mathrm{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by using (4.71) to reduce the Voros product (4.54) on $\mathbb{E}^4_\theta$ to the star-product [38]

$$(f*_{\mathbb{R}^3}g)(\boldsymbol{x})=f(\boldsymbol{x})\ \exp\Big[\tfrac{1}{4\mu\,p^+}\ \tfrac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial x_a}\ \big(\delta_{ab}\,r^4+\mathrm{i}\,\epsilon_{ab}{}^c\,x_c\big)\ \tfrac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial x_b}\Big]\ g(\boldsymbol{x})\ . \tag{4.78}$$

The reduction to the fuzzy sphere (4.75) is achieved explicitly by introducing the orthogonal projection

$$\hat{P}_j = \frac{1}{(2j+1)\,(2\mu\,p^+)^{2j}}~\sum_{\ell=-j}^{j} \frac{1}{(j+\ell)!\,(j-\ell)!}~\big|j,\ell\,\big\rangle\!\big\rangle_{p^+}~{}_{p^+}\big\langle\!\big\langle\,j,\ell\,\big| \tag{4.79}$$

onto the spin-j module $W^j_{p^+}$, so that

$$\mathcal{A}\big(\mathrm{S}^2_{p^+,j}\big) = \hat{P}_j\mathcal{A}\big(\mathbb{R}^3_\theta\big) = \mathcal{A}\big(\mathbb{R}^3_\theta\big)\hat{P}_j = \hat{P}_j\mathcal{A}\big(\mathcal{C}_{x_0^+,\chi_0}\big)\hat{P}_j ~. \tag{4.80}$$

This projection onto $\mathrm{S}^2_{p^+,j}$ may be translated into the star-product formalism on ordinary functions [38], with the coordinate generators of the deformation of $\mathrm{C}^\infty(\mathrm{S}^2)$ given by $x_a *_{\mathbb{R}^3} P_j$. The function P_j corresponding to the projection operator (4.79) is radially symmetric on $\mathbb{E}^4$ and may be straightforwardly computed using (4.49) to get

$$P_j\big(|\boldsymbol{z}|\big) := \Delta_*^{-1}\big(\hat{P}_j\big) = \frac{\big(2\mu\,p^+\big)^{2j}}{(2j+1)!}~|\boldsymbol{z}|^{4j}~~\mathrm{e}^{\,-2\mu\,p^+\,|\boldsymbol{z}|^2} ~. \tag{4.81}$$

A similar foliation will be exploited in Section 6 to construct the full noncommutative geometry of Nappi-Witten spacetime.

The second summand in (4.77) is the foliation of $\mathbb{E}^4_\theta$ by noncommutative three-spheres of increasing radius, and the direct sum decomposition (4.77) reflects the SU(2) symmetry inherent on the noncommutative D3-branes. It can be compared with the module decomposition (4.59) which suggests that the euclidean D3-branes in NW_6 are composed of elementary constituents with the $\mathcal{N}_6$ quantum numbers of null branes. In the present case, the algebra isomorphism (4.77) suggests that the elementary branes originate as objects expanded into fuzzy spheres in $\mathbb{E}^4_\theta$. It is interesting at this stage to compare these configurations with those which arise in the RR-supported pp-wave obtained as the Penrose-Güven limit of $\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\mathrm{S}^5$ [13]. Because of the RR fluxes, giant gravitons, i.e. massless particles in anti-de Sitter space which polarize into massive branes, correspond to D-branes. In the strongly-coupled Type IIA plane wave, degenerate vacua corresponding to fundamental strings blown up into fuzzy spheres provide an exact microscopic description of giant gravitons in $\mathrm{AdS}_7\times\mathrm{S}^4$ corresponding to M2-branes polarized along S^4. These latter objects become the fuzzy sphere configurations of the BMN matrix model in the Penrose-Güven limit [8]. In the Type IIB case, the gravitational waves expand into spherical D3-branes wrapping a fuzzy three-sphere determined by the noncommutative Hopf fibration described above [44, 69]. In the present case the situation is a bit different. The intrinsic SU(2) symmetry of the NS background implies that long strings, of light-cone momenta $p^+>1$, can move freely in the two transverse planes to the Nappi-Witten pp-wave and correspond to spectral-flowed null brane states [10]. The long strings in NW_6 thus correspond instead to fundamental string states. It would be interesting to understand their origin more precisely through the Penrose-Güven limit of the corresponding giant graviton configurations in $\mathrm{AdS}_3\times\mathrm{S}^3$ which wrap fuzzy cylinders [45]. A general definition of fuzzy spheres in diverse dimensions is given in [69] and applied to the construction of fuzzy three-spheres and four-spheres in [70] by embedding them into $\mathbb{C}^4_\theta$, generalizing the construction outlined above.

4.6 Flat Space Limits

The spectral flow of long string states also implies that, unlike the RR-supported pp-waves, the strong NS-field limit $\mu\to\infty$ gives a flat space theory [23], just like the semiclassical limit

$\mu \to 0$ does. This flat space limit is described in terms of the fuzzy sphere foliations above, with radii $R = \frac{j}{\mu\, p^+}$, as follows. If we send the spin $j \to \infty$, along with $\mu \to \infty$ at fixed light-cone momentum p^+, then the operators $\hat{I}_a$ in (4.68) commute and generate the commutative algebra $\mathrm{C}^\infty(\mathrm{S}^2)$ of functions on an ordinary sphere S^2. This is the intuitive expectation, as the noncommutativity parameter of $\mathbb{E}^4_\theta$ vanishes in the limit $\mu \to \infty$. However, although a flat space theory is attained, noncommutative dynamics still persist signalling the remnant of the SU(2) symmetry of the NS background, because the $j \to \infty$ limit of (4.68) describes a noncommutative plane $\mathbb{E}^2_\vartheta$ under stereographic projection [4, 21]. This limit is completely analogous to the scaling of the volume and flux of $\mathrm{AdS}_2 \times \mathrm{S}^2$ to infinity that obtains the noncommutative space $\mathbb{E}^4_\theta$ in the Penrose-Güven limit of (4.33).

The analog of stereographic projection for fuzzy coordinates is defined by the operators [4, 21]

$$\hat{Z} := \hat{I}_- \left(\mathbb{1} - \tfrac{\mu\, p^+}{j}\, \hat{I}_3\right)^{-1} \quad , \quad \hat{Z}^\dagger := \left(\mathbb{1} - \tfrac{\mu\, p^+}{j}\, \hat{I}_3\right)^{-1} \hat{I}_+ \tag{4.82}$$

which for large j have the commutator

$$\left[\hat{Z}\,,\,\hat{Z}^\dagger\right] = \tfrac{j}{(\mu\, p^+)^2}\left(\mathbb{1} - \tfrac{\mu\, p^+}{j}\, \hat{I}_3\right)^{-2} + O\left(\tfrac{1}{j}\right) \ . \tag{4.83}$$

In the limit $j \to \infty$, the whole $\hat{Z}$-plane is covered by projecting all operators onto the subspace of the eigenspace of the hermitian operator $(\frac{j}{\mu\, p^+})^2\,(\mathbb{1} + \frac{\mu\, p^+}{j}\, \hat{I}_3)$ corresponding to its eigenvalues which lie in the range $[0, \frac{j^{3/2}}{(2\mu\, p^+)^2}]$. Thus for $\mu, j \to \infty$ with $j/(\mu\, p^+)^2$ fixed, the commutation relation (4.83) becomes

$$\left[\hat{Z}\,,\,\hat{Z}^\dagger\right] = \vartheta := \tfrac{j}{(2\mu\, p^+)^2} \ . \tag{4.84}$$

Therefore, even though the foliation of $\mathbb{E}^4 \subset \mathrm{NW}_6$ becomes that by ordinary commutative spheres S^2 as $\mu, j \to \infty$, the quantization of the D3-brane worldvolumes persists in the neighbourhoods of points on the two-spheres which can be stereographically projected onto the noncommutative planes $\mathbb{E}^2_\vartheta$.

5 Noncommutative Branes in NW_6: Twisted Case

The quantization of twisted conjugacy classes is more intricate [3]. The ω-twisted D-branes in the Lie group $\mathcal{G}$ are labelled by representations of the invariant subgroup

$$\mathcal{G}^\omega := \left\{g \in \mathcal{G} \ \middle|\ \omega(g) = g\right\} \ . \tag{5.1}$$

In a neighbourhood of the identity of $\mathcal{G}$, a twisted conjugacy class $\mathcal{C}^\omega_g$ may be regarded as a fibration

$$\begin{tikzcd} \breve{\mathcal{C}}_g \arrow[r] & \mathcal{C}^\omega_g \arrow[d] \\ & \mathcal{G}\,/\,\mathcal{G}^\omega \end{tikzcd} \tag{5.2}$$

where $\breve{\mathcal{C}}_g$ is an ordinary conjugacy class of $\mathcal{G}^\omega$ and $\mathcal{G}^\omega$ acts on $\mathcal{G}$ by right multiplication. In particular, $\breve{\mathcal{C}}_g$ can be identified with a coadjoint orbit of the subgroup (5.1), with the standard linear Poisson structure coinciding with that induced by pull-back $B|_{\mathcal{G}^\omega}$ of the Neveu-Schwarz

B-field when $H=0$. Semiclassically, after quantization $\mathcal{C}_g^\omega=\mathcal{G}\times_{\mathcal{G}^\omega}\check{\mathcal{C}}_g$ becomes a trivial bundle with noncommutative fibers labelled by irreducible modules $\check{V}_g^\omega$ over the group $\mathcal{G}^\omega$ but with a classical base space $\mathcal{G}\,/\,\mathcal{G}^\omega$ [3]. The associative noncommutative algebra of functions on the worldvolume in this case is thus given by

$$\mathcal{A}\left(\mathcal{C}_g^\omega\right)=\Big(\mathrm{C}^\infty(\mathcal{G})\otimes\mathrm{End}\big(\check{V}_g^\omega\big)\Big)^{\mathcal{G}^\omega}~, \tag{5.3}$$

where the superscript denotes the $\mathcal{G}^\omega$-invariant part and $\mathcal{G}^\omega$ acts on $\mathrm{C}^\infty(\mathcal{G})$ through the induced derivative action of right isometries of $\mathcal{G}$. Again, the worldvolume algebra (5.3) carries a natural action of the group $\mathcal{G}$, now through the induced action on $\mathrm{C}^\infty(\mathcal{G})$ by right isometries.

If $\omega=1\!\!1$, then $\mathcal{G}^\omega=\mathcal{G}$ and (5.3) reduces to the definition (4.1). If the module $\check{V}_g^\omega$ is one-dimensional (e.g. the trivial representation of $\mathcal{G}^\omega$), then $\mathrm{End}(\check{V}_g^\omega)\cong\mathbb{C}$ and the algebra (5.3) becomes the commutative algebra of functions $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}_g^\omega)\cong\mathrm{C}^\infty(\mathcal{G}\,/\,\mathcal{G}^\omega)$ on $\mathcal{G}$ invariant under the action of the subgroup $\mathcal{G}^\omega\subset\mathcal{G}$ by right isometries. It is this latter situation that we shall discover in the present case. All twisted D-branes in NW_6 support *commutative* worldvolume geometries, given by the algebra of functions on the classical twisted conjugacy class, consistent with the remarks made in Section 3.4. This result will be supported by the fact that all twisted branes have vanishing NS flux $H=0$, so that again semi-classical quantization applies. However, for certain lorentzian D-branes that we shall encounter, there are some important subtleties hidden in the structure of the worldvolume two-form fields that are invisible in the field theoretic analysis outlined here.

5.1 General Construction

The group of outer automorphisms of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_6$ is given by $\mathrm{Out}(\mathfrak{n}_6)=\mathbb{Z}_2\ltimes\mathrm{SU}(2)\,/\,\mathbb{Z}_2$ [74], where the SU(2) factor is the transverse space rotational symmetry described in the previous section. There are thus two families of outer automorphisms $\omega_\pm^S$ parametrized by a matrix

$$S=\begin{pmatrix}a&b\\-\overline{b}&\overline{a}\end{pmatrix}~\in~\mathrm{SU}(2)~, \tag{5.4}$$

with $a,b\in\mathbb{C}$ obeying $|a|^2+|b|^2=1$ and with the identification $S\sim-S$. Corresponding to the identity element of $\mathbb{Z}_2$, the automorphism ω_+^S acts on a group element (4.2) via a rotation in the transverse space as

$$\omega_+^S(g)=g\left(x^+,x^-,S\boldsymbol{z},\overline{S}\,\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\right) \tag{5.5}$$

with $S\neq 1\!\!1$ (or else the automorphism is trivial). As a consequence, the invariant subgroup (5.1) in this case is given by

$$\mathcal{N}_6^{\omega_+^S}~=~\left\{g\left(x^+,x^-,\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}\right)\in\mathcal{N}_6~\big|~x^\pm\in\mathbb{R}\right\}~\cong~\mathbb{R}^2~. \tag{5.6}$$

This is the two-dimensional abelian group of translations of the light-cone in NW_6, and its irreducible representations are all one-dimensional. Thus the quantized worldvolume algebra (5.3) in this case is $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}_g^{\omega_+^S})\cong\mathrm{C}^\infty(\mathbb{E}^4)$ corresponding to *commutative* euclidean D3-branes. We shall explicitly construct these branes in what follows, showing that the group (5.6) is isomorphic to the stabilizer of the twisted conjugacy class and hence its points (corresponding to its conjugacy classes) label the locations of the various D3-branes in NW_6. This characterization is consistent with the fact that only the (trivial) one-dimensional subspace of V^{p^+,p^-} spanned by the ground state vector $|0,0;p^+,p^-\rangle$ is invariant under the action of the automorphism (5.5).

The other class of automorphisms ω_-^S combines the transverse space rotations with the non-trivial element of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ which acts by charge conjugation on the generators of $\mathfrak{n}_6$ to give

$$\omega_-^S(g) = g\left(-x^+,-x^-,S\,\overline{\boldsymbol{z}},\overline{S}\,\boldsymbol{z}\right) \ . \tag{5.7}$$

It defines an isomorphism $V^{p^+,p^-} \leftrightarrow \widetilde{V}^{p^+,p^-}$ on irreducible representations. The invariant subgroup (5.1) in this case is determined by the equation $S\,\overline{\boldsymbol{z}} = \boldsymbol{z}$ for $\boldsymbol{z}\in\mathbb{C}^2$. The only solution of this equation is $\boldsymbol{z}=\boldsymbol{0}$, unless the parameter b in (5.4) is purely imaginary in which case the subgroup reduces to the two-dimensional abelian group generated by the elements

$$\mathsf{P}_S = a\,\mathsf{P}^+ + \mathsf{P}^- + b\,\mathsf{Q}^+ \ , \quad \mathsf{Q}_S = \overline{a}\,\mathsf{Q}^+ + \mathsf{Q}^- + b\,\mathsf{P}^+ \ . \tag{5.8}$$

Thus

$$\mathcal{N}_6^{\,\omega_-^S} ~=~ \left\{g\,(0,0,\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,)\in\mathcal{N}_6 ~\Big|~ \boldsymbol{z}=S\,\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\in\mathbb{C}^2\right\} ~\cong~ \begin{cases} \ \ \mathbb{R}^2 \ , & b\in\mathrm{i}\,\mathbb{R} \\ \{\mathbb{1}\} \ , & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{5.9}$$

is again always an abelian group (of transverse space translations) with only one-dimensional irreducible representations, corresponding to commutative worldvolume geometries (5.3). As we show explicitly below, the first instance corresponds to a class of commutative, lorentzian D3-branes wrapping $\mathrm{CW}_4\subset\mathrm{NW}_6$, i.e. $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}_g^{\omega_-^S})\cong\mathrm{C}^\infty(\mathrm{CW}_4)$, with the points of (5.9) again parametrizing the locations of the branes in NW_6. The second case represents a family of commutative, spacetime filling lorentzian D5-branes each isometric to CW_6, i.e. $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}_g^{\omega_-^S})\cong\mathrm{C}^\infty(\mathcal{N}_6)$. These results are consistent with the fact that only the self-dual null brane modules $V_{\alpha,\beta}^{0,0}$ and $V_{\alpha,\beta}^{0,\frac{\mu}{2}}$ are invariant under the action of the automorphism (5.7).

As in Section 4.1, one can now straightforwardly work out the twisted adjoint actions $\mathrm{Ad}^{\omega_\pm^S}_{g(x^+,x^-,\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}})}\,g(x_0^+,x_0^-,\boldsymbol{z}_0,\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}_0)$ corresponding to a fixed point $(x_0^+,x_0^-,\boldsymbol{z}_0)\in\mathrm{NW}_6$ [30, 74]. For the automorphism (5.5) the twisted conjugacy classes can be written explicitly as the submanifolds

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}^{\omega_+^S}_{(x_0^+,x_0^-,\boldsymbol{z}_0)} ~=~ \Big\{\Big(x_0^+\,,\,x_0^-+\tfrac{\mu}{2}\ \mathrm{Im}\Big[\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}_0^\top\,(\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathrm{i}\,\mu}{2}\,x_0^+}\,S+\mathrm{e}^{\frac{\mathrm{i}\,\mu}{2}\,x_0^+}\,\mathbb{1})\boldsymbol{z}\ \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathrm{i}\,\mu}{2}\,x^+}+\tfrac12\,\boldsymbol{z}^\top\,(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\,\mu\,x_0^+}\,S-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\,\mu\,x_0^+}\,\mathbb{1})\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\Big]\,, \\ \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\mathrm{i}\,\mu}{2}\,(x^+-x_0^+)}\,(S-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\,\mu\,x_0^+}\,\mathbb{1})\boldsymbol{z}+\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\,\mu\,x^+}\,\boldsymbol{z}_0\Big)\ \Big|\ x^+\in\mathrm{S}^1\,,\,\boldsymbol{z}\in\mathbb{C}^2\Big\}\ .\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

Again x_0^+ is an orbit invariant, and the corresponding branes are thus euclidean. In fact, except for the nature of their noncommutative worldvolume geometry, these branes are completely analogous to the branes described in the previous section. For the automorphism (5.7) one finds instead the submanifolds

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}^{\omega_-^S}_{(x_0^+,x_0^-,\boldsymbol{z}_0)} ~=~ \Big\{\Big(x_0^++2x^+\,,\,x_0^-+2x^--\mu\ \mathrm{Im}\Big[\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}_0^\top\,\boldsymbol{z}\ \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\mathrm{i}\,\mu}{2}\,(x_0^++x^+)}-(\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}_0^\top+\mathrm{e}^{\frac{\mathrm{i}\,\mu}{2}\,(x^++x_0^+)}\,\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}^\top)\,S\,\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\ \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\mathrm{i}\,\mu}{2}\,(x^++x_0^+)}\Big]\,, \\ \boldsymbol{z}_0-S\,\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\ \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathrm{i}\,\mu}{2}\,(x^++x_0^+)}+\boldsymbol{z}\ \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\mathrm{i}\,\mu}{2}\,(x^++x_0^+)}\Big)\ \Big|\ x^+\in\mathrm{S}^1\,,\,x^-\in\mathbb{R}\,,\,\boldsymbol{z}\in\mathbb{C}^2\Big\}\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

wrapped by lorentzian branes. We will now briefly describe the supergravity fields supported by each of these classes of branes.

5.2 Euclidean D3-Branes

The geometry of the twisted conjugacy classes (5.10) is determined by the complex map on $\mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ defined by

$$\boldsymbol{z}' := \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\mathrm{i}\,\mu}{2}\,(x^+ - x_0^+)}\,\Big(S - \mathrm{e}^{\,\mathrm{i}\,\mu\,x_0^+}\,\mathbb{1}\Big)\,\boldsymbol{z} + \mathrm{e}^{\,\mathrm{i}\,\mu\,x^+}\,\boldsymbol{z}_0 \ . \tag{5.12}$$

Whenever the 2×2 matrix $S - \mathrm{e}^{\,\mathrm{i}\,\mu\,x_0^+}\,\mathbb{1}$ is invertible, this map defines an isomorphism of linear spaces and one has $\mathcal{C}^{\omega_+^S}_{(x_0^+,x_0^-,\boldsymbol{z}_0)} \cong \mathbb{E}^4$ with the same worldvolume fields as in (4.30) and (4.31), the x^+-dependence of (5.10) cancelling out. However, although they are qualitatively the same as the euclidean D3-branes of Section 4.3, the worldvolume two-forms on these branes are different. The stabilizer of the twisted conjugacy class in this case is parametrized as the cylindrical submanifold

$$\mathcal{Z}^{\omega_+^S}_{(x_0^+,x_0^-,\boldsymbol{z}_0)} = \Big\{\Big(x^+, x^-, \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\mathrm{i}\,\mu}{2}\,(x_0^+ - x^+)}\,(1 - \mathrm{e}^{\,\mathrm{i}\,\mu\,x^+})\,(S - \mathrm{e}^{\,\mathrm{i}\,\mu\,x_0^+}\,\mathbb{1})^{-1}\boldsymbol{z}_0\Big)\ \Big|\ x^+ \in \mathrm{S}^1\,,\, x^- \in \mathbb{R}\Big\}\ , \tag{5.13}$$

from which we may compute the abelian gauge field fluxes (3.27) to be

$$F_6^{(\zeta)}\,\Big|_{\mathcal{C}^{\omega_+^S}_{(x_0^+,x_0^-,\boldsymbol{z}_0)}} = 2\,\mathrm{i}\,x_0^+\ \mathrm{d}\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}^{\top} \wedge \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{z} \ . \tag{5.14}$$

Thus the gauge-invariant two-forms $\mathcal{F}_6^{(\zeta)}$ vanish on these twisted conjugacy classes, leading to the anticipated commutative worldvolume geometry.

We can also understand the origin of these branes through the Penrose-Güven limit of Section 3.3 by considering the commuting isometric embedding diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{AdS}_3 \times \mathrm{S}^3 \arrow[r, "\mathrm{PGL}"] & \mathrm{NW}_6 \\
\mathrm{H}^2 \times \mathrm{S}^2 \arrow[u, "\imath'"] \arrow[r, "\mathrm{PGL}'"] & \mathbb{E}^4 \arrow[u, "\widetilde{\imath}\,'"']
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5.15}$$

analogous to (4.33). The hyperbolic plane H^2 is wrapped by symmetric instantonic D-strings in AdS_3 [71], corresponding to conjugacy classes of the group $\mathrm{SU}(1,1)$, and it is obtained from the intersection of the three-dimensional hyperboloid (3.33) with the affine hyperplane $x^1 = \tau = 0$. Now the pull-back of the B-field (3.38) to the H^2 worldvolume vanishes, leading to a vanishing gauge-invariant two-form.

5.3 Spacetime Filling D5-Branes

Let us now examine the twisted conjugacy classes (5.11). By defining $y^+ := x_0^+ + 2x^+$ and $\boldsymbol{w} := \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\mathrm{i}\,\mu}{4}\,(y^+ + x_0^+)}\,\boldsymbol{z}$ we may express them as the submanifolds

$$\mathcal{C}^S_{\boldsymbol{z}_0} = \big\{(y^+, y^-, \boldsymbol{z}_0 - S\,\overline{\boldsymbol{w}} + \boldsymbol{w})\ \big|\ y^+ \in \mathrm{S}^1\,,\ y^- \in \mathbb{R}\,,\ \boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{C}^2\big\}\ . \tag{5.16}$$

The geometry of the twisted conjugacy class (5.16) is thereby determined by the *real* linear transformation on $\mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}^4$ defined by

$$\boldsymbol{w}' := S\,\overline{\boldsymbol{w}} - \boldsymbol{w}\ , \tag{5.17}$$

whose determinant is straightforwardly worked out to be $4(\mathrm{Re}\ b)^2$. As long as the parameter b in (5.4) has a non-zero real part, the map (5.17) is a linear isomorphism and we can write the induced geometry in terms of the new transverse space coordinates $\boldsymbol{w}' \in \mathbb{C}^2$. After an irrelevant shift, the pull-back of the plane wave metric (2.26) to the twisted conjugacy class (5.16) is given by

$$\mathrm{d}s_6^2\,\big|_{\mathcal{C}^S_{\boldsymbol{z}_0}} = 2\ \mathrm{d}y^+\ \mathrm{d}y^- + \big|\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{w}'\big|^2 - \tfrac{\mu^2}{4}\ \big|\boldsymbol{w}'\big|^2\ \left(\mathrm{d}y^+\right)^2\ , \tag{5.18}$$

and the corresponding worldvolumes are therefore wrapped by spacetime filling D-branes isometric to CW_6. From the identity

$$\mathrm{d}\overline{\boldsymbol{w}}'^{\top} \wedge \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{w}' = \mathrm{d}\overline{\boldsymbol{w}}^{\top} \wedge \left(\mathbb{1} - \overline{S}^{\top}\,S\right)\ \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{w} \tag{5.19}$$

and the unitarity of the matrix $S \in \mathrm{SU}(2)$ it follows that the pull-back of the NS background (2.27) is trivial,

$$H_6\,\big|_{\mathcal{C}^S_{\boldsymbol{z}_0}}\ =\ 0\ =\ B_6\,\big|_{\mathcal{C}^S_{\boldsymbol{z}_0}}\ . \tag{5.20}$$

Furthermore, since the corresponding stabilizer in this case is euclidean, having $x^+ = 0$, it is elementary to see that the pull-backs of the gauge-invariant two-forms also vanish,

$$\mathcal{F}_6^{(\zeta)}\,\big|_{\mathcal{C}^S_{\boldsymbol{z}_0}}\ =\ 0\ . \tag{5.21}$$

In perfect agreement with the general analysis of Section 5.1, these D5-branes thus all carry commutative worldvolume geometries.

As described in Section 3.3, these D-branes originate through the Penrose limit of D5-branes isometric to $\mathrm{AdS}_3 \times \mathrm{S}^3$. The latter do *not* correspond to symmetric D-branes of the $\mathrm{SU}(1,1) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$ group and, unlike the symmetric D5-branes obtained here which are spacetime filling branes of the six-dimensional plane wave, they do not fill the whole $\mathrm{AdS}_3 \times \mathrm{S}^3$ target space. They wrap only a three-dimensional submanifold of the S^3 component given by the complement in S^3 of the disjoint union of two circles [71]. While the Penrose limit decompactifies the branes to spacetime filling ones, the Neveu-Schwarz background vanishes due to the non-trivial embedding $\imath$ represented through the isometric embedding diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{AdS}_3 \times \mathrm{S}^3 \arrow[r, "\mathrm{PGL}"] & \mathrm{NW}_6 \\
\mathrm{AdS}_3 \times \mathrm{S}^3 \arrow[r, "\mathrm{PGL}"] \arrow[u, "\imath"] & \mathrm{CW}_6 \arrow[u, "\widetilde{\imath}"']
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5.22}$$

with $\widetilde{\imath}$ constructed as above.

5.4 Lorentzian D3-Branes

We now describe the situations wherein the linear maps defined above are not of maximal rank, beginning with (5.17). In this case the parameter $b \in \mathrm{i}\,\mathbb{R}$ is purely imaginary, and the map has real rank 2 so that the variable $\boldsymbol{w}'^{\top} = (w_1', w_2')$ lives in a one-dimensional complex subspace of $\mathbb{C}^2$. When $\mathrm{Re}\ a = a = 1$, one has $b = 0$ and $\boldsymbol{w}' \in \mathrm{i}\,\mathbb{R}^2$. Defining $z \in \mathbb{C}$ by $z := 2\ \mathrm{Im}\ w_1 + 2\,\mathrm{i}\ \mathrm{Im}\ w_2$, it follows that $|\boldsymbol{w}'| = |z|$ and the metric (5.18) coincides with the usual Cahen-Wallach geometry

(2.8) of CW_4. When $\mathrm{Re}\ a < 1$, we may coordinatize the twisted conjugacy class (5.16) by $\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then by defining

$$z := \sqrt{2(1-\mathrm{Re}\ a)}\ \Big(w_1 - \tfrac{b}{2(1-\mathrm{Re}\ a)}\,w_2\Big) + \mathrm{i}\,\sqrt{2(1-\mathrm{Re}\ a) - \tfrac{b^2}{2(1-\mathrm{Re}\ a)}}\ w_2 \tag{5.23}$$

one finds $|\boldsymbol{w}'\,| = |z|$ and (5.18) again reduces to the form (2.8). The identity (5.19) once more implies the vanishing (5.20) of the NS background, so that the twisted conjugacy classes (5.16) are wrapped by curved lorentzian D3-branes isometric to CW_4. All of this is in perfect harmony with the general findings of Section 5.1.

The $\mathrm{AdS}_3 \times \mathrm{S}^3$ origin of these D3-branes is described by (3.49). However, now an apparent discrepency arises. Using (5.19) and the fact that the matrix (5.4) is symmetric whenever $b \in \mathrm{i}\,\mathbb{R}$, one finds a non-vanishing pull-back of the worldvolume flux (3.47) given by

$$\mathcal{F}_6\,\big|_{\mathcal{C}^S_{\boldsymbol{z}_0}} = -\tfrac{\mathrm{i}\mu}{2}\,\cot^2\tfrac{\mu y^+}{2}\ \mathrm{d}y^+ \wedge \Big(\boldsymbol{z}_0^\top\ \mathrm{d}\overline{\boldsymbol{w}}' - \overline{\boldsymbol{z}}_0^\top\ \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{w}'\Big)\ . \tag{5.24}$$

This worldvolume two-form depends on the locations of the branes in NW_6, and it should induce a spacetime noncommutative geometry on the D3-brane worldvolume. However, this flux corresponds to a worldvolume *electric* field, rather than a magnetic field, and D-branes in electric backgrounds do not exhibit a well-defined decoupling of the massive string states. Instead of field theories, such backgrounds lead to noncommutative open string theories [34, 68] which lie beyond the scope of the semi-classical analysis at the beginning of this section. Such a noncommutativity agrees with the non-trivial boundary three-point couplings [24] on the symmetric lorentzian D-membranes [30] wrapping the pp-waves $\mathrm{CW}_3 \hookrightarrow \mathrm{NW}_4$ defined by the volumes $\mathrm{Im}\ z =$ constant in the above. Given the origin of the null worldvolume flux (5.24) from Section 3.3 and the mechanism described after (4.33), this noncommutative open string theory could provide a curved space analog of the expected flat space S-duality with (p,q) strings.

5.5 D-Strings

Let us now turn to the degenerate cases of the map (5.12). The vanishing of the determinant of the 2×2 matrix $S - \mathrm{e}^{\,\mathrm{i}\,\mu\,x_0^+}\,\mathbb{1}$ shows that these branes arise when the fixed light-cone time coordinate obeys the equation

$$\cos\mu\,x_0^+ = \mathrm{Re}\ a\ . \tag{5.25}$$

Since $|a| < 1$ in this case, these worldvolumes lie in the set of conjugate free points $x_0^+ \neq 0, \frac{\pi}{\mu}$ of the Rosen plane wave geometry and $S - \mathrm{e}^{\,\mathrm{i}\,\mu\,x_0^+}\,\mathbb{1}$ is of complex rank 1. Explicitly, with $\boldsymbol{z}^\top = (z,w)$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\xi := b\,w - \mathrm{i}\,(\sin\mu\,x_0^+ - \mathrm{Im}\ a)\,z$, one finds using (5.25) that

$$\Big(S - \mathrm{e}^{\,\mathrm{i}\,\mu\,x_0^+}\,\mathbb{1}\Big)\,\boldsymbol{z} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \frac{\mathrm{i}\,\overline{b}}{\sin\mu\,x_0^+ - \mathrm{Im}\ a}\ \xi \end{pmatrix}\ . \tag{5.26}$$

If $\boldsymbol{z}_0 = (S - \mathrm{e}^{\,\mathrm{i}\,\mu\,x_0^+}\,\mathbb{1})\boldsymbol{w}_0$ for some fixed $\boldsymbol{w}_0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$, then a simple coordinate redefinition in (5.12) enables us to parametrize the twisted conjugacy class by a single complex variable. The pull-back of the NW_6 metric is non-degenerate and the classes in this case are wrapped by euclidean D1-branes. As in Section 5.2, all worldvolume fields in this case are easily found to be trivial. These branes do not explicitly appear in the general analysis of Section 5.1, and like the null branes of Section 4.2 their quantized worldvolume geometry, although commutative, differs from the classical one. They can nevertheless be regarded as subclasses of the twisted euclidean D3-branes constructed in Section 5.2. In particular, they originate from symmetric euclidean D-strings in $\mathrm{AdS}_3 \times \mathrm{S}^3$, either wrapping $\mathrm{H}^2 \subset \mathrm{AdS}_3$ and sitting at a point in S^3 or wrapping $\mathrm{S}^2 \subset \mathrm{S}^3$ and sitting at a point in AdS_3.

5.6 D-Membranes

Finally, if $\boldsymbol{z}_0 \notin \mathrm{im}(S - \mathrm{e}^{\,\mathrm{i}\,\mu\, x_0^+}\, \mathbb{1})$ on $\mathbb{C}^2$, then from (5.12) it follows that the twisted conjugacy class (5.10) is isometric to $\mathrm{S}^1 \times \mathbb{E}^2$. The metric $\mathrm{d}s_6^2$ restricts non-degenerately, and once again all worldvolume form fields are trivial. The orbits are thus wrapped by euclidean D2-branes, which can likewise be regarded as subclasses of the D3-branes in Section 5.2. Like the spacetime filling D-branes of Section 5.3, these branes do not originate from symmetric D-branes in $\mathrm{AdS}_3 \times \mathrm{S}^3$, but rather from either of the trivially embedded AdS_3 or S^3 submanifolds.

6 Noncommutative Geometry of NW_6

In this final section we will construct a noncommutative deformation of the six-dimensional Nappi-Witten spacetime NW_6. We will do so by using the fact that the conjugacy classes foliate the $\mathcal{N}_6$ group with respect to the standard Kirillov-Kostant symplectic structure. Generally, from (3.21) it follows that in a neighbourhood of $g \in \mathcal{G}$ one has $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{C}_g^\omega \times \mathcal{Z}_g^\omega$ and thus the group manifold is foliated by hyperplanes corresponding to twisted conjugacy classes. This construction is completely analogous to the foliation by fuzzy spheres that we described in Section 4.5, which exhibits a foliation of the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ group by its conjugacy classes. In this way we will exhibit NW_6 as a foliation by the noncommutative D3-branes constructed in Section 4.3. We will also compare this noncommutative geometry with that which arises in the open string decoupling limit of Nappi-Witten spacetime, showing that our foliation realizes an explicit quantization of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_6$. Let us remark that in principle a noncommutative deformation of NW_6 can be induced via group contraction of $\mathrm{SU}(1,1) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$ (or of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$ for NW_4). However, the corresponding star-products on $\mathbb{R}^{1,2} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ [35, 38, 41] are not well-defined under the contraction, which does not extend to the corresponding universal enveloping algebras.

6.1 Foliation by Noncommutative D3-Branes

Our starting point is the Peter-Weyl theorem which gives the linear decomposition of the algebra of functions on the group $\mathcal{N}_6$ into its irreducible representations. With respect to the regular action of $\mathcal{N}_6 \times \overline{\mathcal{N}}_6$ given by left and right multiplication of the group $\mathcal{N}_6$ itself, one has

$$\mathrm{C}^\infty\left(\mathcal{N}_6\right) = \oint_0^\infty \mathrm{d}q^+ \ \oint_{-\infty}^\infty \mathrm{d}q^- \ \left[\left(V^{q^+,q^-} \otimes \widetilde{V}^{q^+,q^-}\right) \oplus \left(\widetilde{V}^{q^+,q^-} \otimes V^{q^+,q^-}\right)\right] \tag{6.1}$$

where the $q^+ = 0$ contributions contain an implicit integration over all null brane representations described in Section 4.2. The explicit decomposition is obtained by expanding any function in the complete system of eigenfunctions of the scalar laplacian $\square_6 = 2\,\partial_+\,\partial_- + \frac{\mu^2}{4}\,|\boldsymbol{z}|^2\,\partial_-^2 + |\boldsymbol{\partial}|^2$ in the plane wave background. The spectrum of $\Box_6$ is organized into representations of $\mathcal{N}_6 \times \overline{\mathcal{N}}_6$ for $p^+ \neq 0$ as

$$\Box_6 \Phi^{p^+,p^-}_{\boldsymbol{\ell},\boldsymbol{m}}\left(x^+,x^-,\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,\right) = E^{p^+,p^-}_{\boldsymbol{\ell},\boldsymbol{m}} \, \Phi^{p^+,p^-}_{\boldsymbol{\ell},\boldsymbol{m}}\left(x^+,x^-,\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,\right) \tag{6.2}$$

with $\boldsymbol{\ell}^\top = (\ell_1,\ell_2) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$, $\boldsymbol{m}^\top = (m_1,m_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $\boldsymbol{z}^\top = (z_1,z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$. As follows from the analysis of Section 2.3, the eigenfunctions are given by

$$\Phi^{p^+,p^-}_{\boldsymbol{\ell},\boldsymbol{m}}\left(x^+,x^-,\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,\right) = \mathrm{e}^{\,\mathrm{i}\,p^+x^- + \mathrm{i}\,p^-x^+} \, \varphi^{p^+}_{\boldsymbol{\ell},\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,) \tag{6.3}$$

where $\varphi^{p^+}_{\boldsymbol{\ell},\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,)$ are the Landau wavefunctions for a particle moving in four dimensions, with equal magnetic fields $\omega = \frac12\,\mu\,|p^+|$ through each transverse plane, given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^{p^+}_{\boldsymbol{\ell},\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,) \;&=\; \tfrac{\mu\,|p^+|}{2\pi} \prod_{a=1,2} \sqrt{\tfrac{\ell_a!}{(\ell_a+|m_a|)!}} \; \mathrm{e}^{\,\mathrm{i}\,m_a\,\arg\,z_a} \; \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mu\,|p^+|}{4}\,|z_a|^2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\tfrac{\mu\,|p^+|}{2}\,|z_a|^2\right)^{|m_a|/2} \, L^{|m_a|}_{\ell_a}\left(\tfrac{\mu\,|p^+|}{2}\,|z_a|^2\right) \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

with $L^m_\ell(x)$, $\ell,m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the associated Laguerre polynomials.

The corresponding eigenvalues are given in terms of the energies of Landau levels by

$$E^{p^+,p^-}_{\boldsymbol{\ell},\boldsymbol{m}} = 2p^+p^- - \mu\,\left|p^+\right| \sum_{a=1,2} \left(2\ell_a + |m_a| + 1\right) . \tag{6.5}$$

They are matched with the quadratic Casimir eigenvalues (4.34) of the representation V^{q^+,q^-} by relating the light-cone momenta as

$$q^+ = \left|p^+\right| \ , \quad q^- = p^- - \mu \sum_{a=1,2} \left(2\ell_a + |m_a|\right) \ , \tag{6.6}$$

while the quantum numbers of a basis state $|n,m;q^+,q^-\rangle \otimes |\widetilde{n},\widetilde{m}\,;q^+,q^-\rangle$ of (6.1) are related through

$$\boldsymbol{\ell} = \begin{pmatrix} \min\,(n,\widetilde{n}\,) \\ \min\,(m,\widetilde{m}\,) \end{pmatrix} \ , \quad \boldsymbol{m} = \begin{pmatrix} n-\widetilde{n} \\ m-\widetilde{m} \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{6.7}$$

For $p^+ = 0$, the null brane representations of Section 4.2 correspond to the zero modes of the laplacian $\Box_6$ and are given as a product of Bessel functions yielding the decomposition of a plane wave whose radial momentum in the two transverse planes is α^2 and β^2. They will play no explicit role in the analysis of this section. Any function $f \in \mathrm{C}^\infty(\mathcal{N}_6)$ can be thereby expanded as

$$f\left(x^+,x^-,\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,\right) = \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}p^+}{2\pi} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}p^-}{2\pi} \; \mathrm{e}^{\,\mathrm{i}\,p^+x^- + \mathrm{i}\,p^-x^+} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\ell}\in\mathbb{N}_0^2} \; \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}\in\mathbb{Z}^2} f^{p^+,p^-}_{\boldsymbol{\ell},\boldsymbol{m}} \; \varphi^{p^+}_{\boldsymbol{\ell},\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,) \tag{6.8}$$

with the appropriate integration over the $p^+ = 0$ zero modes again implicitly understood throughout.

To quantize the algebra of functions on the $\mathcal{N}_6$ group manifold, we use the Peter-Weyl decomposition of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{N}_6)$ into matrix elements of irreducible representations regarded as functions on $\mathcal{N}_6$. Thus we use the canonical isomorphism (4.58) to interpret (6.1) as an algebra decomposition into the noncommutative D3-brane worldvolumes (4.39) given by

$$\mathcal{A}\left(\mathcal{N}_6\right) = \bigoplus\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}q^+ \ \bigoplus\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\int_{-\infty}^\infty \mathrm{d}q^- \ \Big[\mathrm{End}\big(V^{q^+,q^-}\big) \oplus \mathrm{End}\big(\widetilde{V}^{q^+,q^-}\big)\Big] \ , \tag{6.9}$$

so that any element $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{N}_6)$ admits an expansion

$$\hat{f} = \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}q^+}{2\pi} \ \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}q^-}{2\pi} \ \sum_{n,\widetilde{n},m,\widetilde{m}\in\mathbb{N}_0} f^{q^+,q^-}_{n,m;\widetilde{n},\widetilde{m}} \ \big|n,m;q^+,q^-\big\rangle\big\langle\widetilde{n},\widetilde{m}\,;q^+,q^-\big| \tag{6.10}$$

with $|n,m;q^+,q^-\rangle$ the Fock space basis of $\widetilde{V}^{|q^+|,q^-}$ when $q^+<0$ and $f^{-q^+,q^-}_{n,m;\widetilde{n},\widetilde{m}} = \overline{f^{q^+,q^-}_{n,m;\widetilde{n},\widetilde{m}}}$. We can view the algebra (6.9) as a deformation of the algebra of functions $\mathrm{C}^\infty(\mathcal{N}_6)$ by using the fact that, with the identifications (6.6) and (6.7), the images of the Landau wavefunctions (6.4) under the quantization map of Section 4.3 coincide with rank 1 operators on the Fock module (4.35) as [51]

$$\Delta_\star\big(\varphi^{p^+}_{\boldsymbol{\ell},\boldsymbol{m}}\big) = \frac{1}{16\pi}\,\sqrt{\frac{\big(2\mu\,|p^+|\big)^{2-n-\tilde{n}-m-\tilde{m}}}{n!\,\tilde{n}!\,m!\,\tilde{m}!}} \ \big|n,m;q^+,q^-\big\rangle\big\langle\widetilde{n},\widetilde{m}\,;q^+,q^-\big| \ , \tag{6.11}$$

where the linear isomorphism $\Delta_\star : \mathrm{C}^\infty(\mathcal{C}_{x_0^+,\chi_0}) \to \mathrm{End}(V^{q^+,q^-})$ is defined via symmetric operator ordering. The implicit dependence on the light-cone momentum p^- occurs in (6.11) through the level sets of the class function exhibiting the conjugacy classes, as explained in (4.38), or equivalently through (6.6). We extend this map to the foliation of NW_6 by the conjugacy classes of the $\mathcal{N}_6$ group via the identification

$$f^{q^+,q^-}_{n,m;\widetilde{n},\widetilde{m}} = \frac{1}{16\pi}\,\sqrt{\frac{\big(2\mu\,|p^+|\big)^{2-n-\tilde{n}-m-\tilde{m}}}{n!\,\tilde{n}!\,m!\,\tilde{m}!}} \ f^{p^+,p^-}_{\boldsymbol{\ell},\boldsymbol{m}} \ , \tag{6.12}$$

giving an isomorphism of underlying vector spaces $\Delta_\star : \mathrm{C}^\infty(\mathcal{N}_6) \to \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{N}_6)$ which maps the two expansions (6.8) and (6.10) into one another as

$$\hat{f} \ = \ \Delta_\star(f) \ . \tag{6.13}$$

At the classical level, the pull-back of a function (6.8) to a conjugacy class is obtained by restricting its light-cone coordinates as in (4.29). In the quantum geometry, it is achieved via an orthogonal projection which maps operators $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{N}_6)$ onto functions $\hat{f}_{q^+,q^-} \in \mathrm{End}(V^{q^+,q^-})$ on the quantized conjugacy classes as

$$\hat{f}_{q^+,q^-} = \hat{f}\,\hat{P}_{q^+,q^-} = \hat{P}_{q^+,q^-}\,\hat{f} \ , \tag{6.14}$$

where the hermitian projector

$$\hat{P}_{q^+,q^-} = \sum_{n,m\in\mathbb{N}_0} \frac{1}{\big(2\mu\,|q^+|\big)^{n+m}\,n!\,m!} \ \big|n,m;q^+,q^-\big\rangle\big\langle n,m;q^+,q^-\big| \tag{6.15}$$

is the identity operator on the Fock module (4.35). It obeys

$$\hat{P}_{q^+,q^-}\,\hat{P}_{s^+,s^-} = \delta\left(q^+-s^+\right)\,\delta\left(q^--s^-\right)\,\hat{P}_{q^+,q^-} \ , \qquad \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}q^+}{2\pi} \ \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}q^-}{2\pi} \ \hat{P}_{q^+,q^-} = \mathbb{1} \tag{6.16}$$

and has (uncountably) infinite rank. From (6.4), (6.6) and (6.7) it follows that the function corresponding to (6.15) is radially symmetric in each transverse plane and can be expressed in terms of Laguerre polynomials as

$$\begin{aligned} P_{q^+,q^-}\left(x^+,x^-,|z_1|,|z_2|\right) &:= \Delta_\star^{-1}\big(\hat{P}_{q^+,q^-}\big)\left(x^+,x^-,\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,\right) \\ &= 4\ \mathrm{e}^{\,-\,\mathrm{i}\,q^+x^- -\,\mathrm{i}\,q^-x^+}\ \mathrm{e}^{\,-\frac{\mu\,|q^+|}{4}\,|\boldsymbol{z}|^2}\ \sum_{n=0}^\infty L_n\left(\tfrac{\mu\,|q^+|}{2}|z_1|^2\right) \\ &\qquad \times \sum_{m=0}^\infty L_m\left(\tfrac{\mu\,|q^+|}{2}|z_2|^2\right) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{6.17}$$

This construction is completely analogous to that sketched in Section 4.5. In particular, the star-projectors (4.81) are special instances of (6.17).

6.2 Star-Product

Using the construction of the previous subsection, we can define an associative star-product of fields on NW_6 in the usual way by $f\star g:=\Delta_\star^{-1}(\hat{f}\,\hat{g}\,)$. Written in terms of the expansion (6.8), one has

$$\begin{aligned} (f\star g)\left(x^+,x^-,\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,\right) &= \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^4} \mathrm{d}\varrho\left(p^+,p^-,r^+,r^-\right)\ \mathrm{e}^{\,\mathrm{i}\,(p^++r^+)x^- +\,\mathrm{i}\,(p^-+r^-)x^+} \\ &\qquad \times \sum_{\boldsymbol{\ell},\boldsymbol{\ell}'\in\mathbb{N}_0^2}\ \sum_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{m}'\in\mathbb{Z}^2} f^{p^+,p^-}_{\boldsymbol{\ell},\boldsymbol{m}}\ g^{r^+,r^-}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}',\boldsymbol{m}'}\ \left(\varphi^{p^+}_{\boldsymbol{\ell},\boldsymbol{m}}\star\varphi^{r^+}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}',\boldsymbol{m}'}\right)(\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,)\ . \end{aligned} \tag{6.18}$$

From (4.37), (6.6), (6.7) and (6.11) it follows that the Landau wavefunctions obey the star-product projector relation [51]

$$\varphi^{p^+}_{\boldsymbol{\ell},\boldsymbol{m}}\star\varphi^{r^+}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}',\boldsymbol{m}'} = \tfrac{\mu\,|p^+|}{8\pi}\ \delta_{\widetilde{n},n'}\ \delta_{\widetilde{m},m'}\ \delta\left(p^+-r^+\right)\ \delta\left(p^- - r^- - \mu\,\widetilde{m}_1' - \mu\,\widetilde{m}_2'\right)\ \varphi^{p^+}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\ell}},\tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}'} \tag{6.19}$$

with

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\ell}} = \begin{pmatrix} \min\left(n,\widetilde{n}\,'\right) \\ \min\left(m,\widetilde{m}\,'\right) \end{pmatrix}\ ,\quad \tilde{\boldsymbol{m}}' = \begin{pmatrix} n-\widetilde{n}\,' \\ m-\widetilde{m}\,' \end{pmatrix}\ . \tag{6.20}$$

From (6.19) it follows that the expansion coefficients of the star-product (6.18) vanish at $p^+=0$. Thus the zero mode wavefunctions do not contribute to the star-product, as expected from the commutativity of the null brane worldvolume geometries. In particular, if either f or g is independent of the light-cone position x^-, then $f\star g=0$. Furthermore, from (6.2) it follows that noncommutative scalar field theory on NW_6 with this star-product is of a similar type as the flat space noncommutative field theories studied in [51] which can be reformulated as exactly solvable matrix models.

We can express this star-product in a form which does not relate to the particular basis used to expand functions on NW_6. For this, we write the star-product of Landau wavefunctions in

(6.18) in terms of the Moyal bi-differential operator in (4.56) to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(f\star g\right)\left(x^+,x^-,\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,\right) \;=\; & \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^4} \mathrm{d}\varrho\left(p^+,p^-,r^+,r^-\right)\ \mathrm{e}^{\,\mathrm{i}\,(p^++r^+)x^-+\mathrm{i}\,(p^-+r^-)x^+} \\
& \times\ \delta\left(p^+-r^+\right)\ \delta\Big(p^--r^-+\mu\sum_{a=1,2}\left(2\ell'_a+|m'_a|-2\ell_a-|m_a|\right)\Big) \\
& \times\ \sum_{\boldsymbol{\ell},\boldsymbol{\ell}'\in\mathbb{N}_0^2}\ \sum_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{m}'\in\mathbb{Z}^2} f^{\,p^+,p^-}_{\boldsymbol{\ell},\boldsymbol{m}}\ g^{\,r^+,r^-}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}',\boldsymbol{m}'}\ \varphi^{\,p^+}_{\boldsymbol{\ell},\boldsymbol{m}}\left(\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,\right) \\
& \times\ \exp\left[\tfrac{1}{4\mu\,|p^+|}\left(\overleftarrow{\boldsymbol{\partial}}{}^\top\,\overrightarrow{\overline{\boldsymbol{\partial}}}-\overleftarrow{\overline{\boldsymbol{\partial}}}{}^\top\,\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\partial}}\right)\right]\ \varphi^{\,r^+}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}',\boldsymbol{m}'}\left(\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,\right)\ . \qquad (6.21)
\end{aligned}
$$

We have exploited the occurence of the Dirac delta-functions in (6.19) to write the star-product (4.56) between representations of different light-cone momenta. For us to be able to do so, it is important to use the Poisson bi-vector (4.55) associated with the field theory limit of large B-field, not the string theoretic one of Section 4.4, as (6.19) holds *only* when θ is the inverse of the magnetic field appearing in the Landau wavefunctions (6.4). The full stringy deformation of NW_6 is much more complicated and it would not produce the nice explicit formulas for the star-product that we derive here. It is also important that the Moyal product is used, and not the Voros product which leads to the same Hochschild cohomology of the noncommutative algebra of functions.

Resolving the delta-functions in (6.21) and replacing the light-cone momenta in the bi-differential operator by bi-derivatives in the light-cone position x^-, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(f\star g\right)\left(x^+,x^-,\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,\right) \;=\; & \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^4} \mathrm{d}\varrho\left(p^+,p^-,r^+,r^-\right)\ \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathrm{d}\varrho\left(\lambda^+,\lambda^-\right)\ \mathrm{e}^{\,\mathrm{i}\,\lambda^-(p^+-r^+)+\mathrm{i}\,\lambda^+(p^--r^-)} \\
& \times\ \sum_{\boldsymbol{\ell},\boldsymbol{\ell}'\in\mathbb{N}_0^2}\ \sum_{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{m}'\in\mathbb{Z}^2} f^{\,p^+,p^-}_{\boldsymbol{\ell},\boldsymbol{m}}\ g^{\,r^+,r^-}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}',\boldsymbol{m}'}\ \mathrm{e}^{\,\mathrm{i}\,\mu\,\lambda^+\sum\limits_{a=1,2}(2\ell'_a+|m'_a|-2\ell_a-|m_a|)} \\
& \times\ \mathrm{e}^{\,\mathrm{i}\,p^+x^-+\mathrm{i}\,p^-x^+}\ \varphi^{\,p^+}_{\boldsymbol{\ell},\boldsymbol{m}}\left(\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,\right) \\
& \times\ \exp\left[\tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2\mu}\ \tfrac{\overleftarrow{\boldsymbol{\partial}}{}^\top\,\overrightarrow{\overline{\boldsymbol{\partial}}}-\overleftarrow{\overline{\boldsymbol{\partial}}}{}^\top\,\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\partial}}}{|\overleftarrow{\partial_-}|+|\overrightarrow{\partial_-}|}\right]\ \mathrm{e}^{\,\mathrm{i}\,r^+x^-+\mathrm{i}\,r^-x^+}\ \varphi^{\,r^+}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}',\boldsymbol{m}'}\left(\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,\right)\ . \qquad (6.22)
\end{aligned}
$$

For the exponentials of the Landau level quantum numbers in (6.22), we use the eigenvalue problem (6.2,6.5) to replace $\mu\,|p^+|\sum_{a=1,2}(2\ell_a+|m_a|)$ with the differential operator $\Box_6-2\,\partial_+\,\partial_-$ when acting on the eigenfunctions (6.3). The light-cone momentum integrals can then be performed explicitly to recover the original functions, leading to the desired basis independent form of the star-product in terms of an integro-bidifferential operator as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(f\star g\right)\left(x^+,x^-,\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,\right) \;=\; & \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathrm{d}\varrho\left(\lambda^+,\lambda^-\right)\ f\left(x^++\lambda^+,x^-+\lambda^-,\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,\right) \\
\times\ \exp\left[\lambda^+\ \tfrac{\frac{\mu^2}{4}\,|\boldsymbol{z}|^2\,|\overleftarrow{\partial_-}|^2+|\overleftarrow{\boldsymbol{\partial}}|^2}{|\overleftarrow{\partial_-}|}\right] & \exp\left[\tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2\mu}\ \tfrac{\overleftarrow{\boldsymbol{\partial}}{}^\top\,\overrightarrow{\overline{\boldsymbol{\partial}}}-\overleftarrow{\overline{\boldsymbol{\partial}}}{}^\top\,\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\partial}}}{|\overleftarrow{\partial_-}|+|\overrightarrow{\partial_-}|}\right]\ \exp\left[-\lambda^+\ \tfrac{\frac{\mu^2}{4}\,|\boldsymbol{z}|^2\,|\overrightarrow{\partial_-}|^2+|\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\partial}}|^2}{|\overrightarrow{\partial_-}|}\right] \\
\times\ g\left(x^+-\lambda^+,x^--\lambda^-,\boldsymbol{z},\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}\,\right)\ . & \qquad (6.23)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note the particular ordering of bi-differential operators in this expression. To recover the usual Moyal star-product on the quantized coadjoint orbits, one uses the projector functions (6.17) to map functions $f\in\mathrm{C}^\infty(\mathrm{NW}_6)$ to functions f_{q^+,q^-} on conjugacy classes as

$$
f_{q^+,q^-}=f\star P_{q^+,q^-}=P_{q^+,q^-}\star f\ . \qquad (6.24)
$$

With this definition one has $(f\star g)_{q^+,q^-}=f_{q^+,q^-}\star g_{q^+,q^-}$. The quantum space $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{N}_6)$ does *not* reduce to the classical Nappi-Witten spacetime in the commutative limit, as (6.23) is not a deformation quantization of the pointwise product of functions in $C^\infty(\mathrm{NW}_6)$ (an analogous statement applies to the foliation of $\mathbb{R}^3_\theta$ by fuzzy spheres in Section 4.5 [38]).

For generic functions on NW_6 the star-product (6.23) will be divergent, and so it is only well-defined on a (relatively small) subalgebra of $C^\infty(\mathrm{NW}_6)$. Formally, we may remove this divergence by multiplying the right-hand side of (6.18) by the rank $\mathrm{Tr}\ \hat{P}_{q^+,q^-}$ of the projector (6.15). Using zeta-function regularization on the sums over Landau levels, this rank is given in terms of the volume of the light-cone momentum space as $(\mathrm{vol}\ \mathbb{R}^2)^{-1}$, which will cancel against the divergences coming from (6.23). With this regularization understood, a simple set of non-vanishing star-products is given as

$$\big(z_a\,x^-\big)\star\big(\overline{z}_b\,x^-\big)=-\big(\overline{z}_a\,x^-\big)\star\big(z_b\,x^-\big)=\tfrac{\mathrm{i}\,\mu}{2}\,\delta_{ab} \tag{6.25}$$

for $a,b=1,2$. By changing to the new transverse space coordinates $\boldsymbol{w}:=x^-\,\boldsymbol{z}$, we see that one of the new features of the noncommutative geometry of the pp-wave, compared to the flat space case, is the dependence of the noncommutativity on the light-cone position x^-.

6.3 The Dolan-Nappi Model

The noncommutativity described by the star-product (6.23) does not depend on the light-cone time x^+, in contrast to other low-energy effective field theories in time-dependent backgrounds [25, 39]. At a field theoretic level, this is not entirely surprising, given that the dynamics in Nappi-Witten spacetime is described by time independent harmonic oscillators. At the string theoretic level, this property can be understood by introducing the one-form

$$\Lambda:=-\,\mathrm{i}\,\big(\mu^{-1}\,x_0^-+\mu\,x^+\big)\,\Big(\boldsymbol{z}^\top\,\mathrm{d}\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}-\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}^\top\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{z}\Big) \tag{6.26}$$

on the null hypersurfaces of constant $x^-=x_0^-$, and computing the corresponding two-form gauge transformation of the B-field in (2.27) to get

$$B_6^\Lambda:=B_6+\mathrm{d}\Lambda=-\,\mathrm{i}\,\mu\,\mathrm{d}x^+\wedge\Big(\boldsymbol{z}^\top\,\mathrm{d}\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}-\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}^\top\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{z}\Big)+2\,\mathrm{i}\,\mu^{-1}\,x_0^-\,\mathrm{d}\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}^\top\wedge\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{z}\ . \tag{6.27}$$

With $x_0^+=0$ and restricted to the four-dimensional hypersurface defined by $\boldsymbol{z}^\top=(z,0)$, the metric (2.8) and NS potential (6.27) coincide with those of the Dolan-Nappi model [27] describing a (non-symmetric) D3-brane with the complete NS-supported geometry of NW_4. In [40] this geometry is realized as a null Melvin twist of a *flat* commutative D3-brane with twist parameter $\frac{\mu}{2}$ (in string units $\alpha'=1$), leading to the Melvin universe with a boost. Despite the non-vanishing null NS three-form flux of NW_4, it can be argued from this realization that the usual flat space Seiberg-Witten formulas (4.60,4.61) hold in this closed string background with $F=0$ a consistent solution to the corresponding Dirac-Born-Infeld equations of motion. The isometry with respect to which the background is twisted corresponds to the R-symmetry of the D3-brane worldvolume field theory, which thereby becomes a non-local theory of dipoles whose length is proportional to the R-charge. The open string metric (4.61) correctly captures the non-local dipole-like open string dynamics on the D3-brane.

Extrapolating this argument to $x_0^-\neq 0$ and to the full six-dimensional spacetime NW_6, a straightforward calculation gives the Seiberg-Witten bi-vector (4.60) with $F=0$ for the background (2.26,6.27) as

$$\Theta^\Lambda = -\frac{2\,\mathrm{i}\,\mu}{\mu^2+\left(x_0^-\right)^2}\,\left[\mu^2\,\partial_-\wedge\left(\boldsymbol{z}^\top\,\boldsymbol{\partial}-\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}^\top\,\overline{\boldsymbol{\partial}}\right)+4x_0^-\,\boldsymbol{\partial}^\top\wedge\overline{\boldsymbol{\partial}}\right]\ , \tag{6.28}$$

while the corresponding open string metric (4.61) is given by

$$G_{\rm o}^\Lambda = 2\,\mathrm{d}x^+\,\mathrm{d}x^- + \frac{\mu^2+\left(x_0^-\right)^2}{\mu^2}\,|\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{z}|^2 + 2\,\mathrm{i}\,x_0^-\,\left(\boldsymbol{z}^\top\,\mathrm{d}\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}-\overline{\boldsymbol{z}}^\top\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{z}\right)\,\mathrm{d}x^+\ . \tag{6.29}$$

Since (6.28) is degenerate on the whole NW_6 spacetime, it does not define a symplectic structure. Generally, if the components of a bi-vector $\theta := \theta^{ij}\,\partial_i\wedge\partial_j$ obey

$$\theta^{il}\,\partial_l\theta^{jk}+\theta^{jl}\,\partial_l\theta^{ki}+\theta^{kl}\,\partial_l\theta^{ij}=0 \tag{6.30}$$

for all i,j,k, then θ defines a Poisson structure, i.e. it is a Poisson bi-vector and (6.30) is equivalent to the Jacobi identity for the corresponding Poisson brackets. If in addition θ is invertible, then (6.30) is equivalent to the required closure condition $\mathrm{d}(\theta^{-1})=0$ for a symplectic two-form. It is easily checked that (6.28) satisfies (6.30) and hence that it defines a Poisson bi-vector. In the flat space limit $x_0^-\to 0$ of (6.29), the corresponding quantization of NW_6 is given by the associative Kontsevich star-product [50] in this case.

The important feature of the noncommutativity parameter (6.28) is that it is time independent, though non-constant. If we think of the light-cone position x^- as being dual to the Nappi-Witten generator J, then the form of (6.28) agrees with its representation in (4.26) and (4.50). On the other hand, the calculation of [27] provides evidence for a time-dependent Poisson bi-vector in the original closed string background (2.27). To make this precise, however, one would require a detailed understanding of the worldvolume stabilizing flux on the NW_6 brane, which is difficult to determine for non-symmetric D-branes. The noncommutativity parameter and open string metric in the decoupling limit of D5-branes in Nappi-Witten spacetime NW_6 are thereby presently given by (6.28) and (6.29). In particular, at the special value $x_0^-=\mu$ and with the rescaling $\boldsymbol{z}\to\sqrt{2/\mu\,\tau}\ \boldsymbol{z}$, the metric (6.29) becomes that of CW_6 in global coordinates analogous to (2.7), while the non-vanishing Poisson brackets corresponding to (6.28) read

$$\begin{aligned}\{z_a\,,\,\overline{z}_b\} &= 2\,\mathrm{i}\,\mu\,\tau\,\delta_{ab}\ ,\\ \left\{x^-\,,\,z_a\right\} &= -\,\mathrm{i}\,\mu\,z_a\ ,\\ \left\{x^-\,,\,\overline{z}_a\right\} &= \mathrm{i}\,\mu\,\overline{z}_a\end{aligned} \tag{6.31}$$

for $a,b=1,2$. The Poisson algebra thereby coincides with the Nappi-Witten Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_6$ in this case and the metric on the branes with the standard curved geometry of the pp-wave. In the semi-classical flat space limit $\mu\to 0$, the quantization of the brackets (6.31) thereby yields a noncommutative worldvolume geometry on D5-branes wrapping NW_6 which can be associated with a quantization of $\mathfrak{n}_6$ (or more precisely of its dual $\mathfrak{n}_6^*$). The foliation of the previous subsection, resulting in the star-product (6.23) on NW_6, yields but one possible realization of this deformation. Other realizations, based directly on the Kontsevich formula, will be given elsewhere [37].

Acknowledgments

We thank B. Dolan, J. Figueroa-O'Farrill, L. Freidel, J. Gracia-Bondía, P.-M. Ho, G. Landi, F. Lizzi, R. Myers, N. Obers, S. Philip, V. Schomerus, B. Schroers and K. Zarembo for helpful discussions and correspondence. The work of S.H. was supported in part by an EPRSC Postgraduate Studentship. The work of R.J.S. was supported in part by a PPARC Advanced Fellowship, by PPARC Grant PPA/G/S/2002/00478, and by the EU-RTN Network Grant MRTN-CT-2004-005104.

References

[1] A.Yu. Alekseev and V. Schomerus, "D-Branes in the WZW Model", Phys. Rev. **D60** (1999) 061901 [`hep-th/9812193`].

[2] A.Yu. Alekseev, A. Recknagel and V. Schomerus, "Noncommutative Worldvolume Geometries: Branes on SU(2) and Fuzzy Spheres", J. High Energy Phys. **9909** (1999) 023 [`hep-th/9908040`].

[3] A.Yu. Alekseev, S. Fredenhagen, T. Quella and V. Schomerus, "Noncommutative Gauge Theory of Twisted D-Branes", Nucl. Phys. **B646** (2002) 127–157 [`hep-th/0205123`].

[4] G. Alexanian, A. Pinzul and A. Stern, "Generalized Coherent State Approach to Star Products and Applications to the Fuzzy Sphere", Nucl. Phys. **B600** (2001) 531–547 [`hep-th/0010187`].

[5] L. Álvarez-Gaumé and M.A. Vázquez-Mozo, "General Properties of Noncommutative Field Theories", Nucl. Phys. **B668** (2003) 293–321 [`hep-th/0305093`].

[6] C. Bachas and M. Petropoulos, "Anti-de Sitter D-Branes", J. High Energy Phys. **0102** (2001) 025 [`hep-th/0012234`].

[7] C. Bachas, M.R. Douglas and C. Schweigert, "Flux Stabilization of D-Branes", J. High Energy Phys. **0005** (2000) 048 [`hep-th/0003037`].

[8] D. Berenstein, J.M. Maldacena and H. Natase, "Strings in Flat Space and pp-Waves from $\mathcal{N}=4$ Super Yang-Mills", J. High Energy Phys. **0204** (2002) 013 [`hep-th/0202021`].

[9] O. Bertolami and L. Guisado, "Noncommutative Field Theory and Violation of Translation Invariance", J. High Energy Phys. **0312** (2003) 013 [`hep-th/0306176`].

[10] M. Bianchi, G. D'Appollonio, E. Kiritsis and O. Zapata, "String Amplitudes in the Hpp-Wave Limit of $\mathrm{AdS}_3 \times \mathrm{S}^3$", J. High Energy Phys. **0404** (2004) 074 [`hep-th/0402004`].

[11] M. Blau and M. O'Laughlin, "Homogeneous Plane Waves", Nucl. Phys. **B654** (2003) 135–176 [`hep-th/0212135`].

[12] M. Blau, J.M. Figueroa-O'Farrill and G. Papadopoulos, "Penrose Limits, Supergravity and Brane Dynamics", Class. Quant. Grav. **19** (2002) 4753–4805 [`hep-th/0202111`].

[13] M. Blau, J.M. Figueroa-O'Farrill, C. Hull and G. Papadopoulos, "Penrose Limits and Maximal Supersymmetry", Class. Quant. Grav. **19** (2002) L87–L95 [`hep-th/0201081`].

[14] M. Blau, M. O'Laughlin, G. Papadopoulos and A.A. Tseytlin, "Solvable Models of Strings in Homogeneous Plane Wave Backgrounds", Nucl. Phys. **B673** (2003) 57–97 [`hep-th/0304198`].

[15] P.M.C.S. Bordalo, S. Ribault and C. Schweigert, "Flux Stabilization in Compact Groups", J. High Energy Phys. **0110** (2001) 036 [`hep-th/0108201`].

[16] H.W. Brinkman, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.) **9** (1923) 1.

[17] R.-G. Cai, J.-X. Lu and N. Ohta, "NCOS and D-Branes in Time-Dependent Backgrounds", Phys. Lett. **B551** (2003) 178–186 [`hep-th/0210206`].

[18] M. Chaichian, M.N. Mnatsakanova, K. Nishijima, A. Tureanu and Yu.S. Vernov, "Towards an Axiomatic Formulation of Noncommutative Quantum Field Theory", `hep-th/0402212`.

[19] Y.-K.E. Cheung, L. Freidel and K. Savvidy, "Strings in Gravimagnetic Fields", J. High Energy Phys. **0402** (2004) 054 [`hep-th/0309005`].

[20] C.-S. Chu and P.-M. Ho, "Noncommutative D-Brane and Open String in pp-Wave Background with B-Field", Nucl. Phys. **B636** (2002) 141–158 [`hep-th/0203186`].

[21] C.-S. Chu, J. Madore and H. Steinacker, "Scaling Limits of the Fuzzy Sphere at One Loop", J. High Energy Phys. **0108** (2001) 038 [`hep-th/0106205`].

[22] M. Cahen and N. Wallach, "Lorentzian Symmetric Spaces", Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **76** (1970) 585–591.

[23] G. D'Appollonio and E. Kiritsis, "String Interactions in Gravitational Wave Backgrounds", Nucl. Phys. **B674** (2003) 80–170 [`hep-th/0305081`].

[24] G. D'Appollonio and E. Kiritsis, "D-Branes and BCFT in Hpp-Wave Backgrounds", `hep-th/0410269`.

[25] K. Dasgupta, G. Rajesh, D. Robbins and S. Sethi, "Time-Dependent Warping, Fluxes and NCYM", J. High. Energy Phys. **0303** (2003) 041 [`hep-th/0302049`].

[26] C. Deliduman and B.T. Kaynak, "String Theory in the Penrose Limit of $\mathrm{AdS}_2 \times \mathrm{S}^2$", `hep-th/0412123`.

[27] L. Dolan and C.R. Nappi, "Noncommutativity in a Time-Dependent Background", Phys. Lett. **B551** (2003) 369–377 [`hep-th/0210030`].

[28] M.R. Douglas and N.A. Nekrasov, "Noncommutative Field Theory", Rev. Mod. Phys. **73** (2001) 977–1029 [`hep-th/0106048`].

[29] G. Felder, J. Fröhlich, J. Fuchs and C. Schweigert, "The Geometry of WZW Branes", J. Geom. Phys. **34** (2000) 162–190 [`hep-th/9909030`].

[30] J.M. Figueroa-O'Farrill and S. Stanciu, "More D-Branes in the Nappi-Witten Background", J. High Energy Phys. **0001** (2000) 024 [`hep-th/9909164`].

[31] P. Forgács, P.A. Horváthy, Z. Horváth and L. Palla, "The Nappi-Witten String in the Light-Cone Gauge", Heavy Ion Phys. **1** (1995) 65–83 [`hep-th/9503222`].

[32] A. Giveon, D. Kutasov and N. Seiberg, "Comments on String Theory on AdS_3", Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 733–780 [`hep-th/9806194`].

[33] J. Gomis and H. Ooguri, "Penrose Limits of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ Gauge Theories", Nucl. Phys. **B635** (2002) 106–126 [`hep-th/0202157`].

[34] R. Gopakumar, J.M. Maldacena, S. Minwalla and A. Strominger, "S-Duality and Noncommutative Gauge Theory", J. High Energy Phys. **0006** (2000) 036 [`hep-th/0005048`].

[35] J.M. Gracia-Bondía, F. Lizzi, G. Marmo and P. Vitale, "Infinitely Many Star Products to Play With", J. High Energy Phys. **0204** (2002) 026 [`hep-th/0112092`].

[36] R. Güven, "Plane Wave Limits and T-Duality", Phys. Lett. **B482** (2000) 255–263 [`hep-th/0005061`].

[37] S. Halliday and R.J. Szabo, in preparation.

[38] A.B. Hammou, M. Lagraa and M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari, "Coherent State Induced Star Product on $\mathbb{R}^3_\lambda$ and the Fuzzy Sphere", Phys. Rev. **D66** (2002) 025025 [`hep-th/0110291`].

[39] A. Hashimoto and S. Sethi, “Holography and String Dynamics in Time-Dependent Backgrounds”, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89** (2002) 261601 [`hep-th/0208126`].

[40] A. Hashimoto and K. Thomas, “Dualities, Twists and Gauge Theories with Non-Constant Noncommutativity”, J. High Energy Phys. **0501** (2005) 033 [`hep-th/0410123`].

[41] K. Hayasaka, R. Nakayama and Y. Takaya, “A New Noncommutative Product on the Fuzzy Two-Sphere Corresponding to the Unitary Representations of SU(2) and the Seiberg-Witten Map”, Phys. Lett. **B553** (2003) 109–118 [`hep-th/0209240`].

[42] Y. Hikida, “Boundary States in the Nappi-Witten Model”, `hep-th/0409185`.

[43] P.-M. Ho and Y.-T. Yeh, “Noncommutative D-Brane in Non-Constant NS–NS B-Field Background”, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85** (2000) 5523–5526 [`hep-th/0005159`].

[44] B. Janssen, Y. Lozano and D. Rodríguez-Gómez, “A Microscopical Description of Giant Gravitons II: The $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5$ Background”, Nucl. Phys. **B669** (2003) 363–378 [`hep-th/0303183`].

[45] B. Janssen, Y. Lozano and D. Rodríguez-Gómez, “Giant Gravitons in $\mathrm{AdS}_3 \times \mathrm{S}^3 \times \mathrm{T}^4$ as Fuzzy Cylinders”, `hep-th/0406148`.

[46] A.A. Kehagias and P.A.A. Meessen, “Exact String Background from a WZW Model Based on the Heisenberg Group”, Phys. Lett. **B331** (1994) 77–81 [`hep-th/9403041`].

[47] E. Kiritsis and C. Kounnas, “String Propagation in Gravitational Wave Backgrounds”, Phys. Lett. **B320** (1994) 264–272 [Addendum-ibid. **B325** (1994) 536] [`hep-th/9310202`].

[48] E. Kiritsis and B. Pioline, “Strings in Homogeneous Gravitational Waves and Null Holography”, J. High Energy Phys. **0208** (2002) 048 [`hep-th/0204004`].

[49] E. Kiritsis, C. Kounnas and D. Lüst, “Superstring Gravitational Wave Backgrounds with Spacetime Supersymmetry”, Phys. Lett. **B331** (1994) 321–329 [`hep-th/9404114`].

[50] M. Konstsevich, “Deformation Quantization of Poisson Manifolds”, Lett. Math. Phys. **66** (2003) 157–216 [`q-alg/9709040`].

[51] E. Langmann, R.J. Szabo and K. Zarembo, “Exact Solution of Quantum Field Theory on Noncommutative Phase Spaces”, J. High Energy Phys. **0401** (2004) 017 [`hep-th/0308043`].

[52] D. Lerner and R. Penrose, *Techniques of Differential Topology in Relativity* (SIAM, Philadelphia, 1971).

[53] D.A. Lowe, H. Natase and S. Ramgoolam, “Massive IIA String Theory and Matrix Theory Compactification”, Nucl. Phys. **B667** (2003) 55–89 [`hep-th/0303173`].

[54] R.R. Metsaev, “Type IIB Green-Schwarz Superstring in Plane Wave Background”, Nucl. Phys. **B625** (2002) 70–96 [`hep-th/0112044`].

[55] C.R. Nappi and E. Witten, “Wess-Zumino-Witten Model Based on a Non-Semisimple Group”, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71** (1993) 3751–3753 [`hep-th/9310112`].

[56] G. Papadopoulos, J.G. Russo and A.A. Tseytlin, “Solvable Model of Strings in a Time-Dependent Plane Wave Background”, Class. Quant. Grav. **20** (2003) 969–1016 [`hep-th/0211289`].

[57] R. Penrose, “Any Spacetime has a Plane Wave as a Limit”, in: *Differential Geometry and Relativity* (Reidel, Dordrecht, 1976), pp. 271–275.

[58] T. Quella, “On the Hierarchy of Symmetry Breaking D-Branes in Group Manifolds”, J. High Energy Phys. **0212** (2002) 009 [`hep-th/0209157`].

[59] D. Robbins and S. Sethi, “The UV/IR Interplay in Theories with Spacetime Varying Noncommutativity”, J. High. Energy Phys. **0307** (2003) 034 [`hep-th/0306193`].

[60] N. Rosen, Phys. Z. Sowjetunion **12** (1937) 366.

[61] J.G. Russo and A.A. Tseytlin, “Constant Magnetic Field in Closed String Theory: An Exactly Solvable Model”, Nucl. Phys. **B448** (1995) 293–330 [`hep-th/9411099`].

[62] J.G. Russo and A.A. Tseytlin, “On Solvable Models of Type IIB Superstring in NS–NS and R–R Plane Wave Backgrounds”, J. High Energy Phys. **0204** (2002) 021 [`hep-th/0202179`].

[63] D. Sadri and M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari, “String Theory on Parallelizable pp-Waves”, J. High Energy Phys. **0306** (2003) 005 [`hep-th/0304169`].

[64] G. Sarkissian and M. Zamalkar, “Diagonal D-Branes in Product Spaces and their Penrose Limits”, J. High Energy Phys. **0403** (2004) 005 [`hep-th/0308174`].

[65] V. Schomerus, “Lectures on Branes in Curved Backgrounds”, Class. Quant. Grav. **19** (2002) 5781–5847 [`hep-th/0209241`].

[66] N. Seiberg, “Noncommutative Superspace, $\mathcal{N} = \frac{1}{2}$ Supersymmetry, Field Theory and String Theory”, J. High Energy Phys. **0306** (2003) 010 [`hep-th/0305248`].

[67] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, “String Theory and Noncommutative Geometry”, J. High Energy Phys. **9909** (1999) 032 [`hep-th/9908142`].

[68] N. Seiberg, L. Susskind and N. Toumbas, “Strings in Background Electric Field, Spacetime Noncommutativity and a New Noncritical String Theory”, J. High Energy Phys. **0006** (2000) 021 [`hep-th/0005040`].

[69] M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari, “Tiny Graviton Matrix Theory: DLCQ of IIB Plane Wave String Theory, A Conjecture”, J. High Energy Phys. **0409** (2004) 017 [`hep-th/0406214`].

[70] M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari and M. Torabian, “Classification of all $\frac{1}{2}$ BPS Solutions of the Tiny Graviton Matrix Theory”, `hep-th/0501001`.

[71] S. Stanciu, “D-Branes in an AdS_3 Background”, J. High Energy Phys. **9909** (1999) 028 [`hep-th/9901122`].

[72] S. Stanciu, “D-Branes in Group Manifolds”, J. High Energy Phys. **0001** (2000) 025 [`hep-th/9909163`].

[73] S. Stanciu, “A Note on D-Branes in Group Manifolds: Flux Quantization and D0-Charge”, J. High Energy Phys. **0010** (2000) 015 [`hep-th/0006145`].

[74] S. Stanciu and J.M. Figueroa-O’Farrill, “Penrose Limits of Lie Branes and a Nappi-Witten Braneworld”, J. High Energy Phys. **0306** (2003) 025 [`hep-th/0303212`].

[75] R.F. Streater, “The Representations of the Oscillator Group”, Commun. Math. Phys. **4** (1967) 217.

[76] R.J. Szabo, “Quantum Field Theory on Noncommutative Spaces”, Phys. Rept. **378** (2003) 207–299 [`hep-th/0109162`].

[77] R.J. Szabo, “Magnetic Backgrounds and Noncommutative Field Theory”, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A19** (2004) 1837–1862 [`physics/0401142`].

[78] A. Voros, “The WKB Method in the Bargmann Representation”, Phys. Rev. **A40** (1989) 6814–6825.